Engineering Support Services for:

Investigating the Impact of HVdc Schemes in the Irish Transmission Network

EirGrid plc The Oval, 160 Shelbourne Road Dublin 4 Ireland

> Attention: Mark Norton

Report R1116.03.04

Final Report

Prepared by: TransGrid Solutions Inc.

200 – 137 Innovation Dr. Winnipeg, MB R3T 6B6 CANADA

www.transgridsolutions.com

Oct-18, 2009



R1116.03.04 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

Disclaimer

This report was prepared by TransGrid Solutions Inc. ("TGS"), whose responsibility is limited to the scope of work as shown herein. TGS disclaims responsibility for the work of others incorporated or referenced herein. This report has been prepared exclusively for EirGrid plc and the project identified herein and must not be modified without the prior written authorization of TGS.



R1116.03.04, Page 1 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

Revisions

Project Name:	Investigating the Impact of HVdc Schemes in the Irish Transmission Network
Document Title:	Final Report
Document Type:	Report
Document No.:	R1116.03.04
Last Action Date:	Oct-18, 2009

Rev. No.	Status	Prepared By	Checked By Date		Comments	
00	IFC	R. Brandt, P.Eng, M. Szechtman	M. Mohaddes, M. Szechtman	May-31, 09	Initial release of draft report.	
01	IFC	R. Brandt, P.Eng, M. Szechtman	M. Mohaddes, M. Szechtman	Jun-25, 09	Second release of draft report after receiving comments via email and conference calls.	
02	IFA	R. Brandt, P.Eng, M. Szechtman	M. Mohaddes, M. Szechtman	Jul-08, 09	Third release of draft report after discussions during June 29-30 meetings in Dublin.	
03	IFA	R. Brandt, P.Eng, M. Szechtman	S Temtem	Aug-15,09	Fourth release of draft report, checked all HV stations.	
04	ABC	R. Brandt, P.Eng, M. Szechtman	M. Mohaddes, M. Szechtman	Oct-18, 09	Release of final report.	

Legend of Document Status:

Approved by Client	ABC
Draft for Comments	DFC
Issued for Comments	IFC
Issued for Approval	IFA
Issued for Information	IFI
Returned for Correction	RFC
Approval not Required	ANR



Table of Contents

Disclaimer1						
Revisions2						
Table of Contents	3					
Executive Summary	7					
General Considerations of the HVdc Applications	8					
Scenario 1 – North-West Wind	10					
Scenario 2/3 – North-South Interconnector	10					
Scenario 4 – Drawing Power out of the Area near Cork	13					
Scenario 5 – Multi-Terminal VSC in Northern Ireland	14					
1. Introduction	15					
1.1. Background	15					
1.2. Terms of Reference	15					
2. General HVdc Information	17					
2.1. Why DC, why AC	17					
2.2. Recent History on HVdc	21					
2.3. Comparison Summary of the LCC and VSC Technologies	22					
2.3.1. AC Faults – LCC HVdc	23					
2.3.2. AC Faults – VSC HVdc	25					
2.3.3. DC Faults – LCC HVdc	25					
2.3.4. DC Faults – VSC HVdc	26					
2.4 Environmental Considerations	27					
2.4.1 DC Saturation of Transformers	29					
2.4.2 Electrical and Magnetic Fields and Corresion	20					
2.4.2. Electrical and Magnetic Fields and Conosion	20					
2.5. Market Considerations	20					
2.5. IVid Kei Collsiderations						
2.0. EVOC POWER Displace III g						
2.7. Operational Considerations	32					
2.7.1. Converter Start Up and Snut Down	32					
2.7.2. HVdc with wind Penetration						
3. AC and DC Performance Statistics Highlights	35					
3.1. AC System Statistics	35					
3.2. HVdc Statistics - CIGRE Protocol	36					
3.2.1. Outage Statistics	38					
3.2.2. Overall DC Availability Indices	39					
3.3. An Attempt to Compare AC with DC Substations Availability	39					
4. Study Assumptions	42					
5. Study Tools and Models	43					
5.1. PSSE	43					
5.1.1. Republic of Ireland and Northern Ireland Transmission Networks	43					
5.1.1.1. Power Flow Models	43					
5.1.1.2. Dynamic Models	44					
5.1.2. LCC HVdc	44					
5.1.2.1. Power Flow Models						
5122 Dynamic Models	44					
5 1 3 VSC HVdc [3]	45					
5 1 3 1 Power Flow Models	45					
5.1.3.2 Dynamic Models						
	16					
6 Study Critaria	40					
6.1 Conoral System Derformance Criteria	+ / /7					
6.1.1 Stordy Store	41					
0.1.1. Sleduy Slale	41					
o. I.Z. Dynamic	4/					
6.2. Criteria for Comparison of AC and HVdc Options	48					
7. Study Wethodology						
7.1. Procedure: PSSE Analysis	50					



R1116.03.04, Page 3 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009 EirGrid plc Investigating the Impact of HVdc Schemes in the Irish Transmission Network Final Report

	7.1.1.	Power Flow Setup	.50
	7.1.2.	Steady State Contingency Analysis	.50
	7.1.3.	Short Circuit Analysis	.51
	7.1.4.	Procedure: PSSE Transient Stability Analysis	.52
	7.2. Proc	edure: PSCAD Analysis	.52
	7.3. Proc	edure: SSR Hand Calculation	.52
	7.4. Proc	edure: Comparison of HVdc Schemes and AC Alternatives	.53
8.	Scenario	One: Wind in North-West Mayo Region	.54
	8.1. Tran	smission Options	.54
	8.1.1.	AC Alternative	.55
	8.1.2.	HVdc Alternative	.55
	813	Reactive Power Exchange with AC System	56
	8.2 Con	tingencies	58
	821	Steady State Analysis	58
	822	Transient Stability Analysis	58
	83 Pow	er Flows Cases and Losses	59
	8.4 Stea	dy State Contingency Analysis	50
	8/1	Overload Impacts	50
	8/2	Voltage Violation Impacts	50
	9.4.2. 9.5 Shou	t Circuit Applysis	50
	0.5. 3110	aiant Stability Analysis	.09
	0.0. ITAN	Settl Stability Analysis	.00
	0.0.1.	Paulis on the New Deliacontick-Flagiola Connection	.00
	8.6.2.	Post-Contingency Overvoltages	.61
	8.6.3.	I ransient Overvoltages and Undervoltages	.62
	8.6.4.	Frequency Performance	.64
	8.6.4.1.		.64
	8.6.4.2.	Long Term Frequency	.64
	8.6.5.	Rate of Change of Frequency Performance	.66
	8.6.6.	VSC – Ramping Power Output of Wind Farms	.67
	8.7. Syst	em Frequency Scans	.68
	8.8. SSR	Screening	.70
	8.9. Sum	mary of Overall Study Results for Scenario 1	.71
	8.10. O	verall Comparison of HVdc Solutions with Equivalent AC Solution	.72
	8.10.1.	AC Advantages over HVdc	.72
	8.10.2.	HVdc Advantages over AC	.72
	8.11. R	ecommendations	.73
9.	Scenario	Two and Three: New North-South Interconnector	.74
	9.1. Tran	smission Options	.75
	9.1.1.	AC Alternative	.75
	9.1.2.	HVdc Alternatives	.75
	9.1.2.1.	HVdc Option 1 – LCC	.75
	9.1.2.2.	HVdc Option 2 – VSC	.77
	9.1.3.	Reactive Power Exchange with AC System	.78
	9.1.4.	AC System Strength at HVdc Terminals	.80
	9.2. Con	tingencies	.81
	9.2.1.	Steady State Analysis	.81
	9.2.2.	Transient Stability Analysis	.81
	9.3. Pow	er Flows Cases and Losses	.82
	9.4. Stea	dy State Contingency Analysis	.82
	9.4.1	Óverload Impacts	.83
	9.4.2	Voltage Violation Impacts	.84
	9.5. Sho	rt Circuit Analysis	.87
	96 Tran	sient Stability Analysis	89
	961	Overvoltages and Undervoltages	89
	962	Wind Generator Tripping	.92
	963	Power Oscillation Damping	92
	964	Frequency Performance	92
	5.0.4.		.52



R1116.03.04, Page 4 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009 EirGrid plc Investigating the Impact of HVdc Schemes in the Irish Transmission Network Final Report

9.6.5. Rate of Change of Frequency Performance	96
9.6.6. Double Circuit Loss of 275 kV Louth-Tandragee Lines	99
9.6.7. HVdc Line Faults and Pole Blocking	108
9.6.8. Commutation Failures of East-West HVdc Interconnector	108
9.6.9. Commutation Failures of North-South HVdc System – LCC option	109
9.7. System Frequency Scans	110
9.8. SSR Screening	116
9.9. Summary of Overall Study Results for Scenario 2	117
9.10. Overall Comparison of HVdc Solutions with Equivalent AC Solution	120
9.10.1. AC Advantages over HVdc	120
9.10.2. HVdc Advantages over AC	120
9.10.3. Comparison of LCC and VSC HVdc	
9 11 Recommendations	121
10 Scenario Four: Drawing Power Out of the Cork Area	124
10.1 Transmission Ontions	125
	125
10.1.2 HV/dc Alternatives	125
10.1.2. 11V0C Alternatives	125
10.1.2.1. VSC Option 2	120
10.1.2.2. VSC Option 2	120
10.1.2.3. VSC III Redilly	120
10.1.3. Reactive Power Exchange with AC System	120
10.2. Contingencies	130
10.2.1. Steady State Analysis	130
10.2.2. I ransient Stability Analysis	130
10.3. Power Flows Cases and Losses	131
10.3.1. Steady State Contingency Analysis	131
10.3.2. Overload Impacts	132
10.3.2.1. Re-dispatch VSC to Reduce Congestion	133
10.3.3. Voltage Violation Impacts	135
10.4. Short Circuit Analysis	135
10.5. Transient Stability Analysis	136
10.5.1. Overvoltages and Undervoltages	136
10.5.2. Wind Generator Tripping	139
10.5.3. Power Oscillation Damping	140
10.5.4. Frequency Performance	140
10.5.4.1. Transient Frequency	141
10.5.4.2. Long Term Frequency	141
10.5.5. Rate of Change of Frequency	144
10.5.6. HVdc Line Faults and Pole Blocking	145
10.5.7. Mitigation Measures	146
10.6. System Frequency Scans	147
10.7. SSR Screening	149
10.8. Summary of Overall Study Results for Scenario 4	150
10.9. Overall Comparison of HVdc Solutions with Equivalent AC Solution	152
10.9.1. AC Advantages over HVdc	152
10.9.2. HVdc Advantages over AC	153
10.10. Recommendations	153
11. Scenario Five: Expansion of Northern Ireland System	155
11.1. Transmission Options	
11.1.1. HVdc Scheme – Five-Terminal VSC	
11.1.2. Reactive Power Exchange with AC System	156
11.2 Contingencies	157
11 2 1 Steady State Analysis	157
11.2.7. Olday Oldo Analysis	157
11.2.2. Italiaisti Siaulilly Allarysis	150
11.3. FUNCH HUWS CASES AND LUSSES	100
11.2.2 Thormal Overloade	109
	159



R1116.03.04, Page 5 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009 EirGrid plc Investigating the Impact of HVdc Schemes in the Irish Transmission Network Final Report

11.4.	Transient Stability Analysis	160
11.5.	Summary of Overall Study Results for Scenario 5	160
11.6.	Recommendations	160
12. Refer	ences	162
Appendix	A-1 – Scenario 1: Select Plots for Transient Stability Analysis	164
Appendix	A-2 – Scenario 1: Steady State Contingency Analysis – Thermal Overloads	165
Appendix	A-3 – Scenario 1: Steady State Contingency Analysis – Voltage Violations	166
Appendix	A-4 – Scenario 1: Short Circuit Analysis Results	167
Appendix	A-5 – Scenario 1: Frequency Scans.	168
Appendix	A-6 – Scenario 1: Detailed Generator Dispatch	169
Appendix	B-1a – Scenario 2: Select Plots for Transient Stability Analysis 2.1	171
Appendix	B-1b – Scenario 2: Select Plots for Transient Stability Analysis 2.2	172
Appendix	B-1c – Scenario 2: Select Plots for Transient Stability Analysis 2.3	173
Appendix	B-1d – Scenario 2: Select Plots for Transient Stability Analysis 2.4	174
Appendix	B-2 - Scenario 2: Steady State Contingency Analysis - Thermal Overloads	175
Appendix	B-3 – Scenario 2: Steady State Contingency Analysis – Voltage Violations	176
Appendix	B-4 – Scenario 2: Short Circuit Analysis Results	177
Appendix	B-5 – Scenario 2: Frequency Scans.	178
Appendix	B-6 – Scenario 2: Detailed Generator Dispatch	179
Appendix	C-1 – Scenario 4: Select Plots for Transient Stability Analysis	181
Appendix	C-2 - Scenario 4: Steady State Contingency Analysis - Thermal Overloads	182
Appendix	C-3 – Scenario 4: Steady State Contingency Analysis – Voltage Violations	
Appendix	C-4 – Scenario 4: Short Circuit Analysis Results	184
Appendix	C-5 – Scenario 4: Frequency Scans.	185
Appendix	C-6 – Scenario 4: Detailed Generator Dispatch	186
Appendix	D-1 – Scenario 5: Select Plots for Transient Stability Analysis	
Appendix	D-2 – Scenario 5: Detailed Generator Dispatch	189



R1116.03.04, Page 6 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

Executive Summary

EirGrid/NIE/SONI are currently faced with the challenge of expanding and modifying the meshed Irish AC network in order to accommodate increasing demand for electricity, connection of large amounts of renewable generation, and facilitating greater cross-border power transfers between Northern Ireland and the Republic of Ireland while still maintaining security of supply. As part of a solution to the challenge, EirGrid/NIE/SONI are investigating the feasibility or otherwise of using HVdc schemes to develop the transmission system of the Republic of Ireland and Northern Ireland.

The purpose of this study is to investigate the impact of HVdc schemes in the Irish Network and to compare these HVdc schemes to equivalent AC solutions for various scenarios. Four scenarios were investigated to compare various AC and HVdc schemes, including:

- Scenario 1 North-West Wind This scenario investigated the connection of 460 MW of wind in the north-west Mayo region via transmission from Bellacorick to Flagford. The study compared a 220 kV AC line to a voltage source converter (VSC) HVdc system.
- 2) Scenario 2/3 North-South Interconnector This scenario compared a 400 kV AC line, a three-terminal line-commutated converter (LCC) HVdc system and a three-terminal voltage source converter (VSC) HVdc system, to connect Northern Ireland to the Republic of Ireland with terminals at Turleenan, mid Cavan and Woodland. This line is referred to as the North-South Interconnector.
- Scenario 4 Drawing Power out of the Cork Region This scenario compared 400 kV AC transmission to two voltage source converter (VSC) HVdc schemes in order to draw power out of the congested area near Cork.
- 4) Scenario 5 System Expansion in Northern Ireland This scenario performed a very high level stability investigation into the potential feasibility to connect a five-terminal voltage source converter (VSC) HVdc system in Northern Ireland. The equivalent AC solution would be a five-terminal double circuit 275 kV AC line; however this scenario did not directly compare the AC option to the HVdc option.

The study aims at providing technical comparisons between HVdc and AC solutions. Simulation cases considered year 2020 base cases prepared by the EirGrid/NIE/SONI team.

Steady state contingency analysis, short circuit analysis, transient stability analysis, harmonic frequency scans and subsynchronous resonance (SSR) screening were performed to derive a basis for comparison of the technical performance of the AC and HVdc transmission solutions.

Please note that for clarity of explanation throughout this report, an HVdc bipole is referred to as an HVdc system that can continue to operate with one of the two poles being out of service, a monopole cannot. A bipolar HVdc system can continue to transmit power (up to the rating of the remaining pole) with one pole out of service. This report does not necessarily mean that bipole refers to two conductors and monopole to one conductor, which is a widely-used definition. Specifically confusion can arise as to the definition of a monopolar VSC system; despite the fact that it requires two conductors it cannot operate with one of those conductors out-of-service, unless it has been designed to operate as a bipole.

During the loss of one HVdc pole, the possibility of allowing a current flow through ground (or sea ground) is not always considered due to environmental constraints. Therefore, more often considered is the possibility of using the so-called metallic return, which is the use of the other HVdc conductor as a return path for the current in case of a loss of one valve group at a substation, thus, allowing half or even more than half of rated power, under that condition. A third conductor to be used as a spare is less often found due to economic reasons but could also be implemented. All of these possibilities are normally considered in the feasibility phase of the project studies and the technical, economic and environmental results are combined towards the adoption of the final configuration of the project.



R1116.03.04, Page 7 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

General Considerations of the HVdc Applications

Typical applications of HVdc systems include:

- Transmission with overhead line distances above 1000 km, where the need of various intermediate tapings is not present;
- Interconnecting systems with different frequencies (50 Hz to 60 Hz);
- Undersea or underground cables with lengths around 50 km and above;
- Need for asynchronous operation (even at the same frequency base), like interconnection of different markets.
- Need for absolute power scheduling

Aside from Scenario 1 which studies VSC transmission to connect isolated wind generation to the grid, the only typical application that really applies to the other scenarios being studied in this report is the use of HVdc cables.

VSC HVdc is a relatively new technology that is growing fast. However, aside from Scenario 1 (isolated wind generation connection), a multi-terminal VSC HVdc scheme as studied in Scenarios 2/3, 4 and 5, with ratings up to 1500 MVA, has never been built. Table E-1 below provides a summary of currently installed VSC HVdc systems.

Name	Converter Station 1	Converter Station 2	Cable (km)	Overhead line (km)	Voltage (kV)	Power (MW)	Year	Remarks
Trans Bay Cable / San Francisco Bay	US - East Bay - Oakland	US - San Francisco	88		200	400	2010	multi-module technology
NordE.ON 1	Germany - Diele	Germany - Borkum 2 platform	203		150	400	2009	Offshore wind farm
Estlink	Estonia - Harku	Finland - Espoo	105		150	350	2006	Land + submarine cable, connecting asynchronous systems
Cross Sound Cable	US - New Haven, CT	US - Shoreham, Long Island	40		150	330	2002	buried underwater cable
Caprivi Link	Namibia - Gerus	Namibia - Zambezi		970	350	300	2010	overhead line; bipolar design, one pole will be constructed in the future
Directlink	Australia - Mullumbimby	Australia - Bungalora	59		80	180	2000	land cable
HVDC Troll	Norway - Kollsnes	Norway - Offshore platform Troll A	70		60	80	2004	power supply for offshore gas compressor.
HVDC Valhall	Norway - Lista	Norway - Valhall, Offshore platform	292		150	78	2009	submarine cable, supplying offshore platform

Table E-1. Existing Major VSC-HVdc links.



R1116.03.04, Page 8 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

Name	Converter Station 1	Converter Station 2	Cable (km)	Overhead line (km)	Voltage (kV)	Power (MW)	Year	Remarks
Eagle Pass, Texas B2B	US - Eagle Pass, TX	US - Eagle Pass, TX			15.9	36	2000	
Hellsjön- Grängesberg	Sweden - Hellsjoen	Sweden - Graengesb erg		10	180	3	1997	experimental HVDC
Tjæreborg	Denmark - Tjæreborg	Denmark - Tjæreborg	4.3		9	7	2000	interconnection to wind power generating stations
Gotland	Sweden-Nas	Sweden- Backs	2x70		80	50	1999	Land cable, wind power
MurrayLink	Australia- Berri	Australia- Red Cliffs	2x180		150	200	2002	Land cable, controlled connection for trading
Troll A	Norway, Lollsnes	Troll A platform	4x68		60	2x40	2005	Submarine cable, supplying gas platform

Typical applications of HVdc can provide benefits such as power oscillation damping and frequency control. An HVdc power order can be quickly changed by an external signal (that should indicate a change in the network is taking place) as an additional signal in the power order scheduling. Power oscillation damping was not observed to be an issue in this study. Use of HVdc controls to achieve steady state or longer term frequency control is not expected to be applicable in the Irish network because the HVdc is being integrated into a meshed AC network; however frequency control was not modeled in this study and would therefore require further investigation to make any definite conclusions. It is not a case of being able to transfer excess generation from one area to another area that is deficient in generation as could typically be the case with an HVdc link connecting two isolated systems.

A meshed AC network with embedded HVdc circuits can impose an added complexity to future network planning and expansion. For instance when planning the system it is difficult and expensive to tap into an existing HVdc circuit whereas an AC circuit can be easily tapped to serve new load or build a new AC station and lines.

AC lines do not produce harmonics. HVdc, both VSC and LCC, do inherently generate harmonics, however filters are designed and installed with HVdc applications in order to filter out these harmonics, especially if there are any resonances of concern with the AC system. In this study, frequency scans determined the following:

- There are a number of shunt and series resonances that may be a concern for either the LCC or VSC options, due to the harmonics generated by either option.
- As only a minimal number cases where studied, and details of the actual filter configurations of the Moyle and East-West interconnectors were not available, these frequency scans should only be considered as cursory.

In some cases, when an overhead AC transmission line cannot be considered, an HVdc solution may be a viable technical solution since it can utilise underground cables. However, the fact that the selection of an HVdc option would make underground cable a more attractive option is not of itself sufficient justification for selecting an HVdc option over the HVAC option. To make such a decision it is necessary to compare the two technologies across the full range of relevant criteria, including environmental, technical and economical. This study merely comprises a technical comparison of the two technologies. An environmental and economic comparison is beyond its scope.



R1116.03.04, Page 9 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

Scenario 1 - North-West Wind

VSC HVdc technology is currently being used for connecting isolated wind farms to the grid particularly for the offshore farms. For onshore applications if the transmission distance is too long (over 50-100km) then the overhead lines are preferred. Long AC cables are not practical due to the large amount of line charging associated with a long AC cable. Of course it is possible to use multiple sections of AC cable with shunt reactive compensation in between, but in most cases this option is not economically viable. The VSC solution can be used with both overhead line and underground cable. VSC technology can be applied to very weak systems and is capable of following the power output of an isolated wind farm so as to control the frequency. It also has a varying range of dynamic reactive power support. For more information regarding wind farms connected to VSC HVdc, please refer to [9].

The studies show that both the AC and VSC HVdc options are technically feasible to connect the 460 MW of wind via transmission from Bellacorick to Flagford. Specifically, this scenario considered the HVdc option as a two-terminal monopolar VSC scheme and compared it to a single 220 kV AC line.

Based solely on the technical comparison between the 220 kV AC line option and the VSC HVdc option it appears that there are no significant technical benefits to the VSC HVdc or the AC transmission over the other. VSC HVdc links have the benefit of inherent reactive power support and can utilize underground cables.

The subsynchronous resonance (SSR) screening calculations did not flag any concerns. However there is little information readily available on the possibility of SSR between a wind farm and a VSC HVdc system. Because of this, the possibility cannot be excluded and it cannot be said for certain that the possibility of SSR does not exist in this situation. The UIF calculation used in this study to screen for potential SSR issues is only intended for use with LCC HVdc and thermal generators. There is no such empirical formula for screening for potential SSR between a wind farm and VSC HVdc system. Should this VSC option be futher pursued it would be recommended to perform further studies to verify the possibility of SSR with the Bellacorick wind farm along with appropriate mitigation if deemed necessary.

In terms of study results, there were no significant technical differences noted between the AC and VSC HVdc options in terms of the following aspects:

- Steady state voltage violations.
- Thermal overloads.
- Rate of change of frequency during and following faults.
- Long term frequency decay due to loss of generation the system settles to the same steady state underfrequency for the AC and VSC options.

The AC option has the following technical advantages compared to the VSC option:

• Higher short circuit levels, resulting in a stronger local AC system.

The VSC option has the following technical advantages compared to the AC option:

- The VSC inherently provides reactive power support.
- Slightly lower losses (by 2-3 MW).

A detailed summary of results for Scenario 1 can be found in Section 8.

Scenario 2/3 – North-South Interconnector

This scenario compares AC and HVdc transmission options within a meshed AC network in order to connect Northern Ireland to the Republic of Ireland with terminals at Turleenan, mid Cavan and Woodland. Specifically, the HVdc options considered were a three-terminal bipolar LCC scheme and a three-terminal bipolar VSC scheme, which were both compared to a single 400 kV AC line connecting the same terminals.



R1116.03.04, Page 10 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009 At present, a 1500 MW multi-terminal VSC scheme has not been installed. Monopolar VSC cable schemes are currently limited to around 1200 MW. In order to compare and contrast an equivalent single 400 kV AC overhead line, a 1500 MW VSC cable system is anticipated to be available by 2020 as a bipolar scheme. A bipolar HVdc scheme can operate with one pole out of service and continue to transmit power up to the rating of the in-service pole, and thus a bipolar VSC or LCC scheme would provide the same level of reliability as a double circuit AC connection. If required, the double-circuit nature of the bipolar HVdc scheme presents an inherent advantage over the single AC line option.

The studies show that the AC and HVdc options are all technically feasible and each option could be integrated into the network provided that the relevant protection, control and telecommunication systems for these HVdc technologies and their interactions are sufficiently robust to maintain the safety, reliability and security of the Irish network. The same requirements would be true for the installation of any new transmission scheme. However, it should be noted that there has not yet been an application in service of a multi-terminal DC link (DC network) embedded in a meshed AC network, either using LCC or VSC technology.

Based on the selected power flow cases and contingencies that were studied, there are no significant technical advantages identified for the use of HVdc transmission instead of AC transmission for the North-South Interconnector. VSC HVdc links have the benefit of inherent reactive power support. Both LCC and VSC HVdc links can utilize underground cables, and if built as a bipole provide extra reliability. In addition, the subsynchronous resonance (SSR) screening calculations did not flag any concerns.

The AC option showed significantly lower losses, fewer overloads in the Louth/Tandragee/Turleenan area and a stronger system at Moyle than both HVdc options.

The HVdc options were shown to require a special protection system in the event of the loss of the double circuit Louth-Tandragee 275 kV lines, whereas the AC option did not require such a special protection system.

The AC option has the following technical advantages compared to the HVdc options:

- Lower line losses
- No overloads of the Woodland 380-220 kV transformer, the Louth-Tandragee 275 kV lines or the Tandragee-Turleenan 275 kV lines
- Higher short circuit levels at the Moyle converter bus
- When compared to the LCC option, can still provide reduced power transfer at reduced AC voltages whereas the LCC option can fail commutation during nearby and remote AC faults, causing temporary disruption in power transmission on the HVdc system.
- Does not require a special protection system for the loss of the double circuit 275 kV lines between Louth and Tandragee.

The HVdc options have the following technical advantages compared to the AC option:

- Reduced fault levels in nearby areas which may potentially result in fewer breaker replacements, if that is a concern.
- The VSC option has improved dynamic voltage performance compared to both the AC and LCC options as it inherently provides a range of dynamic reactive power support.

Between the two HVdc options, the following general comparisons can be made between the LCC and VSC options:

- A VSC does not fail commutation and therefore can still provide reduced power transfer at reduced AC voltages, whereas the LCC option may fail commutation during nearby and remote AC faults, causing temporary disruption in power transmission on the LCC HVdc system.
- A VSC provides steady state and dynamic AC voltage control with a large range of reactive power support, however this reactive power support does depend on the real power transmission and the MVA rating of the VSC (please refer to Section 8.1.3 for further explanation). An LCC consumes 50-60% reactive power based on real power loading, but self-compensates through the use of filters



R1116.03.04, Page 11 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009 banks and shunt capacitors. Therefore the VSC is superior in terms of voltage control.

- A disadvantage of a VSC is that for DC pole faults it will draw short circuit current from the AC system and look to the AC system like a remote AC fault plus a pole block, whereas the LCC DC line fault looks to the AC system only like a pole block. However in a cable system, as was studied for the LCC and VSC options, DC pole faults are very rare.
- The number of cables required for a VSC bipole would depend on the type of cable being used. Either XLPE or Mass Impregnated cable can be used, depending on the voltage and MW rating required. XLPE cable is cheaper but can only go up to approximately 320 kV DC. Mass Impregnated cable is more expensive but can go up to higher DC voltages. It becomes an economic study to determine how many XLPE cables would be required compared to mass impregnated cables, and which option would be less expensive. If multiple XLPE cables would be required, it could be assumed for this scenario that as a worst case cost, the VSC bipole would require the same number of Mass Impregnated cables as the LCC bipole, therefore not providing any cost benefit for the VSC in terms of cables. The VSC bipole would however save approximately 40% of the station foot print compared to the LCC bipole of the same rating.

A special protection system should be implemented for both HVdc options to increase the HVdc power order to take over 70-80% of the pre-contingency Louth-Tandragee flow in the event of the loss of the double circuit Louth-Tandragee 275 kV lines. The 70-80% power transfer level was tested for the four power flow cases studied, which in general represent various year 2020 network topologies from summer minimum to winter maximum loading with varying dispatches of conventional and wind generation. Whether or not the 70-80% value would need to change based on other system topologies not studied in the scenario would require further testing. However, unless another tie line between Northern Ireland and the Republic of Ireland were added, it is not expected that this value would need to change. Such a special protection scheme could consist of something similar to the following, which is what was tested in this study:

- Monitor the power flow in the double circuit Louth-Tandragee lines.
- Monitor breaker status of these lines, and if the breakers open to trip the lines, send a signal to the HVdc controls to increase the power order by the required percentage of pre-contingency Louth-Tandragee double circuit power transfer. Such communication delays would be expected to be in the range of 10 to 15 ms, however 20 ms was modeled to provide some margin of error.
- The HVdc controls can respond within less than one cycle to increase the power order. The actual power will reach the new power order within a few cycles.

Similar special protection systems exist in other HVdc schemes to quickly reduce or increase HVdc power to maintain system stability depending on major transmission lines tripping. For example, in the Nelson River HVDC scheme in Manitoba, a special protection scheme known as "HVDC reduction" is used to quickly reduce the HVdc power order to two LCC HVdc bipoles in the event of loss of various critical transmission lines in the AC system, including tie lines between Manitoba and the United States. The "HVDC reduction" scheme operates under certain pre-determined power flow conditions and adjusts the HVdc power orders according to the pre-contignency power flow that was measured in the particular transmission line that tripped.

Because the AC option does not require the special protection scheme it is simpler than the HVdc option. The special protection scheme relies on remote signals and therefore it is prone to error and misoperation. There may also be some difficulty in fully testing the scheme as it may require critical outages such as taking the double circuit out of service. However, these issues are not unique to this scheme and are common among protection systems that rely on remote signals.

In addition, both HVdc options could be designed with a controller to monitor the phase angle difference between the two systems when the double circuit Louth-Tandragee lines are out of service in order to further adjust the HVdc power transfer to minimize the angle difference between the North and South. AC line reclosing should be delayed until the phase angle difference is minimized. Please note this study did not consider or model such a controller.



R1116.03.04, Page 12 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009 A detailed summary of results for Scenario 2/3 can be found in Section 9.10.

Scenario 4 – Drawing Power out of the Area near Cork

This scenario compares AC and HVdc transmission options within a meshed AC network in order to draw power out of the congested area near Cork. Terminals for the new transmission are located at Glanagow, Cahir, Kilkenny and Loughteeog. The AC option consists of three single 400 kV AC lines. One of the VSC options consists of three two-terminal bipolar VSC links. The other VSC option consists of two three-terminal monopolar VSC links. The VSC options were selected as described due to limitations in modeling at the time of the studies, however in reality if a VSC link in this scenario were to be built it is expected that the most economic option would be to build it as a four-terminal bipolar VSC scheme, as it would require the least number of cables and VSC converters compared to the two VSC options studied in this scenario. In addition, if extra reliability is needed, a bipolar HVdc scheme has an inherent advantage over a single AC line as a bipolar HVdc scheme can operate with one pole out of service and continue to transmit power up to the rating of the in-service pole.

The studies show that the AC and VSC HVdc options are all technically feasible and each option could be integrated into the network. However, without consideration for economics or environmental impacts, based solely on the technical comparison between the AC and VSC HVdc options there were no significant technical advantages identified for the use of HVdc transmission instead of AC transmission between Glanagow-Cahir-Kilkenny-Loughteeog. VSC HVdc links have the benefit of inherent reactive power support and can utilize underground cables.

The VSC terminals at Aghada and Laois flagged the need for detailed studies to identify and mitigate potential subsynchronous resonance (SSR) issues with the Glanagow and Irishtown thermal generators, respectively.

The AC option showed significantly lower losses, fewer overloads in the local area and a stronger local AC system.

The study results show no significant difference between the AC and VSC HVdc options in terms of the following aspects:

- Steady state voltage violations once mitigation of reactive power support at Thurles and Portlaoise is added.
- Rate of change of frequency during and following faults.
- Long term frequency decay due to loss of generation the system settles to the same steady state underfrequency following the loss of generation. The VSC options are not able to improve the frequency because the VSC is not able to transfer power from an area with excess power since the VSC transmission is located within a meshed AC network and is not connected to an isolated system with excess power.

The AC option has the following technical advantages compared to the VSC options:

- Lower line losses
- Lower overloads in several 400 kV and 110 kV lines in the south-west area
- Higher short circuit levels resulting in a stronger local AC system
- The VSC terminals at Aghada (near the WhiteGen CCGT generator) and at Laois (near the Irishtown generator) both flagged the need for detailed SSR studies as the SSR screening procedure identified Unit Interaction Factors greater than 0.1 with the Glanagow and Irishtown generators, respectively. SSR is not a concern for the AC option.

The VSC options have the following technical advantages compared to the AC option:

• Improved voltage performance as the VSC can inherently reactive power support.



R1116.03.04, Page 13 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009 One of the important aspects of this scenario is the transmission congestion in the area of study. A purpose of the studying this scenario was to investigate whether or not it may be possible to use the power scheduling flexibility of the VSC links to relieve congestion rather than constraining generation and/or uprating lines in the area. However, because the VSC links are integrated within a meshed AC network, the power scheduling becomes complex, especially with four HVdc terminals that all have the ability to be adjusted. For example, if it is known that more power needs to be drawn from one of the four terminals, which of the other three terminals should this power be sent to so as to not create any new overloads? It is not necessarily an easy question to answer.

It was found that the following complications exist with attempting to rely on the VSC link to be redispatched to eliminate all n-1 violations:

- There are many overloaded lines and many contingencies causing these overloads so the VSC could not be pre-programmed to respond to every contingency that causes an overload.
- A re-dispatch of the VSC to eliminate the overload would need to be carefully calculated to properly share the re-dispatch among the four terminals so as not to create new overloads.
- The re-dispatch would be very dependent upon the network topology and load and generation dispatch.
- It would be complicated for an operator to determine an optimal strategy to compensate overloads, but certainly more detailed studies can be carried out to specifically investigate the most effective action to be undertaken by the HVdc links.

A detailed summary of results for Scenario 4 can be found in Section 10.8.

Scenario 5 – Multi-Terminal VSC in Northern Ireland

This scenario performs a preliminary stability investigation into the potential feasibility of connecting a five-terminal voltage source converter (VSC) HVdc system within a meshed AC network in Northern Ireland. The equivalent AC solution would be a five-terminal double circuit 275 kV AC line, however this scenario does not directly compare the AC option to the HVdc option. This study highlights if any major stability issues may arise.

The studies show that the five-terminal VSC HVdc scheme connecting Turleenan to Omagh, Omagh to Coolkeeragh, Coolkeeragh to Coleraine, and Coleraine to Kells could be technically feasible, based on the limited analysis that was performed. Losses are quite high however, up to 59.6 MW, due to the fixed losses associated with the five VSC converters.

The limited transient stability analysis that was performed showed stable system response to the faults that were studied.

Based on the selected power flow cases and contingencies that were studied, there was nothing noted to suggest that the five-terminal VSC option would not be technically feasible. The transmission scheme as studied was entirely HVdc transmission; however it would also be possible to integrate it as only partly HVdc, but this was not specifically studied. It should be cautioned that no such system has ever been built, and the application of being embedded in a meshed AC network is not typical.

A detailed summary of results for Scenario 5 can be found in Section 11.5.

If HVdc transmission is being considered for any part of the expansion plans of the Northern Ireland system, then a more detailed study would need to be undertaken at a point in time when the study scenarios are more developed. Future studies could include more generation and load scenarios, more faults (including DC faults), short circuit analysis, sub-synchronous resonance studies and frequency scans. These studies should compare AC solutions with other viable transmission alternatives that include some or all parts being HVdc transmission.



R1116.03.04, Page 14 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

1. <u>Introduction</u>

EirGrid plc contracted TransGrid Solutions Inc (TGS) (TGS, having Dual as a main sub-contractor) to perform an investigation into various HVdc schemes and the impact they would have on the operation, performance and security of the transmission system of the Republic of Ireland. The goal of the project is to investigate the integration of HVdc schemes into the AC system, how they might be applied and how these schemes perform in comparison with each other and with equivalent AC schemes.

To fulfill the first task for the project a Methodology Workshop was held. The objective of the Methodology Workshop was to design a set of studies, the results of which would enable EirGrid/NIE/SONI to qualitatively compare different HVdc schemes and equivalent AC schemes in terms of their impact on the Republic of Ireland and Northern Ireland transmission systems. Several scenarios were defined in which an AC solution and alternative HVdc solution(s) were proposed. From this workshop a Methodology Report [1] was prepared for the project to define the various study scenarios, the study scope, study assumptions, procedures, criteria and data requirements.

1.1. Background

As taken directly from the Request for Proposals document:

"Increasing demand for electricity, technology advances, renewable energy targets, environmental awareness, increasing public opposition to overhead lines and the development of common electricity markets are among the issues providing opportunities and posing challenges to those that plan the transmission networks of tomorrow. Particular challenges of concern to those that plan the lrish transmission system include:

- Facilitating greater cross-border power transfers between the Republic of Ireland and Northern Ireland in the context of the new all-island single electricity market;
- Accommodating upwards of 8,000 MW of renewable generation whose connection applications are currently being processed;
- Maintaining security of supply as the existing capacity of the network becomes increasingly stretched.

It is in this context that EirGrid wishes to investigate the feasibility or otherwise of using HVdc schemes to develop the transmission system of the Republic of Ireland."

1.2. Terms of Reference

This study undertakes an investigation into the impact that various HVdc schemes would have on the operation, performance and security of the transmission system of the Republic of Ireland. The aim of investigating the integration of HVdc schemes into the currently exclusively AC Irish transmission system is to identify the nature of the available schemes, how they impact upon AC systems, how and under what circumstances might they be applied to the Irish transmission system and how these schemes perform in comparison with each other and with equivalent AC schemes.

The investigation qualitatively compares Voltage Source Converter (VSC) HVdc, Line-Commutated Converter (LCC) HVdc and equivalent AC solutions for a number of transmission development scenarios. Schemes are compared exclusively in terms of their relative impact on transmission system performance, security and flexibility rather than on aspects such as cost or the environment.

The scope of work for the comparative analysis is as follows:

For each HVdc scheme, perform the following analysis: *PSSE STEADY STATE* Contingency analysis.



R1116.03.04, Page 15 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

PSSE SHORT CIRCUIT

Standard PSS/E calculation of fault levels at each node on the system for AC/HVdc scheme comparison purposes.

PSSE DYNAMIC

Standard PSS/E transient stability analysis of normal clearing three-phase and slow clearing single-phase faults as well as HVdc contingencies such as pole blocking. Note that three-phase faults are more severe than single-phase faults. For this reason it is suggested to use the back-up or breaker failure protection clearing time for single-phase faults.

PSCAD studies are not required for simulation of single-phase faults as resultant harmonic distortions in unbalanced situation would be mitigated at the detailed design stage of an HVdc scheme (these phenomena would not be captured in a PSS/E study).

PSCAD FREQUENCY SCAN

High level study. Impedance traces to be calculated as a function of frequency in order to flag potential harmonic issues.

HAND CALCULATION – SUBSYNCHRONOUS RESONANCE (SSR)

SSR prediction to be carried out using Kundur's Unit Interaction Factor (UIF) method [2]. Based on the results of the analyses, perform a comparison of the HVdc schemes and the equivalent AC alternatives.



R1116.03.04, Page 16 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

2. <u>General HVdc Information</u>

In this chapter, general information on key technological issues involving HVdc transmission either by the so-called classic technology – using power thyristors, and referred to as Line Commutated Converters (LCC), or through a more recent technology – using self commutated power electronic switches (IGBT – Insulate Gate Bipolar Transistors) and referred to as Voltage Source Converters (VSC) will be described and compared, with the objective of providing an overview of the two technologies which potentially could be used in this study application.

Considerations of environmental and HVdc market aspects are also included in this chapter.

2.1. Why DC, why AC

There are applications in which HVdc transmission is either the unique or the most economical alternative for power transmission. Examples include:

- Transmission with overhead line distances above 1000 km, where the need of various intermediate tappings is not present (e.g. Itaipu and Nelson River projects);
- Interconnecting systems with different frequencies (50 Hz to 60 Hz) (e.g. Argentina-Brazil Garabi back-to-back project);
- Undersea or underground cables with lengths around 50 km or more (e.g. the Moyle project 55 km sea cable, Directlink Australia 59 km underground cable);
- Need for asynchronous operation (even at the same frequency base), like interconnection of different markets (e.g. Hydro Quebec-New England HVdc project);
- Need for absolute power scheduling (e.g. Basslink project)

In other applications, the selection of AC or DC would normally be achieved through a technical and economic evaluation of a particular scheme. The HVdc technology represents a transmission with only two conductors instead of three (in AC), at zero Hz, i.e., it decouples the AC systems at each terminal, producing the asynchronous effect. Also, HVdc being a technology that employs solid state switching devices, its speed of power control is within a sub-cycle mode, which means a very fast control of the power being transmitted. For LCC HVdc applications, the effects of: (ii) very fast action towards reducing the voltage to zero and limiting the transmitted current when a fault occurs – an inherent circuit breaker effect - are also available.

Figure 2.1 depicts a schematic of the typical arrangements of AC and DC alternatives. It also shows a comparison of AC and DC line tower configurations.



R1116.03.04, Page 17 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009





Figure 2.1. Schematic Arrangements and Line Tower Configurations with AC and DC

Furthermore, because of its nature of:

- not being coupled to AC networks
- not participating in the impedance share of power in a meshed network
- not presenting any transmission limit due to displacement angle between terminals
- being able to transmit exactly the power level desired, irrespective to the rest of the system, HVdc also has unique advantages to play an important role in congested networks or in situations where system stability is an issue. This ability to transmit according to a desired power level "order" allows for the possibility to incorporate an additional power level (signal) in its power order to assist the AC systems to become more stable. Examples:
 - when a situation of frequency decay occurs in the receiving system (due to a sudden lack of generation, the HVdc is able to increase its power to compensate for this, in a very fast manner (less than a cycle);
 - when the system frequency or machine angles are oscillating, the HVdc is able to provide more or less power to stabilize the network, providing both synchronizing and damping torques.

Figures 2.2 and 2.3 summarize typical HVdc installations, in terms of its main components and inherent characteristics.



R1116.03.04, Page 18 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009



Figure 2.2. Typical HVdc Components (LCC and VSC based)



Figure 2.3. HVdc Technology Basis - LCC

In terms of configurations, Figure 2.4 describes the most common arrangements found in HVdc applications. Some options rely on the possibility of allowing a current to flow through ground (or sea ground) during a loss of one pole. This is not always possible due to environmental constraints, therefore some arrangements consider the possibility of using the so-called metallic return, which is the use of the other conductor as a return path for the current in case of a loss of one valve group at a substation, thereby allowing half or even more than half of rated power.

All of these possibilities are normally considered in the feasibility phase of the project studies and the technical, economic and environmental results are combined towards the adoption of the project's final configuration.



R1116.03.04, Page 19 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009



Fig. 2.4. HVdc Configurations for Transmission Projects Source:ABB

In the vast majority of HVdc applications, the configuration comprises a positive and a negative pole – leading to a bipolar mode of operation, and a point-to-point transmission scheme, with no intermediate taps. However, in two existing schemes, the configuration relates to the so-called multi-terminal arrangement (more than two terminals), with very positive performance reports. This means that, in fact, there is no actual limitation to designing a scheme with more than two terminals.

Figure 2.5 highlights the two existing schemes which use a multi-terminal arrangement.



R1116.03.04, Page 20 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009



Figure 2.5. Existing Multiterminal HVdc Schemes, on the left the Hydro Québec original 5terminals (today only with Radisson-Nicolet and Sandy Pond as Comerford and Des Cantons have been shut down) and on the right the Terna – Italy scheme.

2.2. Recent History on HVdc

Power thyristors were first used in an HVdc scheme, back in 1972 (still using air cooled valves, and from 1975, using water cooled valves), at the Eel River and Nelson River schemes, in Canada, respectively. Therefore, it is a development that was implemented almost 40 years ago. In this period, its design has been continuously optimized, in terms of compactness, reliability, quality of production, and mainly, in its voltage – current capabilities: its power range.

Presently, this type of device can be used in any HVdc application ranging from few tens of kV (for back-to-back applications) to huge \pm 800 kV / 4,5 kA trunk systems, as seen in recent developments in China and India.

The VSC type of arrangement began to be used in power system transmission applications much more recently, in 1998. Till recently, say 2005, a clear market share between LCC and VSC applications has been established, with the latter being employed in small to medium size schemes, such as up to 300 MW and ±150 kV, leaving the applications of larger scales to the LCC technology. Also, it should be noted that VSCs till 2005 were used in underground or submarine cable schemes due to a technological limitation on its ability to handle dc line faults due to an existing permanent path for the current through the anti-parallel diodes at the valves; however this issue has been resolved by employing both ac and dc circuit breakers in a coordinated form and the Caprivi link in Namibia will employ a VSC overhead line application.

Therefore, from 2006 and on, this market share between both technologies has been changed, for few main reasons and facts: (i) an overhead long distance scheme in Namibia – the Caprivi Link, has "cleared" the technological barrier of reducing the fault current to zero at the DC line to enable a successful restart; (ii) the voltage and current capabilities of modern IGBTs has been improved quite substantially, so manufacturers are now offering the possibility of applications at ± 500 kV and above



R1116.03.04, Page 21 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009 1,000 MW; (iii) IGBT losses have being reduced so as to be become economically more and more comparable with thyristor based applications.

As a conclusion, one can say the potential market share of the VSC technology can be predicted to enter into the LCC portion and to really compete with, up to the level of 1,000 MW to 1,500 MW power based schemes. As this study contemplated the year 2020 as its base scenario, this assumption is perfectly reachable.

Also, with reference to multi-terminal applications, when employing VSC technology, power can be reversed at an intermediate tap independently of the main power flow direction without switching to reverse voltage polarity.

2.3. Comparison Summary of the LCC and VSC Technologies

There are a large number of published papers dealing with comparative analysis of both approaches. In this section, a summarized comparison will be presented. Also one can mention various papers of potential application of say mid-size schemes, where for some the LCC approach was selected, while in others, the VSC was preferred. In the latter case, an interesting application of a 340 MW link with 200 km of submarine cable, in the Mexican system was presented at CIGRÉ, in the 2008 Session. In this reference, by adopting losses at 1.8% with VSC, and employing SVCs at both terminals of the LCC alternative, the VSC solution became around 30% more economical as compared with the LCC.

In summary the main characteristics of the LCC technology can be summarized as follows:

- Utilize thyristor technology
- Commutation among voltage phases (switching) requires an external voltage source and is done at fundamental frequency
- Converters at both ends absorb reactive power
- Difficult to be used in black start networks
- Requires a minimum ratio between the DC power and the receiving end short circuit power of approximately 2 – 2.5
- It can be used for multi-terminal applications, however, if the converters are of very different power magnitude, it could be a problem, since the outage of a smaller converter may impose a temporary outage of the whole HVdc system
- It is well proven technology, with low losses (in the range of 0.6% to 0.75% per station, or 1.5% for both) and the series association of thyristors to reach voltages above 500 kV represents a known practice
- The LCC technique is referred to as current source converters
- For power flow reversal, the current is kept (unidirectional) and the voltage changes polarity

On the other hand, the new VSC transmission technology presents the following characteristics:

- Can be used for purely passive networks, since does not require a voltage source at each end, due to its dc capacitor function (black start capability)
- It is a technology under a high derivative of technological development not so well proven as the LCC
- Its losses are still higher as compared to a LCC; typical values are 2.7% to 3.3% considering both stations
- It has some limitations in terms of overload capabilities
- For power flow reversal, the voltage is kept and the current is reversed
- Much more suitable for a multi-terminal application
- Can be used for both cables and overhead applications
- Can absorb or provide reactive power to the AC systems and can control AC voltage



R1116.03.04, Page 22 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009 It should be noted that a combination of VSC and LCC terminals could be adopted if found to technically and economically advantageous, but is outside of the scope of this project.

2.3.1. AC Faults – LCC HVdc

An LCC HVdc system will respond to AC fault differently than a VSC HVdc system.

Typically, for faults near a rectifier of an LCC HVdc link, the fault will cause the dc voltage at the rectifier to decrease and force the current to zero, which leads to a temporary loss of dc power, which may cause an overvoltage at the inverter and rectifier terminals, due to reactive compensation left on the AC converter buses, but this is a function of system strength.

Typically, for fault near an inverter of an LCC HVdc link, the dc voltage at the inverter decreases, which may cause the current to not completely commutate from one valve to the other, causing a short-circuit, otherwise known as commutation failure. Upon failure of commutation, the dc current rises drastically until the controls can bring it back down, which will be within a couple of cycles. In addition to the increase in current, both sides may also suffer AC overvoltages as described for the rectifier fault.

A fault at the AC inverter side which will cause the voltage to drop more than 10-15% will certainly cause a commutation failure. This phenomenon can be seen in Figure 2.6: it has the effect of a short circuit in the valve terminals, and will cause a temporary interruption of the DC power, until a new commutation process can be developed and the DC power will be ramped-up in a few hundred of milliseconds (typically 200 - 400 ms).



Figure 2.6. Effect of Commutation Failures: short-circuit in the valve terminals

In terms of the LCC HVdc response to AC faults (three-phase), at both the inverter and rectifier terminals, Figures 2.7 and 2.8 show typical behavior of a HVDC scheme using submarine cables, under these conditions.



R1116.03.04, Page 23 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009



Figure 2.7 Typical Behavior of an LCC HVdc scheme under an inverter $3\emptyset$ AC Fault Source: Siemens



Figure 2.8 Typical Behavior of an LCC HVdc scheme under a rectifier 3Ø AC Fault Source: Siemens

During the fault period, the HVdc link is not able to transfer any power, However, it can be seen that the DC current order (or reference) has been kept at a reduced level (but not zero) in order to speed up and minimize the recovery period till reestablishing full power again.



R1116.03.04, Page 24 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

2.3.2. AC Faults – VSC HVdc

Unlike an LCC converter, a VSC converter does not fail commutation during an AC fault. Unless a threephase AC fault is directly on the terminals of the VSC (either end), even at reduced terminal voltage during a three-phase fault elsewhere in the system, the VSC will still be able to feed a reduced amount of power to the AC system during the fault depending on the voltage reduction seen at the VSC AC terminals. In addition, the VSC will likely be able to recover faster than the LCC once the AC fault is cleared. Figure 2.9 shows simulation results for a 3-phase fault at the receiving end of a VSC based HVdc link.



Figure 2.9. Typical behavior of a VSC based HVdc scheme under a 3-ph AC fault (from Cigre 2006, paper B4-105).

2.3.3. DC Faults – LCC HVdc

An LCC HVdc system will also respond to a DC fault differently than a VSC HVdc system.

A DC line fault in an LCC HVdc system will not draw any fault current from the AC system, as the fault current will extinguish quickly due to the uni-directional aspects of the thyristor valves. A dc line fault has a very similar impact on the AC systems as a rectifier fault.

Typically in an overhead LCC HVdc link, following a DC fault, fast control actions reduce DC current within milliseconds after its initial increase. Then by pushing all converters to operate as inverters energy is removed from fault and the arc is extinguished. Normal operation can be resumed after waiting for a short 'de-ionization' period. In a cable HVDC system, faults usually cause damage to the cable and the system will trip after a DC fault.

Figure 2.10 shows the behavior of the CIGRE Benchmark test system for a mid-point DC line fault.





Figure 2.10. Typical Behavior of a HVdc scheme under a DC line fault.

It can be seen that, from the receiving end standpoint (inverter terminal), a DC line fault and a sending end AC line fault show similar behaviors. Obviously, as in the case of AC faults, the DC line fault has to be cleared, before re-energization.

2.3.4. DC Faults – VSC HVdc

An inherent weakness of a VSC bipolar overhead line is a dc line fault. During the time it takes to clear a dc line fault, it is fed from all of the AC systems connected to the dc line through the VSC diodes. As a result, large fault currents will be drawn from the AC systems, however the effect will be less than a normal AC fault as the converter transformer, phase reactors, dc smoothing reactors (if present) and any line impedance between the location of the fault and the VSC introduce an impedance which limits the current drawn from the AC side as well as limiting the rate of growth of the fault current. However, for the length of time it takes to clear the dc line fault (around 60 ms as described in the next paragraph), the AC voltage in all connected systems will be reduced. The severity of the AC voltage reduction will depend on the strength of the AC system and location of the dc fault. Power infeed from the VSC is also significantly reduced while the fault is present as the power transfer in the faulty pole is stopped and power transfer in the healthy pole is reduced due to the drop in AC voltage.

Based on information provided by one of the VSC suppliers, the dc line fault clearing sequence for converters connected pole-neutral operates as follows (timings are sequential and cumulative, but approximate), for a total time of 490 ms:

- dc line fault detection +10 ms (to be conservative)
- open AC and dc breakers at all stations connected to the faulted pole +50 ms after fault detection. The AC breaker clearing time of +50 ms removes the fault current source, the dc breaker clearing breaks the dc line current transient to begin the deionization time
- dc line fault deionization time + 250 ms
- close AC breakers with damping circuit to re-energize converters +100 ms
- close dc breakers to re-energize dc line pole + 50 ms
- deblock converters to restart the power flow + 30 ms



R1116.03.04, Page 26 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009 The same is true for a VSC cable application in that a pole to ground fault will draw current from the AC systems, however a cable fault is very rare compared to an overhead line fault and is considered permanent, so if it occurs the converters will not restart.

2.4. Environmental Considerations

As for the present study, the alternatives for transmission expansion consider the application of underground cables. It is interesting to visualize possible dimensions of both AC and DC substations and for the latter, considering both LCC and VSC solutions, for the sake of environmental impacts of each of the alternatives. CIGRÉ WG B4-44, was specially created to deal with HVdc environmental issues and is an excellent source of references on the subject.

As a direct comparison of AC and DC projects, an interesting example is the Brazilian/Paraguayan Itaipu generation plant where 50% of the generation is at 50 Hz (most of it transmitted to Brazil via two bipoles of \pm 600 kV HVdc) and 50% at 60 Hz, which connects to three AC circuits of 765 kV. These lines run in a parallel geographic arrangement.

Figure 2.10 shows the sending end AC and DC terminals, which are located adjacent in Foz do Iguacu. One can see that the foot print dedicated to the DC alternative is approximately twice the one used for the AC solution. Although the power levels for this scheme are beyond the ones considered in the present study, this comparison provide a good basis for analysis at reduced power levels.

In this comparison, one relevant point is that most of the difference between both alternatives relies on the presence of AC and DC filters and the valve hall in the HVdc alternative.

Please note that in Figure 2.11 only the DC link yard arrangement is detailed, not the AC system yard, however the figure does correspond to the actual footprints.



Fig, 2.11. Itaipu AC and DC Stations at Foz do Iguacu (sending end terminal).

A second interesting comparison can be made within the HVdc alternative when comparing LCC and VSC solutions. For this comparison, equipment suppliers usually provide relevant information. Figure 2.11 shows a comparison of foot print requirements of 400 MW LCC and VSC solutions, based on Siemens approach.



R1116.03.04, Page 27 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009



Fig. 2.12. Comparison of HVdc LCC and VSC stations. Source: Siemens.

As per the comparison above, one can state that the VSC solution provides a 40% reduction in the foot print requirements compared to LCC. Again, in this case, the non-presence of AC and DC filters in the VSC solution is the key factor for obtaining the space reduction, since for the same level of MW and kV, there is no reduction possible in the other components and in electrical distances. Please note that this 40% reduction in the foot print is based on a direct comparison of LCC and VSC, i.e. both alternatives being bipoles or both alternatives being monopoles. This analysis has been based on air insulated technology, not considering gas insulated arrangements.

In conclusion, one can say that for the power levels being considered in the present study, a similar station foot print requirement for a future project, employing air insulated technology could be found by either an AC or a HVdc – VSC solution; and a twice the amount of space would be required if the comparison is made between AC and HVdc LCC alternatives.

In terms of operating HVdc schemes, many of its environmental issues are related to the electrodes and ground currents derived from unbalanced operation, such as in monopolar mode in which ground current flows.

Experience to date, with HVdc schemes, shows there is strong correlation between good environmental understanding during project planning and implementation of new HVdc projects. One of the main challenges is to respond adequately to public concerns with sound information in an understandable form. One of the most critical issues is the subject of electrodes and operation of the HVdc links using electrodes. The concerns related to electrodes are:

- Interference with electrical systems (i.e. dc saturation of transformers)
- Corrosion due to electric fields from electrodes (on third party property, pipelines etc)
- Chlorine emission from sea anodes and impact on marine life

In case of ground electrodes are not allowed for any reason, a separate low voltage conductor need to be installed to provide a path for the current under unbalanced operating conditions.



R1116.03.04, Page 28 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

2.4.1. DC Saturation of Transformers

Transformer saturation is a well-known and well understood problem in east-west power transmission in Northern and Southern latitudes. This is caused by the natural latitudinal ground potential differences caused during auroral storms.

Transformer saturation may occur due to electric fields around electrodes. Current entering the grounded star point of a transformer will lead to constant magnetizing of the core, which, superpose the symmetrical AC magnetizing. Saturation is not a serious problem for the huge number of small grid transformers (< 200 MVA), because they are normally three-phase, three-limbed. Attention should be drawn to large single phase units and to large three-phase units.

These transformers are often five-limbs to reduce transportation heights. Reactors with magnetic cores, for compensation purposes, are not exposed to dc saturation, because the gaps in the magnetic circuit prevent the reactor from achieving any significant constant flux.

The problem of saturation can be reduced or solved by introducing resistances or blocking devices in the neutrals. Anyhow, the most effective protection for transformer saturation is to locate the electrode station sufficiently distant from any large substation, including the converter station.

2.4.2. Electrical and Magnetic Fields and Corrosion

When current flows through sea and earth, an electric field will be set up between the two electrodes. The magnitude and distribution will depend on the resistivity of the earth layers and sea in the area. The movement of the ocean currents through the earth's magnetic field induces a natural electric field in seawater. The field varies according to water velocity and geomagnetic variability. At an easily calculated distance from each electrode this background field will exceed the electric field set up by the HVdc electrode current.

Increased corrosion impact on metallic installations can be a consequence of the electrical field set up by an electrode. Stray currents can flow in long metallic installations, like pipelines, or smaller installations close to the electrode. Increased corrosion can occur where the stray current leaves the metal, when the stray current value exceeds known values.

Experience from existing and planned HVdc links shows that the corrosion problem can be avoided or mitigated cost-effectively. On submarine pipelines adding more material to the existing sacrificial anodes or new anodes on the pipelines can provide mitigation.

2.4.3. Magnetic Fields

Magnetic fields are related to installations with sea electrodes, which might not be the case of the foreseen applications of this study.

Compass deviation is one concern related to this but only for very shallow sea crossings and harbour areas. The magnitude of the magnetic field at sea level will depend on the depth of sea. The natural earths magnetic field 12 m from a cable with a current of 1000 A is of the same magnitude as the cables magnetic field. Compass deviation appears to be of concern in some countries rather than a worldwide issue. The naval authorities may put restrictions in entrances to harbours to limit compass error. A possible way to handle compass deviation in coastal areas or shallow waters is to locate a sea electrode off the coast so the electrode cable follows the HVdc cable through the critical area. Another concern is magneto-sensitive fish and marine life.

2.5. Market Considerations

HVdc is an evolving and very successful technology, present on all five continents, with very different challenges to be reached. More recently, with the plans for building two new HVdc schemes per year, in



R1116.03.04, Page 29 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009 China, it can be expected that the existing worldwide 90,000 MW of commercial projects (in construction or in operation) will double the capacity in 20 years time.

Figure 2.13 describes a market diagram of the existing HVdc schemes on a worldwide basis, taken in 2003, when the total installed capacity was in the range of 70,000 MW.



HVDC in the World

Figure 2.13. HVdc transmission schemes worldwide.

2.6. HVdc Power Dispatching

Additional information that is relevant to this study is the ability and current practices of HVdc dispatches during a day or on a yearly basis.

Several examples of commercial schemes dispatch profiles have been obtained and herein reported.

Figures 2.14 and 2.15 show typical daily dispatches of two schemes: (i) The Brazilian Itaipu ±600 kV Bipoles 1 and 2 scheme; (ii) the Norway to Denmark Skagerrak HVdc Bipoles 1 to 3 scheme.



R1116.03.04, Page 30 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009



In the Itaipu scheme, one bipole operates close its full capacity (3,150 MW) where the second bipole matched the power level required.

In the Skagerrak dispatch diagram, the negative power represents import flow from Denmark to Norway, where the opposite stays for export from Norway to Denmark.

In theory, the power order Porder of a HVdc link may vary as needed to match the desired flow level. The speed of the Porder MW/sec variation will depend on the strength of the AC network to withstand these variations, on a safe mode, without triggering a disturbance effect, such as voltage/power oscillation or collapse.

The Porder should be changed at both terminals and this requires a coordination of signals between both terminals, through telecom infrastructure. However, this is not an issue, and all HVdc schemes do have this capability with redundancy, so as to ensure a safe coordination at any circumstance.

In LCC HVdc schemes, one should also look at the converter transformer tap changer operation cycle, as changes occur in the system. At the rectifier side, the tap changer controls the alpha angle in order to keep it at the set point (usually 15 degrees). At the inverter, the tap changer controls the desired dc voltage level. Also, in large variations of Porder, one should keep in mind switching operations with AC filters to match the reactive/active power balance at each terminal.

It is also important to visualize the HVdc power dispatching on an annual basis. A good example can be found from the New Zealand HVdc scheme which interconnects both Islands (South and North). Figure 2.16 shows the recollection of 5 years of operation, from January 2003 to September 2008, recording the weekly maximum values of power dispatch at each direction.



R1116.03.04, Page 31 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009



Figure. 2.16. New Zealand HVdc scheme annual dispatch profile (2003 – 2008) on a weekly basis. Courtesy: Transpower, NZ.

Figure 2.16 Legend:

DCN - HVDC power transfer from the south island to the north island. DCS - HVDC power transfer from the north island to the south island. Upper red line: average weekly maximum northwards HVDC power transfer. Lower red line: average weekly minimum northwards HVDC power transfer. Upper blue line: average weekly minimum southwards HVDC power transfer. Lower blue line: average weekly maximum southwards HVDC power transfer. The bars: MW weekly average transfer in both directions.

2.7. Operational Considerations

An LCC HVdc link is normally designed to operate between 10% and 100% of its rated power, without being disconnected, unless necessary or suitable for system operation. Its ability to provide quick response to system needs leads to establishing the link as available most of the time. In case a resynchronization should be done after a shut down, this quick response ability is lost.

2.7.1. Converter Start Up and Shut Down

There are two steps involved in the start up of a DC link, whether it is an LCC or a VSC link. For LCC systems, it should be highlighted that normally a minimum DC current level of 10% of the rated power is imposed, so as to enable a suitable and stable thyristor operation with no discontinuities.

1) AC Energization

In this step, the AC breakers are closed to energize the converter transformer. Typical pre-conditions here include the cooling system of the valves being in operation and the ground switches being in the open position. This step is performed independently at each station.



R1116.03.04, Page 32 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009 Once the transformer is energized, the tap changer controls are activated and drive the tap changer to a pre-determined tap position.

2) Converter Start Up (Deblock)

In this step, the converters are put into operation. The term "deblocked" refers to the release of the pulses from the valve controls to the converters. In this step both ends must be available for the deblock. Typically, the deblock is performed at minimum power to minimize the impact on the ac system.

There are two types of shutdown.

- 1) The first is manual or planned shutdown, which is referred to as blocking. In this case HVdc link power is ramped down to the minimum power and then a command is simply sent to block the pulses to the converters at both stations. The stations can remain AC energized and can be put back into operation any time.
- 2) The second type is a shutdown due to a fault which would involve the tripping of the AC breaker at the faulted station. In these situations the DC can be re-energized and deblocked within minutes (need to allow time for the filters to discharge before re-energization). However, it is always prudent to investigate the cause of the fault.

2.7.2. HVdc with Wind Penetration

HVdc plays an important role with regards to increasing wind energy penetration scenarios. One reason is related to a suitable asynchronous connection type between generators and the network, which might help the stability conditions of the wind generators. Another reason is due to the fact that many new wind farm projects will be located off-shore, and the need for relatively long (above 50 km) submarine cables would lead to the employment of the DC technology. In this case, VSC appears to be the most attractive alternative, as it provides among other advantages, the network black-start possibility (pure passive grid), a more flexible reactive power exchange pattern between converters and the AC system, more adequate power and voltage levels etc.

Another advantage of the VSC DC alternative is related to the fact that there is no voltage polarity reversal, as there is in the case of LCC. LCC cables should be purchased and designed having in mind whether or not there would be polarity reversal and under what frequency conditions. In the VSC case, the voltage is not reversed when the flow should change its direction, as it is the current which reverts.

The XLPE cable is used with VSC DC and is not used with LCC DC, and therefore has no issues with power reversal because the voltage polarity is not affected, only the current direction is changed. Therefore it is not a cable issue, it is a converter function. In the case of the MI cable, the current is in the same direction but the voltage is reversed and certainly the cable can be more vulnerable. However, it has not been an issue for any of the cable systems.

In both the LCC and the VSC, there are no limitations on the frequency of power reversal, assuming that power is not reversing every few minutes. The frequency of power reversal should be stated in any specifications. The difference between power reversal in LCC and VSC is explained above. Obviously reversal in the LCC means voltage polarity reversal, which adds some stresses to some equipment such as the cable and converter transformers. However, the power reversal on demand is performed usually over a ramp to protect the ac system.

The dielectric in a cable becomes polarized with a time constant that is essentially proportional to the resistivity times the dielectric constant. So, when the voltage is reversed, the stresses inside the cable are significantly increased. Immediately following the reversal, the internal stress on the dielectric system is the DC field distribution immediately before the reversal plus the AC (dielectric) field distribution after the reversal. If the reversal is short lived, then the effect should be limited because partial discharges activity should be limited to a very short time. If the voltage is maintained at the full DC voltage with the new polarity after the reversal, the stresses will be redistributed with the time constant as given above.



R1116.03.04, Page 33 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009 In addition, there are no restrictions on how often an HVdc link is started up or shut down. It does not apply extra stresses on the equipment.



R1116.03.04, Page 34 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

3. AC and DC Performance Statistics Highlights

As statistics for AC systems performance are not commonly found in literature, the main source of information used herein has relied on the Brazilian Transmission Interconnected System, under the responsibility of the Brazilian TSO, called ONS. The ONS statistics are made available by type of equipment (called transmission function) and do not produce general and consolidated figures for the AC system performance as a whole. Therefore the following statistics can be found:

- Transformers
- Reactors
- Capacitors
- Transmission lines
- Busbars
- Generators

Failure Statistics on the Brazilian Interconnected System, prepared by ONS, have been collected based on data from 2002 to 2006, covering the national grid from 220 kV up to 750 kV systems.

As for EirGrid/NIE/SONI, the likelihood of constructing new overhead transmission lines is low. For the sake of comparing AC with DC, failures rate on transformers, reactors and capacitors have been taken as being representative of AC systems performance. A special emphasis has been given to comparing AC transformer and converter transformer for HVdc applications in terms of performance and outage statistics, for reasons explained below.

HVdc systems are known for producing relevant statistics related to its performance since 1972, through CIGRE. Therefore, in this section, a comparison analysis between the Brazilian TSO (ONS) and the CIGRE Group B4-04 on HVdc Performance has been combined to attempt to provide a general view how those two solutions can be compared in terms of their reliability and availability, having in mind that comparing two different sources of data ought to be done with caution; however, there is no common platform where this analysis can be found and TGS is offering this analysis for enhancing the information requested by EirGrid/NIE/SONI.

AC systems performance data are normally produced in terms of number of failures per year – frequency of failures. For HVdc systems, as they directly influence the active power (or energy) being transmitted, CIGRE performance figures are generally produced in terms of equivalent energy availability (in % of time), which also takes duration time into account.

3.1. AC System Statistics

All results are based on data from ONS reports for 5 years of operation - 2002 to 2006.

AC transformers

Forced Outages

Considering 9959 as the total sampling figure and a total of 3214 transformers with outages, the figure of 0.32 transformer/year is calculated, which means each transformer fails 3.2 times during every 10 year period. It should also be considered that these are outage figures not failure rate figures. When one looks more deeply at the ONS data, it can be verified that approximately 20% of the number of outages are due to transformer internal faults.

All Outages

A similar figure can be prepared considering all types of outages, due to faults: internal and external, permanent and temporary, forced and scheduled. The total figure reached approximately 0.59 transformer/year, or alternatively 1.69 years/failure. Duration time to repair failed transformers was on an average 24 hours.



R1116.03.04, Page 35 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009
AC Reactors

Forced Outages

Considering the same criterion, the ratio of 0.14 reactor/year is calculated, which means each reactor fails 1.4 times during every 10 year period.

All Outages

A similar figure can be prepared considering all types of outages, due to faults: internal and external, permanent and temporary, forced and scheduled. The total figure reached approximately 0.18 reactor/year, or alternatively 5.55 years/failure.

AC Capacitors

Forced Outages

Considering the same criterion the ratio of 0.43 capacitor/year is calculated, which means each capacitor fails 4.3 times during every 10 year period.

• All Outages

A similar figure can be prepared considering all types of outages, due to faults: internal and external, permanent and temporary, forced and scheduled. The total figure reached approximately 2.2 capacitor/year, or alternatively 0.45 years/failure.

Overall AC Availability Indices

Based on the same source (Brazilian ONS), it can be verified that an overall availability ratio between 99% and 99.5% is achievable, considering the whole set of installations of the Interconnected Transmission System (220 kV and above network), depending on the year and particular conditions that might occur, such as particular major failures. This illustrative figure may be obtained considering an average or consolidated index of the general performance of each transmission function (transmission lines, transformers, reactive compensation equipment, capacitors, protection equipment etc.), weighted and compound by individual line lengths or MVA equipment rating, not meaning that the electricity service has been shut down for 0.5% or 1% of the time. This overall index was meant to provide a possible comparison with availability indices of HVdc schemes and should be read as performance indices of each transmission function in general. Again, it should be noted that there is no place where AC and DC statistics are equally treated.

3.2. HVdc Statistics - CIGRE Protocol

CIGRE advisory group B4.04 collects data annually on the reliability and performance of HVdc systems in operation throughout the world.

The Energy Availability is a measure of the amount of energy that could have been transmitted over the HVdc systems, except as limited by the forced and scheduled outages of the converter station equipment and dc transmission lines or cables.

The energy utilization is a measure of the energy actually transmitted. Both parameters are expressed in percentage based on the maximum continuous capacity of the HVdc systems.

Forced Energy Unavailability (FEU) is the amount of energy that could not been transmitted over the dc system due to forced outages. B4.04 Protocol only considers converter station equipment outages and not the dc line or cables.

Scheduled Energy Unavailability (SEU) is the amount of energy that could not have been transmitted over the DC system due to scheduled outages. It is clear that scheduled outages have less impact because such outages can be taken during light load conditions.

Main definitions used in the Protocol are:



R1116.03.04, Page 36 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

- P_m is the maximum continuous capacity excluding any added capacity available through means of redundant equipment.
- P_o is the outage capacity and is defined as the capacity reduction which the outage would have caused if the system is operating at its maximum continuous capacity P_m.
- The Outage Duration Factor ODF is ODF= P_O/P_m
- Actual outage duration AOD, is the time elapsed in decimal hours between the start and the end of the outage.
- The *Equivalent Outage Duration* EOD is EOD=AOD x ODF This is to take into account the partial loss of capacity. Each EOD can be classified in terms of the type of outage i.e. for forced outages it is EFOD and for scheduled outages it is ESOD.
- PH is the number of calendar hours in the reporting period (8760 or 8784 in leap years). If the equipment is commissioned part way through a year, PH will be proportionately adjusted.
- AOH is the actual outage hours and is defined as AOH= ∑AOD. For forced outages it can be termed as AFOH and for scheduled outages it is ASOH.
- EOH is the equivalent outage hours and is defined as EOH= ∑EOD. For forced outages it can be termed EFOH and for scheduled outages it is ESOH.
- The energy unavailability in % EU = (EOH / PH) x 100
- The forced energy unavailability in % FEU = (EFOH/ PH) x 100
- The scheduled energy unavailability in % SEU = (ESOH / PH) x 100
- The energy utilization factor in % U = [(total energy transmitted)/(P_m x PH)]x100

In the Protocol there are also definitions regarding the collection of data for commutation failures and DC line faults.

In addition the converter station equipment is classified into major categories for the purpose of reporting the cause of capacity outage or reduction.

- AC and auxiliary equipment which includes:
 - 1. AC filters and shunt banks
 - 2. AC switch yard control and protection
 - 3. Converter transformers
 - 4. Synchronous compensators
 - 5. Auxiliary equipment & auxiliary power
 - 6. AC circuit breakers, measuring equipment in the ac yard, and any other ac yard equipment.
- Thyristor valves
 - 1. All valve electrical problems.
 - 2. Valve cooling and any part of the valve at high potential related to cooling.
- DC control and protection
- Primary dc equipment
 - 1. DC filters
 - 2. DC smoothing reactor



R1116.03.04, Page 37 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

- 3. MRTB
- 4. DC switching equipment
- 5. DC switch yard and other valve hall equipment, such as dc bushings, arrestors, and measuring equipment.
- 6. DC ground electrode line
- 7. DC ground electrode
- DC transmission lines
- DC cables

3.2.1. Outage Statistics

Figure 3.1 identifies very important information. It categorizes the main source of failure on an HVdc installation. It can be seen that the AC equipment is the dominant element of failure.



Figure 3.1 Breakdown of the average FEU by equipment Category of all reporting HVDC thyristor systems (1983-2004)

Another CIGRE statistic shows that converter transformers are responsible for 81% of the AC equipment failure, which means that this is the component with major impact upon DC system availability, therefore being the main concern in terms of spare parts and units when designing a new HVdc scheme.

Figure 3.2 shows, categorizes the causes of failures within converter transformers.



R1116.03.04, Page 38 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009



Figure 3.2 Converter transformer failures by category.

3.2.2. Overall DC Availability Indices

Based on CIGRE statistics, it can be verified that an overall availability ratio between 97% and 98%, or (FEU + SEU) between 2% and 3%, is achievable, considering the whole set of installations that report to CIGRE on an annual basis, depending on the year and particular conditions. This is mainly with regards to the existence or not of spare parts, since when one converter transformer fails and there is no spare unit, the MW to be transmitted through this pole is reduced to zero.

3.3. An Attempt to Compare AC with DC Substations Availability

Table 3.1 attempts to categorize in one table the statistics gathered from both mentioned sources, focusing on transformer failures, both AC and converter DC. For AC, the ratio of 20% between internal failures and outages has been used, as explained earlier.

		No. of	Tfr.	No. of Failures		Average Failure Rates		Years btwn	
Report	Period	Tfrs.	Years	Actual	Prevent	Actual	Prevent	Total	Failure
CIGRE B4.04 Conv. Transf.	1991 2002	405	4350	53	25	0.0122	0.0057	0.0179	55.8
CIGRE B4.04 Conv. Transf.	1972 2002	445	6252	86	113	0.0138	0.0181	0.0318	31.4
Brazil - ONS AC Transformers	2002 - 2006	2056	9959	642	NA	0.0542	NA	0.0542	18.4

Table 3.1. Comparison of AC and Converter Transformers Failures



R1116.03.04, Page 39 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009 Table 3.1 shows that, in principle, AC transformers are failing much more than converter DC transformers. However, its effect on the availability ratio is lower than for DC systems due to the fact that converter transformers have a direct impact upon Energy Availability and AC transformers might or might not have a direct impact, depending on their location in the network.

Table 3.2 replicates one of the summarized tables of performance of the CIGRE Protocol, which demonstrates the major impact that failures cause upon Energy Unavailability for systems that have no spare parts, or due to repetitive failures affecting spare parts.

System	20	005	2006	
	No. of Outages	Average Duration Hours	No. of Outages	Average Duration Hours
Skagerrak 1 & 2	3	40.2	3	0.6
Skagerrak 3	4	916.0	7	1057.3
Vancouver Island Pole 2	2	10.9	7	9.5
Square Butte	10	7.2	5	3.2
Shin-Shinano 1	1	0.6	0	0.0
Shin-Shinano 2	0	0.0	0	0.0
Nelson River BP1	32	14.1	36	6.2
Nelson River BP2	31	4.3	40	5.1
Hokkaido-Honshu	0	0.0	1	288.3
CU	7	1.7	4	4.3
Gotland 2 & 3	3	2.0	2	0.2
Itaipu BP1	15	4.1	7	14.8
Itaipu BP2	7	3.0	7	4.6
Highgate	1	1.0	1	4.0
Virginia Smith	13	2.3	8	2.3
Vindhyachal	5	7.7	8	4.2
McNeill	2	6.7	7	3.8
Fennoskan	0	0.0	3	74.5
Rihand-Dadri	4	2.0	12	368.5
SACOI	11	1.6	13	3.0
New Zealand Pole 2	3	1.5	3	1.0
Sakuma	0	0.0	2	27.3
Baltic Cable	5	10.7	11	12.1
Kontek	2	1.2	1	2.5
Chandrapur	8	40.0	10	42.5
SwePol	11	35.8	4	0.4
Vizag I East-South	3	150.1	0	0.0
Vizag II East-South	5	1.3	0	0.0
Kii Channel	3	47.1	2	178.4
Malaysia-Thailand	8	2.3	6	2.2
Grita	4	8.0	3	1.5
Rivera	5	354.5	8	5.0
Talcher-Kolar	28	5.7	17	6.7
Sasaram	6	0.5	17	2.2

 Table 3.2. Average Actual Outage Duration for Converter Station Forced Outages.

There has been a frequent discussion, within the technical community such as CIGRÉ, on spare parts, redundancy, technology updating issues, so as to ensure the maximum energy availability from the HVdc links. The so called conventional equipment, as transformers, reactors etc., usually have a life cycle in the range of 35 years, which means, in the same range of the project overall life cycle. The electronic parts of control and protection (C & P), however, although not showing a direct lifetime reduction from a continuous use, may have to replaced, updated or enhanced during the life span of the project, and then a difficulty may arise: the digital electronic technology changes at a very fast speed and old versions from electronic cards may not be available at the time when required.



R1116.03.04, Page 40 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009 An interesting discussion on these aspects of C & P updating took place at CIGRE SC B4 Session, in 2008. A recommendation to have enough spare parts of C & P by the time the project is developed might be a good solution to ensure a continuous operation of all functions, with the same technology as per the initial period of the project. Nevertheless, if there is a need for partial replacements of C & P functions it may well be implemented in a coordinated way with the remaining ones.



R1116.03.04, Page 41 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

4. <u>Study Assumptions</u>

The following assumptions were made throughout the study:

- The Ireland Great Britain HVdc link (East-West Interconnector) will be in-service for all scenarios and will be modeled as an LCC based link in order to simulate the most onerous scenario**. The East West Interconnector consists of two submarine cables of 150 millimeters in diameter, and has a total capacity of 500 MW. The link will be monopolar at 450 kV dc voltage. A detailed two-time step model was developed for the East-West Interconnector based on a typical HVdc link. The Welsh end is modeled as a bus with a generator connected to it (as per Moyle/Scotland).
- Synchronous condenser at Coolkeeragh will be dispatched in all scenarios.
- 75 MW of static reserve will be kept available on the Moyle HVdc interconnector.
- Sufficient reserve to cover loss of the largest infeed (excepting interruptible load) will be incorporated into each dispatch scenario.
- TGS expects that EirGrid/NIE/SONI will ensure the network models that are provided are N-1/N-G planning criteria compliant in the region of the network relevant to the study scenario. In case of any violations occurring in the base case (AC option) the HVdc options will only be compared in terms of the impact to these base case violations and mitigation measures will not be found to fix these base case violations as they are unrelated to the HVdc solutions.
- New SVCs were included in the various power flow cases at the following buses in order to provide necessary reactive power support:
 - o 74520 Castlereagh
 - o 75010 Coleraine
 - o 81010 Hannahstown
 - o 81510 Kells
 - o 82020 Kilroot
 - o 87510 Omagh
 - o 87520 Omagh
 - o 90020 Tandragee
 - o 70520 Ballylumford

**Since the completion of the study work, the contract for the East-West HVdc link has been awarded and the link will be built using VSC technology, not LCC technology as was assumed in this study. This is expected to have a positive impact to all AC and HVdc option results presented in this report as the VSC link will be capable of supplying/absorbing a large amount of dynamic and steady state reactive power, thereby providing superior voltage control in comparison to the LCC HVdc link that was modeled for the East-West link. A major difference to the system however would be the impact of an East-West DC line fault, as short circuit current will be drawn from the AC system into the DC line fault if the link is using VSC technology thereby appearing to the AC system as a remote fault, whereas the LCC link would not draw any short circuit current from the AC system. It would be recommended to perform this particular fault to determine the exact impacts to the various AC and HVdc options.



R1116.03.04, Page 42 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

5. <u>Study Tools and Models</u>

5.1. PSSE

The primary study tool for this project is the PSSE loadflow and stability software package which is an industry standard worldwide. PSSE was used to perform the steady state, short circuit and transient stability analysis as described in upcoming Section 6 on Study Methodology.

5.1.1. Republic of Ireland and Northern Ireland Transmission Networks

5.1.1.1. Power Flow Models

Wind power generation is growing rapidly both in the Republic of Ireland (RoI) and Northern Ireland (NI). Rol's target is to reach 40% of Ireland's gross electricity from renewable resources by 2020. Conventional generation is also growing to meet the growing demand. For the purposes of this study it was decided to use the year 2020 base cases to ensure adequacy of the proposed transmission solutions at the high wind generation conditions.

During the course of this study, the East-West HVdc Interconnector was at the tender evaluation stage. It was not known at that time whether the link would use LCC or VSC technology. To consider the more difficult scenario this link was modeled as a conventional LCC based HVdc link. The contract has since been awarded and the East-West HVdc interconnector will use VSC technology¹.

Table 5.1 shows the list of power flow cases that were studied for each scenario.

Detailed generator dispatches for each power flow can be found in Appendices A-6, B-6, C-6 and D-2.

Table 5.1. PSS/E Power flow ca	ases: Year 2020
--------------------------------	-----------------

Case	Description
Scenar	io 1
1.1	Summer Peak
	Max wind conditions
	 500 MW export to Wales on East-West interconnect
	 425 MW export to Scotland (75 MW of static reserve maintained) on Moyle
	interconnect
	Turlough Hill pumping at full capacity
	• Thermal generation dispatched according to merit order and security of supply/power
	quality requirements
Scenar	io 2/3
2.1	 Summer Minimum Case: NI load ~ 600 MW, Rol load ~ 2500 MW.
	 1360 MW transfer to Republic of Ireland from Northern Ireland.
	 360 MW import into Moyle from Scotland.
	 500 MW export from Woodland to Wales.
	Ballylumford at 100 MW
	 Synchronous compensator at Coolkeeragh dispatched.
	Turlough Hill pumping at full capacity.
	1700 MW of wind in the North
	Rol dispatched as appropriate.
2.2	 Summer Peak Case: NI load ~ 1800 MW, Rol load ~ 5700 MW
	 1500 MW transfer to Northern Ireland from Republic of Ireland
	500 MW export from Moyle to Scotland
	 500 MW import into Woodland from Wales
	Ballylumford at 100 MW

¹ See Section 3 for further discussion.



R1116.03.04, Page 43 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009 EirGrid plc Investigating the Impact of HVdc Schemes in the Irish Transmission Network Final Report

Case	Descr	iption
	•	Synchronous compensator at Coolkeeragh dispatched
	•	Turlough Hill pumping at full capacity
	•	High wind in the Republic (~ 4000 MW)
	•	Rol dispatched as appropriate.
2.3	•	Winter Peak Case dispatch scenario with 3400 MW of wind on the island of Ireland
	•	1500 MW transfer from Northern Ireland into the Republic of Ireland.
	•	500 MW import from Wales into Woodland
	•	425 MW import from Scotland into Moyle (75 MW of static reserve maintained)
	•	NI load ~ 2000 MW
	•	Low inertia plant dispatched in Northern Ireland
	•	High inertia plant dispatch in the Republic of Ireland.
2.4	•	Winter Peak Case merit order dispatch scenario.
	•	~ 650 MW transfer from the Republic of Ireland into Northern Ireland.
	•	500 MW import from Wales into Woodland
	•	425 MW import from Scotland into Moyle (75 MW of static reserve maintained)
	•	NI load ~ 2000 MW
	•	Minimum feasible level of wind dispatched on the island of Ireland.
	•	NI generation ~ 1500 MW.
Scenar	io 4	
4.1	•	Summer Peak
	•	Max wind in southwest
	•	500 MW export to Wales
	•	425 MW export to Scotland (75 MW of static reserve maintained)
	•	Turlough Hill pumping at full capacity (if necessary)
	•	Thermal generation maximized in Cork region except Marina and Aghada OCGT,
		generation elsewhere dispatched according to merit order and security of supply/power
	<u> </u>	quality requirements
Scenar	io 5	
5.1	•	Based on power flow case 2.1
5.2	•	Based on power flow case 2.4

5.1.1.2. Dynamic Models

Dynamic models representing the year 2020 base cases used in the study were provided for the Rol and NI transmission network, including wind farm dynamic models and user-written models.

5.1.2. LCC HVdc

5.1.2.1. Power Flow Models

PSSE power flow models are available for the following line-commutated HVdc schemes:

- 2-terminal HVdc
- Multi-terminal HVdc

In addition to the HVdc models, filters must be added as required to the converter buses. These filters are modeled as shunt capacitors. If higher system strength is required, synchronous condensers may also need to be added to the converter buses.

TGS integrated the HVdc models along with reactive power compensation equipment as required into the power flow cases.

5.1.2.2. Dynamic Models

The standard PSSE library models typically used to represent HVdc schemes are response-type models, e.g. CDC4. These response-type models require the user to enter in many parameters related to the DC



R1116.03.04, Page 44 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009 voltage and current recovery following disturbances. Essentially these models are pre-programmed to operate/recover in a pre-set manner and do not provide any indication of the commutation performance of the HVdc or how the DC controls will actually respond to the AC disturbance. This is a drawback to this type of model – it gives no indication of real DC controller response. Instead of running the simulation to see how the HVdc will respond, the user specifies how it will respond.

Of particular benefit to this project, TGS has developed a user written LCC HVdc model for PSS/E which allows the representation of the closed-loop HVdc controls as well as the HVdc line L/R dynamics. This custom developed model uses a two time-step approach in which the HVdc model is run at a smaller time-step than the rest of the PSS/E solution, thereby allowing the dynamics of the fast HVdc controls and of the HVdc line/cable to be modeled. This model has been shown to provide far superior results when compared to the standard library HVdc models available in PSS/E and other transient stability software packages.

Two papers were written, one for IEEE and one for CIGRE. These papers describe this two time-step model developed at TGS and compare it to the standard library response-type models and validate the model against PSCAD [4,5].

This two time step model was developed in-house by TGS and has been commercially used on a number of recent HVdc installations. Since the representation of the HVdc link(s) is key to this project, TGS made use of this model for these studies. It has been developed for both two-terminal and three-terminal line commutated converter HVdc links.

All LCC HVdc schemes being evaluated in this study are modeled using the two timestep model, including the East-West Interconnector and the LCC HVdc option for the Scenario 2 North-South Interconnector.

5.1.3. VSC HVdc [3]

PSSE power flow models are available to represent two-terminal VSC HVdc schemes, however there is no provision for multi-terminal VSC HVdc schemes. For dynamics, a standard PSSE library model (VSCDCT) is available to model a two-terminal VSC HVdc link, however after attempting to use the model it seemed to experience numerical stability issues under certain conditions.

For both of these reasons, a vendor-supplied PSSE model was obtained for use in this project. This model is capable of representing multi-terminal VSC HVdc links as well as VSC HVdc links connecting wind farms to the main grid, both applications which will be studied throughout the various scenarios of this project. Please note this model is only available in monopolar configuration. This model has been validated by the vendor against a PSCAD model and was shown to provide excellent results [3]. The model is easy to use and is setup according to currently available VSC ratings.

The VSC model is setup to use ratings from a selected set of ratings available for commercial use. Because the VSC models are provided for use with selected ratings, when setting up the VSC models for the various scenarios, the VSC model with the rating closest available to the desired rating was selected. Therefore the exact desired VSC rating was not necessarily modeled if it was not available in the set of vendor-supplied model ratings.

5.1.3.1. Power Flow Models

In power flow, the VSC HVdc link is modeled in PSSE using an equivalent generator representing the P, Q injection at each terminal. The power injections take the VSC converter and DC line losses into account. Negative power at a generator indicates rectifier operation, positive power indicates inverter operation.

These generators are connected to the grid through a converter transformer. AC filters are present on the VSC converter side of the converter transformer.



R1116.03.04, Page 45 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009 Please note in the power flow model that the AC voltage of 416 kV as seen on the VSC converter side of the transformer is representing the AC voltage, and not the DC voltage. The DC voltage of +/-320 kV is not seen is the power flow model; it is only used as a parameter in the dynamic model which can be seen in the PSSE dynamic data file (DYR).

Operating points are set to be within the P-Q capability curve shown in [3].

Please refer to the vendor-supplied PSSE model manuals [3] for further detailed information.

5.1.3.2. Dynamic Models

The VSC dynamic model represents the converter control and includes the following:

- AC voltage control or reactive power control
- Active power control or DC voltage control
- Current output limitation
- Internal converter voltage limitations

In dynamics, the VSC converters are setup to control ac voltage. One of the converters uses DC voltage control while the other(s) control the active power.

The dynamics model also represents a cable model connecting all VSC terminals, which allows the following actions by the user:

- Power ramping via the power order
- Converter blocking
- Modulation by an external control

The model also includes representation of fixed and linear load losses.

Please refer to the vendor-supplied PSSE model manuals [3] for further detailed information.

5.2. PSCAD

A secondary study tool for this project is the PSCAD V4 electromagnetic transients' software package. This software package is used for any of the studies that PSSE is not capable of performing because PSSE is a positive sequence solution, such as harmonics studies or frequency scans for example. In this study, PSCAD is used to perform the frequency scans.



R1116.03.04, Page 46 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

6. <u>Study Criteria</u>

6.1. General System Performance Criteria

6.1.1. Steady State

Steady State Voltages:

Nominal Voltage	Base Case limits (meshed network)	Post Contingency Limits (all buses)
400 kV	370 – 410 kV	350 – 410 kV
275 kV	261 – 289 kV	248 – 289 kV
220 kV	210 – 240 kV	200 – 240 kV
110 kV (EirGrid)	105 – 120 kV	99 – 120 kV
110 kV (NIE) ²	*- 113 kV	99 – 113 kV

*no value specified in planning standards

Maximum Voltage Step:

Base Case	Post Contingency
(system intact)	(n-1)
<u><</u> 3.0%	<u><</u> 10.0%

Thermal Limits:

Equipment	Emergency Rating	Minutes
Overhead line	110% Normal Rating	30
Cable and Transformer	within half hour limit	30
	within two hour limit	120

Short Circuit Levels:

Voltage Level	Short Circuit Level
400 kV	45 kA
220 kV	36 kA
110 kV in Dublin and Northern Ireland	23.4 kA
110 kV outside Dublin ²	22.5 kA
110 kV at designated locations ²	28.3 kA

6.1.2. Dynamic

Dynamic Testing:

The strength of the system shall be such as to maintain stability following a three-phase zero impedance line-end fault. It shall be assumed that the fault is correctly cleared by primary protection and that line reclosing is in operation where appropriate. This test may be relaxed and instead apply a single phase fault test where the situation is a stage of ongoing development and has a short duration. Pole slipping, even for a short time, is unacceptable.

Voltage Collapse:

A safe margin should be provided between the transmission loading in an area and the voltage collapse point determined by parametric studies as the transmission loading is increased.

² Please note that these specific criteria were provided after completion of the study. All 110 kV criteria used to assess study results was based on the EirGrid steady state voltage limits and on the 23.4 kA short circuit limit.



R1116.03.04, Page 47 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

Frequency Variations:			
Underfrequency	Overfrequency	Duration	Grid Code Reference
49.8-50.0 Hz	50.0-50.2 Hz	Normal	OC4.3.4.5 and OC4.3.4.6
> 49.5 Hz	< 50.5 Hz	Continuous	CC.7.3.1.1 (a)
> 47.5 Hz	< 52 Hz	Exceptional Transmission System Disturbances (60 minutes)	CC.7.3.1.1 (b) CC.8.2.1

- Max rate of change of frequency: 0.5Hz/sec
- 75 MW of static reserve from Moyle interconnector at 49.6 Hz (not modeled in PSSE)
- 45 MW of industrial load shed at 49.3 Hz (not modeled in PSSE)

Power oscillation damping:

Power oscillations should be sufficiently damped.

Maximum short-term AC overvoltage³:

Voltage	Cycles
1.3 pu	200 ms
1.15-1.3 pu	2 sec

Minimum short-term AC undervoltage following a disturbance²:

Voltage	Cycles
0.7 pu	500 ms
0.7-0.9 pu	2 seconds

6.2. Criteria for Comparison of AC and HVdc Options

A qualitative comparison between the various HVdc options and their corresponding equivalent AC solutions is performed. Table 6.1 below lists various assessment descriptions that will be used to perform the qualitative comparison. This table is intended to be a generic overview of various typical projects and is not necessarily connected to this particular study.

³ Based on a combination of criteria typically used in North America, specifically based on data used form Manitoba Hydro in the MAPP Members Reliability Criteria and Study Procedures Manual, Version 1.3, February 2009.



R1116.03.04, Page 48 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009 EirGrid plc Investigating the Impact of HVdc Schemes in the Irish Transmission Network Final Report

Table 6.1. Qualitativ	e Comparison between HVdc options and Equivalent AC so	lutions.			
Туре	Assessment	HVdc	AC		
Technical	Enhanced system stability margins	+	+ or 0		
Improvements to	Better transmission corridors utilization (with no congestion)	+	-		
Grid	Improved voltage performance (more stable)	+	+ or 0		
	Provide means for optimal generation expansion	+	+		
	Flexibility of power interchanges (seasonal, peak and off- peak periods)	+	+ or 0		
	Overall improvement on system performance	+	+ or 0		
System Security	Whether the system meets a N-1 security standard criteria in accordance to the planning/operational standards of the Republic of Ireland and Northern Ireland.	+	+		
	The complexity of operating the transmission system considering issues such as continuous scheduling of flows and developing responses to potential system disturbances.	-	+ or 0		
	Whether the system after N-1 can re-dispatch and control critical flow paths to avoid cascading failures	+	-		
Asset's Lifespan &	Expected overall availability of AC and DC solutions.	0	+		
Availability	Cables versus overhead lines: frequency and duration of NOT PART OF maintenance and forced outages. PRESENT STL				
	Typical lifespan of assets. Issue of continued availability of spare parts for the lifespan of the asset, i.e. in the longer term	0	+		
Economic	The net present value of the cost of the alternatives including all estimated capital costs	NOT PART PRESENT S	OF STUDY		
Environmental	The environmental and social impact of the proposed alternatives→ this is not part of present study	NOT PART PRESENT S	OF STUDY		
Timing/Schedule	The ability of the proposed development to be technically delivered in a short time.	-	+		
Expandability	The ability of the proposed alternative to be staged up or upgraded, as the system requirements increase, either by adding new circuits (AC) or by reinforcing the equipment ratings (DC since in this case tapping is not usual – the ability to upgrade power is through series or parallel converter additions, not through tapping).	+	+		
	Tap or Loop-into an existing circuit	-	+		
Power Flow Control under steady state and emergencies	The ability of controlling an exact power level, even in a meshed network configuration, to avoid congestion, or system instability due to large angle displacements.	+	-		



R1116.03.04, Page 49 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

7. <u>Study Methodology</u>

The study methodology as described in this section will be applied to each of the scenarios being studied. The following types of studies will be performed for the various scenarios and HVdc schemes:

PSSE STEADY STATE Contingency analysis.

PSSE SHORT CIRCUIT

Standard PSS/E calculation of fault levels at each node on the system for AC/HVdc scheme comparison purposes.

PSSE DYNAMIC

Standard PSS/E transient stability analysis of normal clearing three-phase and slow clearing single-phase faults as well as HVdc contingencies such as pole blocking. Note that three-phase faults are more severe than single-phase faults. For this reason it is suggested to use the back-up or breaker failure protection clearing time for single-line faults.

PSCAD studies are not required for simulation of single-phase faults as resultant harmonic distortions in unbalanced situation would be mitigated at the detailed design stage of an HVdc scheme (these phenomena would not be captured in a PSS/E study).

PSCAD FREQUENCY SCAN

High level study. Impedance traces to be calculated as a function of frequency in order to flag potential harmonic issues.

HAND CALCULATION – SUBSYNCHRONOUS RESONANCE (SSR) SSR prediction to be carried out using Kundur's Unit Interaction Factor (UIF) method [2].

7.1. Procedure: PSSE Analysis

The following steps are taken to perform the steady state analysis:

7.1.1. Power Flow Setup

- Integrate the HVdc model(s) into the power flow cases.
- Calculate typical HVdc parameters/data based on required rating.
- Populate the HVdc two-terminal and/or multi-terminal LCC DC line models and the two-terminal and/or multi-terminal VSC DC line models.
- Add filters (shunt capacitors) to the LCC converter buses to compensate for HVdc reactive power consumption.
- For LCC, calculate the Effective Short Circuit Ratio (ESCR) at the converter buses. If less than 2.5, synchronous condenser(s) may be required to increase the ESCR, however the need for synchronous condensers will be verified during the transient stability analysis. ESCR is typically used as a guideline.

7.1.2. Steady State Contingency Analysis

Use the PSSE activity ACCC to perform the steady state contingency analysis.

- Setup contingency (*.con), monitoring (*.mon) and subsystem (*.sub) files.
- Run the ACCC contingency analysis for all contingencies as identified in Sections 8.2, 9.2, 10.2 and 11.2 for each of the four scenarios respectively.
- Analyze the output record all thermal overloads and steady state voltage violations.



R1116.03.04, Page 50 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009 • Examine and discuss mitigation measures for the steady state overload and voltage violations. Compare these mitigation measures to those required for the equivalent AC solution.

7.1.3. Short Circuit Analysis

Use the PSSE activity ASCC to perform the short circuit analysis.

- Read in the provided sequence data into the power flow cases.
- Run fault analysis (PSSE activity ASCC) to determine single-line-to-ground and three-phase faults at all 110 kV buses and higher.
- Analyze the output record all single-line-to-ground and three-phase short circuit levels, compare with fault level criteria to flag any stations whose ratings may be exceeded and to determine the impact of the HVdc options on the AC option.

PSSE activity ASCC is meant to perform a scanning short circuit analysis of a large number of buses for single line to ground and three phase faults, rather than detailed and specific fault cases [6]. It calculates the RMS symmetrical fault currents at each bus and uses the sequence data in the model to perform the network fault calculations. Please note that PSSE activity ASCC is not a detailed fault analysis tool, and does not calculate other fault currents such as the peak short circuit current, DC component of asymmetrical breaking current or the RMS asymmetrical short circuit current, all of which are defined in IEC 60909. These fault currents can be calculated if a detailed breaker replacement study would be required, however this is not performed in this study.

Fault analysis using activity ASCC can be performed using classical flat conditions [7], in which:

- Voltages are set to 1.0 pu at zero phase angle.
- Constant power, current and admittance loads are set to zero.
- Generator outputs are set to zero.
- FACTS devices and DC lines are removed.
- Transformer phase shift angles are set to zero, impedances are set to nominal.
- Optionally, all transformer turns ratios are set to unity.
- Optionally, line charging is set to zero.
- Optionally, fixed and switched bus shunts are set to zero.

Classical flat conditions simplify details of the fault calculation (as listed above) in order to derive a more generic result that is not dependent on the system voltage or load profile. However since in this study various power flow cases are available, all of which contain sequence data, classical flat conditions are <u>not</u> invoked in the fault analysis; rather a detailed case-dependent fault calculation is performed for each power flow by using the specific system conditions set up in each case.

The way the generators are modeled for fault analysis, i.e. using the subtransient reactance Xd" or the transient reactance Xd, will affect the results. It depends on the requirements of the fault analysis. The subtransient reactance represents the moment in time immediately after the fault, whereas the transient reactance represents the moment in time approximately 3 to 5 cycles later [8]. Representing the generators with the subtransient reactance will result in higher short circuit currents than using the transient reactance. Therefore the results of the fault analysis should be considered only as accurate as the data modeling the system, or specifically for the time frame used to represent the fault contributions from generators at a specific moment in time.

More detailed analysis than what is being performed in this study would be required to determine if any specific breakers would require replacement or if the system protections would require any modification.

The sequence data provided by EirGrid/NIE/SONI for the studies modeled the subtransient reactance Xd" for the generators in Northern Ireland and the saturated transient reactance Xd' for the generators in the



R1116.03.04, Page 51 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009 Republic of Ireland. Please refer to IEC 60909 for further details and explanation of generator modeling and fault currents.

7.1.4. Procedure: PSSE Transient Stability Analysis

The following steps are taken to complete the transient stability analysis:

Use the PSSE dynamics program to perform the transient stability analysis.

- Create the required HVdc dynamics model(s), utilizing the two timestep model if possible.
- Read in the dynamics data related to the HVdc schemes being studied.
- Run the system disturbances for the particular scenarios as identified in Sections 8.2, 9.2, 10.2 and 11.2 for each of the four scenarios respectively.
- Analyze the results record system performance for each disturbance based on criteria defined in Section 6.
- If system performance criteria is not met, determine mitigation measures required to fix the issues such that the system responses to all disturbances is acceptable. Mitigation measures could include things such as adding dynamic voltage support such as an SVC, adding inertia such as a synchronous condenser, modifying/optimizing HVdc control settings, adding an HVdc modulation control to damp a power system oscillation or to control frequency, implementing a special protection system, etc.

7.2. Procedure: PSCAD Analysis

PSCAD is used to perform analysis that cannot be performed in PSSE, including:

System Frequency Scans:

Create a PSCAD model of the HVdc link and surrounding AC system for the particular scenario:

- Perform a high level study: Impedance traces as a function of frequency to be calculated in order to flag potential harmonics issues.
- The HVdc link and associated filters are assumed to be out of service for this study.

The HVdc link acts as a harmonic source. To evaluate the effect of these harmonics when injected into the AC system, the system impedance (as a function of frequency) as seen from HVdc terminals needs to be calculated. For this reason the HVdc link was kept out of service in this calculation.

The impedance scans are performed using the PSCAD Harmonic Impedance component. The interface to the harmonic impedance solution generates the system impedance matrix for any electric network in the phase domain. This matrix is then collapsed into an equivalent matrix as seen from the interface point. This is repeated for each frequency as specified.

In cases where transmission line and cable models are present, the harmonic impedance solution uses RLC data directly, avoiding integration and/or curve fitting errors. The equations are solved at each specified frequency in the phase domain without using sequence networks, thus giving accurate representations for unbalanced transmission lines, Wye-Delta transformers, etc.

7.3. Procedure: SSR Hand Calculation

Unit Interaction Factor is defined as (Power System Stability and Control by Prabha Kundur [2], page 1049):



R1116.03.04, Page 52 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

$$UIF = \frac{MVA_{dc}}{MVA_{g}} \left(1 - \frac{SC_{g}}{SC_{t}}\right)^{2}$$

Where:

 $MVA_{dc} = MVA$ rating of the dc system

 $MVA_{o} = MVA$ rating of the generator

 SC_t , SC_g = short-circuit capacity at the dc commutating bus (excluding ac filters) with and without the generator, respectively.

This is a Sub Synchronous Resonance screening index. The index is the product of two indicators of SSR; namely (a) the relative rating of the generator and (b) the electrical distance between the LCC HVdc converter terminal and the generating unit. The term within parentheses calculated in terms of system short circuit level is a measure of the electrical distance. The AC filters are removed from the network for the above calculations. It is recommended that further detailed studies be performed if the UIF is greater than 0.1. The index UIF is only an empirical formula for screening of SSR risk.

PSSE is used to calculate the UIF at each HVdc terminal for each thermal generator in the vicinity.

7.4. Procedure: Comparison of HVdc Schemes and AC Alternatives

Based on the results of the technical studies, compare the corresponding HVdc scheme(s) and equivalent AC solution according to the comparison criteria as defined in Section 6.



R1116.03.04, Page 53 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

8. Scenario One: Wind in North-West Mayo Region

Scenario 1 addresses the connection of 460 MW of new wind generation in the north-west Mayo region near Bellacorick. The new wind generation is connected to the system at Bellacorick via transmission to Flagford. The dashed line in Figure 8.1 shows the approximate route for this scenario.



Figure 8.1. Assumed route for Scenario 1.

(Please note that this route assumption was used only to obtain an approximate transmission line length for the study and is subject to change in future stages of the project.)

The following points describe the scenario in further detail:

- The 460 MW of wind generation is connected in the north-west Mayo region (around Bellacorick 110 kV station) as per Gate 2 and Gate 3 connection offer processes.
- The scenario is studied using a year 2020 summer peak base case.
- The length of the new circuit is approximately 123 km = (direct distance from Bellacorick to Castlebar + direct distance from Castlebar to Flagford) * margin of uncertainty (1.25)
- The wind farms are lumped according to turbine type with the provision that Oweninney 5, the single largest wind farm, is modeled separately. EirGrid supplied all relevant models for the wind farms. Lumped wind farms are modeled at a number of 110 kV nodes connected to a 110 kV collection point via various lengths of underground cable.
- All non-Bellacorick region wind generation is modeled generically with the dynamic data provided.
- Bellacorick 110 kV bus 1411 refers to a new 110 kV station for the connection of the wind cluster in Bellacorick. This new station is separated from the existing Bellacorick 110 kV transmission station at bus 1401.

8.1. Transmission Options

Two transmission alternatives are considered for this scenario; one AC option and one HVdc option.



R1116.03.04, Page 54 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

8.1.1. AC Alternative

The AC alternative consists of a 220 kV AC overhead line connecting a hypothetical node near Bellacorick to the Flagford 220 kV bus. Wind generators are connected via underground AC cables to a 220/110 kV step-up transformer at Bellacorick.

8.1.2. HVdc Alternative

The HVdc scheme consists of one two-terminal monopolar Voltage Source Converter (VSC) based HVdc link connecting the hypothetical node near Bellacorick to the Flagford 220 kV bus, as shown in Figure 8.2.

The Methodology Workshop defined the following:

- The HVdc link will be monopolar and rated at 500 MW and 300 kV DC voltage.
- The HVdc link will use XLPE underground cable.
- The HVdc terminal near Bellacorick is connected to the 110 kV bus and the HVdc terminal at the Flagford station is connected to the 220 kV bus.
- The power flow in the HVdc link is regulated to match the fluctuations in power generation from the wind farms.



Figure 8.2. Diagram of Scenario 1 VSC Option as modeled in PSSE.

It should be noted that the HVdc converter is almost always equipped with a converter transformer. The primary side (AC system side) of this transformer can be of any voltage rating and therefore can be designed to be connected directly to the 20kV collector station if required.

The HVdc scheme in reality would be a VSC link rated at 500 MW and would likely be monopolar with XLPE underground cable due to the relatively low power rating, however the type and number of cables to be used would be a design issue dependent on the final DC voltage and power rating of the VSC link. If extra reliability were required, the VSC link could be built as a bipole, which would however be more expensive.

In this study, the HVdc link is modeled in PSSE as a single two-terminal monopolar +/- 320 kV VSC link. The VSC link was to be rated at 500 MW and 300 kV, however the vendor-supplied VSC model used in these studies is pre-set to various power ratings at DC voltage levels. The closest rating available in the models to meet the 500 MW at 300 kV was 796 MVA at +/-320 kV. The model used to represent the VSC converters at Bellacorick and Flagford was therefore rated at 796 MVA. Please note that this is a modeling issue only and that in reality a 500 MW VSC can be built, it does not have to be the specific preset MVA ratings of the PSSE model.

The number of cables required for a VSC monopole would depend on the type of cable being used. Either XLPE or mass impregnated cable could be used, depending on the voltage and MW rating required. XLPE cable is cheaper but can only go up to approximately 320 kV DC. Mass impregnated cable is more expensive but can go up to higher DC voltages. For 500 MW is it expected that XLPE cable would be



R1116.03.04, Page 55 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009 used, however it becomes an economic study to determine how many XLPE cables would be required compared to mass impregnated cables, and which option would be less expensive. At a minimum each monopole would require two cables.

The PSSE loadflow and dynamics models connect the single VSC pole to the AC system via a single transformer, with AC filters on the VSC side of the transformer. The VSC converter is represented by a generator model in loadflow and by a current injection and controls model in dynamics. The valves themselves are not included in a PSSE type model as such a simulation tool is not capable of modeling the actual three-phase switching. Two DC cables are represented in the vendor-supplied monopolar model, however this does not necessarily reflect reality as discussed in the previous paragraph. More information on the specific vendor-supplied PSSE models can be found in [3].

No conventional Line Commutated Converter (LCC) based HVdc alternative is considered for this scenario as the VSC based alternative has clear advantages over LCC in this application. These advantages include the lower cost of cable and the possibility of connecting VSC to a weak network without the need for extra equipment.

VSC HVdc technology is currently being used for connecting isolated wind farms to the grid particularly if the wind farm is offshore. For onshore applications if the transmission distance is long (over 50-100km) then the overhead lines are preferred. Long AC cables are not practical due to the large amount of line charging associated with a long AC cable. Of course it is possible to use multiple sections of AC cable with shunt reactive compensation in between, but in most cases this option is not economically viable. The VSC solution can be used with both overhead line and underground cable. VSC technology can be applied to very weak systems and is capable of following the power output of an isolated wind farm so as to control the frequency. For more information regarding wind farms connected to VSC HVdc, please refer to [9].

8.1.3. Reactive Power Exchange with AC System

Voltage source converters have a large range of dynamic reactive supply and absorption capability, however the reactive power capability depends on the real power transmission. The vendor-supplied model used in this study has a typical per unit P-Q operating diagram shown in Figure 8.2 (figure taken from [3]). This diagram demonstrates that when operating at a real power level near the MVA rating of the VSC, the reactive power capability is significantly less than if operating at a lower power level, in fact the reactive power capability shown in Figure 8.2 is near 0 MVAR at 1 pu real power. The reactive power can be controlled independently at each station. Please note that this P-Q diagram is typical and vendor-specific and does not necessarily reflect the P-Q operating curve of VSCs supplied by other vendors. Also note that the P-Q diagram refers to the converter only. There are filters located on the AC bus on the VSC side of the transformer which are not accounted for in the P-Q diagram. The rating of the filters depends on the rating of the converter, switching technology (Pulse Width Modulation or not), phase reactor etc. The 796 MVA VSC link as modeled in this scenario has 119.4 MVAR of filters (capacitance).



R1116.03.04, Page 56 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009



Figure 8.2. VSC P-Q diagram (converter only). Source: ABB.

An AC line loaded to its surge impedance loading will neither consume nor supply reactive power to the AC system. Operating below surge impedance loading, an AC line will supply reactive power; operating above surge impedance loading an AC line will absorb reactive power. Figure 8.3 below shows the P-Q diagram for the AC option. The surge impedance loading of the AC line is around 120 MW.



R1116.03.04, Page 57 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

8.2. Contingencies

8.2.1. Steady State Analysis

All n-1 contingencies for 110 kV and above and some key double circuit outage conditions were studied.

8.2.2. Transient Stability Analysis

Table 8.1 describes the system disturbances that are applied to perform the transient stability analysis.

Conting	Fault Location		n _{2mb} / Fault			Reclosing			
ency	Bus No. & Name	kV	3pn/ 1ph	Duration (sec)	Branch Tripped	Type and Time (s)	Comments		
1_0_S	372 Bellacorick	416	3ph	0.08	VSC link Bollocorick - Elaoford	No reclose	Block of HVDC		
1_0_R	382 Flagford	416	3ph	0.08	VSC IIIK Deliaconck - Liagiola	NUTECIOSE	pole		
2_1_S	1411 Bellacorick	110	1ph	0.30	AC Option 1402-1411-14021 – Bellacorick 220- 110kV transformer 402-1411-14022 <u>VSC Option</u> 1411- 370 ckt 1 VSC transformer at Bellacorick	No reclose			
2_3_S	1411 Bellacorick	110	3ph	0.08	[AC Option 1402-1411-14021 – Bellacorick 220- 110kV transformer 402-1411-14022 <u>VSC Option</u> 1411- 370 ckt 1 VSC transformer at Bellacorick	No reclose	3-phase and 1- phase faults at each of two		
2_1_R1	2522 Flagford	220	1ph	0.30	2522-2524-25223, Flagford 220-380kV transformer 2522-2521-25222, Flagford 220-110kV transformer 2522-3772 Flagford-Cavan 220kV	No reclose	terminals		
2_1_R2	2522 Flagford	220	1ph	0.30	2522-2521-25221 Flagford 220-110kV transformer 2522-1642 Flagford-Cashla 220kV 2522-5042 Flagford-Srananagh 220kV	No reclose			
2_3_R1	2522 Flagford	220	3ph	0.08	2522-2524-25223 Flagford 220-380kV transformer	No reclose			
3_1_R1	2522 Flagford	220	1ph	0.30	2522-5042 Flagford-Srananagh 220kV 2522-1642 Flagford-Cashla 220kV	No reclose			
3_1_R2	2522 Flagford	220	1ph	0.30	2522-1642 Flagford-Cashla 220kV 2522-3772 Flagford-Cavan 220kV	No reclose			
3_1_R3	2522 Flagford	220	1ph	0.30	2522-5042 Flagford-Srananagh 220kV 2522-3772 Flagford-Cavan 220kV	No reclose	3-phase and 1- phase faults on		
3_3_R1	2522 Flagford	220	3ph	0.08	2522-5042 Flagford-Srananagh 220kV	3ph ,0.68	leaving Flagford 220 kV station		
3_3_R2	2522 Flagford	220	3ph	0.08	2522-1642 Flagford-Cashla 220kV	3ph ,0.68			
3_3_R3	2522 Flagford	220	3ph	0.08	2522-3772 Flagford-Cavan 220kV	3ph ,0.68			
4_3_X	274 Oweninney	20	3ph	0.15	274-280 Oweninney 198 MW wind	No reclose	Loss of largest wind farm at sending end		
5_3_X	5172 Tynagh	220	3ph	0.08	Tynagh transformer & generationLoss o5172-51771-51772No recloseCCGTAnd generator at 51771 CTNo recloseCCGTAnd ST at 51772 and HL at 51771Cycle g		Loss of Tynagh CCGT (Combine cycle gas turbine)		
6_3_X	Capture fluctuation of wind at sending end across full range of output. Worst case ramp rate to be used: Pmax/30 seconds								

Table 8.1. Scenario 1 Contingencies.



R1116.03.04, Page 58 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

8.3. Power Flows Cases and Losses

The power flow case for the VSC option was created by using the case described in Section 8.1.1. The hypothetical 220 kV AC circuit between Bellacorick and Flagford was removed and replaced with the VSC HVdc link.

Losses resulting directly from the current flowing in the 220 kV AC line were calculated and compared with the losses in the VSC HVdc system.

According to the VSC manuals [3], each VSC converter has losses of 1.65% at nominal (rated) MVA loading, with 30% fixed losses and 70% variable plus the losses in the DC line.

Table 8.3 summarizes the losses in the 220 kV AC line and in the VSC HVdc system. Care should be taken in interpreting these results however, as load profiles and economics have not been considered in this study and would require further investigation. The results in Table 8.3 are for one specific operating point only, namely the power flow case used for studying Scenario 1 in which approximately 460 MW of wind generation is being produced in the Bellacorick region and being transferred to the grid via the AC and VSC transmission options considered for Scenario 1.

Table 8.3. Comparison of Losses for the AC and VSC Options.

Single AC Line (MW)	VSC (MW)
23.4	20.5

The losses are quite similar when comparing the 220 kV AC line to the VSC option, although the VSC option has slightly lower losses by approximately 2-3 MW.

8.4. Steady State Contingency Analysis

Steady state contingency analysis was performed on the one power flow case described in Table 5.1.

8.4.1. Overload Impacts

There were several overloads observed in the steady state contingency analysis, however there were no significant differences in the thermal overloads when comparing the results of the AC and VSC options. This makes intuitive sense because from an AC system point of view, it is simply a power injection of approximately 460 MW (minus losses) at the Flagford 220 kV bus, whether it is connected via AC or VSC transmission.

The complete results of the thermal overloads can be found in Appendix A-2.

8.4.2. Voltage Violation Impacts

There were a few minor voltage range and deviation violations observed in the steady state contingency analysis, however there were no significant differences seen in these violations when comparing the results of the AC and VSC options.

The complete results of the voltage violations can be found in Appendix A-3.

8.5. Short Circuit Analysis

Short circuit analysis was performed to compare the difference in short circuit levels between the AC option and the VSC option.



R1116.03.04, Page 59 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009 In comparison to the AC option, the VSC option reduces short circuit levels particularly in the area near the VSC link. This is because the VSC link only contributes minimal short circuit current, up to 1.0 pu rated current depending on the AC bus voltage, with this short circuit contribution decreasing as the AC bus voltage decreases. According to the VSC model manuals [3], it is recommended to turn off the VSCs in the power flow prior to performing the short circuit analysis. However, as mentioned, the VSC option can contribute up to 1.0 pu rated current, with this current contribution decreasing as bus voltages decrease. An alternative method suggested in the VSC manual is to assume a 0.5 pu current contribution at nearby buses [3]. Therefore, the VSC fault contribution of 0.5 pu current (2.09 kA at Bellacorick and 1.04 kA at Flagford) was assumed.

Table 8.4 compares the short circuit levels of the AC option to the VSC option at the two terminals of the new transmission at Bellacorick 110 kV and at Flagford 220 kV buses. The VSC option assumes 0.5 pu rated current contribution. The VSC option results in lower short circuit levels than the AC option.

Torminal	Short Circu	iit Level (MVA)	Short Circuit Level (kA)		
renninai	AC	VSC	AC	VSC	
Bellacorick 110 kV	1609	1264	8.5	6.6	
Flagford 220 kV	4390	4284	11.5	11.3	

 Table 8.4. Short Circuit Levels at the Scenario 1 Transmission Terminals.

Appendix A-4 contains the full listing of the short circuit analysis results. All short circuit levels exceeding the fault level criteria were flagged in red in Appendix A-4 for the AC option and the VSC option. Levels were exceeded at a few 220 kV stations, but mostly at 110 kV stations. In all cases the VSC option either had no impact on the short circuit level violations present in the AC option, or the VSC option slightly reduced the violations. It is recommended to perform detailed breaker replacement studies at these stations.

Generally speaking the benefit of a decrease in short circuit levels is to possibly reduce the number of breakers whose current interrupting ratings are exceeded. The drawback is a decrease in system strength.

8.6. Transient Stability Analysis

The results of the transient stability simulations were analyzed and the AC option was compared to the VSC option in terms of the following criteria:

- Post-contingency overvoltages
- Transient overvoltages/undervoltages
- Frequency deviations and rate of change of frequency
- System damping
- Generator tripping

The ramping of power from the wind farms near Bellacorick is a special case which is discussed separately for the VSC option to demonstrate the capability of the VSC to follow the varying power output of the wind farms.

In addition to the analysis of the AC system faults and criteria, specific faults associated only with the VSC HVdc system, namely pole blocking and dc line faults, were also analysed to ensure acceptable system performance.

Appendix A-1 contains the plots of transient stability analysis.

8.6.1. Faults on the New Bellacorick-Flagford Connection

Before discussing the transient stability comparisons between the AC and VSC options, it is worth discussing a normal-clearing fault on the new hypothetical connection between Bellacorick and Flagford. As described in Table 8.1 contingency 2_3_S, a fault on the new connection at the Bellacorick is listed as



R1116.03.04, Page 60 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009 "no reclose". Normally on a 220 kV AC line, a normal-clearing fault would result in line reclosing 600 ms after clearing the fault.

Reclosing was not used here because:

- The PSSE dynamics program crashes if the Bellacorick wind generators become isolated from the rest of the system once the single connection to the rest of the AC system is tripped. In order for PSSE not to crash, these isolated Bellacorick wind generators have to be tripped when the Bellacorick line or transformer is tripped to clear the fault.
- In reality, it is not certain (in fact, not expected) that the wind generators could actually run isolated from the grid for almost 700 ms and then be reclosed. Without frequency or voltage control of the isolated wind farm, and nowhere for the wind power to go, it is expected the wind farm would trip by voltage or frequency protection anyways. This would be a question to verify with the specific wind generator manufacturers.

If reclosing is a requirement for this equipment in order to not lose the potential 460 MW for longer than 680 ms, then it is likely the case that two independent connections to the grid, i.e. two transformers and two AC lines, or a VSC bipole would be required. Otherwise it is not expected that reclosing can be performed after such a fault with only a single connection to the grid. This should however be verified with the wind generator manufacturers.

In terms of comparison of a single AC or HVdc connection to the grid, loss of either type of connection would result in wind farm isolation. Therefore, in upcoming Section 8.6.3.2, these contingencies were discussed as loss of generation cases because reclosing was not simulated and therefore loss of the grid connection was assumed to result in a permanent loss of the isolated wind generation as well.

8.6.2. Post-Contingency Overvoltages

The post-contingency voltage at the 220 kV Srananagh bus (bus 5042) settled to a value greater than 1.2 pu for all of the AC and VSC options when the Flagford - Srananagh line was tripped. This violates the system overvoltage criteria as well as triggers the overvoltage protection relays of nearby wind generators. The overvoltage was worst at Srananagh 220 kV bus due to a 120 MVAR switched capacitor located at this bus.

In order to mitigate this overvoltage, the switched capacitor was replaced with an SVC to provide dynamic reactive power support. Figure 8.7 shows the voltage profile at the Srananagh 220 kV bus with the switched capacitor, and Figure 8.8 shows the voltage profile at the same bus after the switched capacitor was replaced with an SVC rated at 120 MVAR. With the SVC, the post-contingency voltage at the Srananagh 220 kV bus settles to its voltage set point of 1.075 pu after an initial voltage spike. Consequently, this 120 MVAR switched capacitor was replaced with a 120 MVAR SVC for all of the dynamic simulations of the AC and VSC options.



R1116.03.04, Page 61 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009



Figure 8.7. Voltage profile at Srananagh 220 kV bus for loss of the Flagford - Srananagh line with a 120 MVAR switched capacitor.



Figure 8.8. Voltage profile at Srananagh 220 kV bus for loss of the Flagford - Srananagh line with a 120 MVAR SVC.

8.6.3. Transient Overvoltages and Undervoltages

AC system voltages were monitored at buses rated 110 kV and above.

The AC option and the VSC option demonstrated good voltage performance except at the instant of fault clearance. Table 8.5 lists the buses that experience a voltage spike greater than 1.3 pu for the AC and VSC options at the instance of fault clearance. These voltage spikes can be observed <u>whenever a fault at the Flagford 220 kV bus is cleared.</u> The voltage spikes have a duration of approximately 5 ms to 15 ms.

Bus	Bus Name	AC Option	VSC Option
380	VSC filter bus, Flagford	-	yes
1402	Bellacorick 220 kV bus	yes	-

Table 8.5: Buses exceeded transient voltage of 1.3 pu.



R1116.03.04, Page 62 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

Bus	Bus Name	AC Option	VSC Option
1411	Bellacorick 110 kV bus	yes	no
2522	Flagford 220 kV bus	yes	yes
5042	Srananagh 220 kV bus	yes	yes

Figures 8.9 through 8.10 show the bus voltage at the Bellacorick 110 kV bus, the Flagford 220 kV bus and the Srananagh 220 kV bus, respectively, showing these voltage spikes that occur upon clearing a fault at Flagford.







Figure 8.10. Voltage profile at Flagford 220 kV bus for contingency 2_1_R1.



R1116.03.04, Page 63 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

EirGrid plc Investigating the Impact of HVdc Schemes in the Irish Transmission Network Final Report



Figure 8.11. Voltage profile at Srananagh 220 kV bus for contingency 2_1_R1.

The voltage spikes do not appear at the Bellacorick bus in the VSC option because the VSC isolates the Bellacorick bus from issues happening on the other side of the VSC. In addition, the voltage spikes occurring near Flagford are slightly lower with the VSC option when compared to the AC options.

As is evident in Figures 8.9 through 8.11, the system model seems to have some numerical issues associated with the AC network voltage solution. Immediately after clearing faults at Flagford, voltages in the area spike to very high values, up to 2.0 pu in the worst cases for a one or two time steps. It is not believed that these spikes are necessarily real overvoltages but rather are related to the PSSE solution, due to current injections from one or more of the wind generator models. The unreasonably high voltage spikes were verified to be numerical; reducing the simulation timestep reduced the width of the voltage spike. Similar spikes are seen throughout the Scenario 1 analysis for both the AC and VSC options.

8.6.4. Frequency Performance

In transient stability programs such as PSS/E, the transmission system frequency is obtained from the derivative of the bus voltage angle. If there is a sharp change in the bus angle, the frequency might change infinitely. Therefore, there are filters associated with the frequency measurements. However, sometimes these filters are not enough to completely rid the frequency calculations of these spikes, particularly if a fault has been applied in the area near to the frequency deviations as generator speeds are state variables which cannot change instantaneously and therefore should not give erroneous results.

8.6.4.1. Transient Frequency

For the one power flow case that was studied, the AC and VSC transmission options did not show any transient underfrequency or overfrequency violations for any of the contingencies that were studied that did not involve generator tripping. All transient frequency deviations as measured by generator speeds were within criteria.

8.6.4.2. Long Term Frequency

Also of interest to the system frequency performance are the dynamic contingencies that simulate loss of generation. These contingencies involve tripping of generators in the Bellacorick wind farm as well as thermal generators in the Tynagh area. These contingencies, along with the amount of generation tripped, are listed in Table 8.6.

Table 8.6. Loss of generation.



R1116.03.04, Page 64 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

Contingency	Amount of generation tripped (MW)
2_1_S/2_3_S	451.4 (Wind generation)
4_3_X	322.9 (Wind generation)
5_3_X	265.5 (Thermal generation)

Following the loss of generation, the frequency of the entire system gradually decreases. This causes the pump load at Turlough Hill (buses 52071 to 52074 (TURLG1-G4)) to trip for both the AC and VSC transmission options within approximately 15 seconds of simulation.

On the first run-through of these contingencies it was noticed that wind generators in the area near Cork began tripping one at a time, starting with Thurles, Lisheen, Cureeny, Ikerrin and so on until a significant amount of wind generation had tripped by 30 seconds into the simulation, which further exacerbated the frequency decay. It was discovered (as is also pointed out in the discussions on Scenario 4) that the 110 kV area around Thurles does not appear to have sufficient dynamic voltage control. The area has many switched capacitors that are required to support voltage in the steady state, however in dynamics it seems that some of this capacitance should rather be dynamic reactive power support such as an SVC. What was happening in the loss of generation cases is that as the pump loads at Turlough Hill began to trip, the power flow in the area around Thurles began to change. As the power flow changed, the voltage began to increase due to the large amount of capacitance in the area, which caused the wind generators to begin tripping on overvoltage. If some of this capacitance was replaced by an SVC, there was no cascade tripping of wind generators for these contingencies and the frequency settles to a stable value, although the steady state frequency it settles to is still below the nominal 50 Hz. A +100/-50 MVAR SVC at Thurles was found to be sufficient for the particular cases being studied, however it is recommended to perform a more thorough reactive power study to determine the appropriate proportion of fixed and dynamic reactive power requirements. This SVC at Thurles was only tested for the contingencies involving loss of generation, and was not included in the system model for the other contingencies.

The frequency decay for the largest generation loss of 451 MW (contingency 2_3_S) is illustrated in Figure 8.13 for the AC and VSC transmission options.



Figure 8.13. Decay in generator speeds (Dublin Bay bus 31271, Ballylumford bus 70516) for loss of 451 MW (contingency 2_3_S).



R1116.03.04, Page 65 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009 After 30 seconds of simulation there is a slight difference in the system frequency when comparing the AC option to the VSC option, however it is not very significant. Table 8.7 lists the system frequency at 30 seconds of simulation.

Contingency	System Frequency (Hz)
2_3_S	47.8
4_3_X	48.2
5_3_X	48.4

Table 8.7. System Frequency after Loss of Generation.

The response of higher-speed control (such as machine governors) to short term frequency excursions is modeled in PSSE. Short-term system frequency criteria must be met by the calculated PSS/E frequency responses. In the event of extreme transient under-frequency, there is the risk of load shed and also the risk of the loss of generation through generation protection (typically installed to prevent damage resonances at off-nominal frequency operation).

Steady state frequency control in the Irish transmission system is achieved by manual operator control. It is valid to assume that through corrective action, i.e. manual operator action, the system frequency will be restored to nominal in a timeframe beyond that of the transient stability study.

It should be noted that the frequency the system settles to is above the emergency frequency criteria of 47.5 Hz, however is well below the allowable continuous criteria of 49.5 Hz. Note that load shedding as well as the frequency control function of the Moyle HVdc Interconnector were not modelled in this study.

8.6.5. Rate of Change of Frequency Performance

Rate of change of frequency can be a concern for systems with a high penetration of wind generation. This is because wind generators are often equipped with rate-of-change-of-frequency (ROCOF) relays to trip the wind generator if the rate of change of frequency is too high.

The EirGrid Grid Code currently states that the maximum rate of change of frequency in the Irish transmission system is 0.5 Hz/sec. Large amounts of wind generation are planned to be connected to the Irish transmission system and this wind generation will displace conventional generation. Because wind generators do not provide as much inertia as conventional generators, the rate of change of system frequency will naturally increase, causing further concern regarding the ROCOF relays.

One contingency, a three-phase fault at Flagford (contingency 2_3_R1), was used to compare the rate of change of speed of nearby generators at Tynagh and Omagh. Figure 8.14 shows the comparison. Both the AC and VSC options show rate of change of speeds greater than 0.5 Hz/sec during the fault and just after fault clearing. There does not appear to be a significant difference in these rates of change of speeds when comparing the AC option to the VSC option.



R1116.03.04, Page 66 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009



Figure 8.14. Rate of change of speeds at Tynagh and Omagh for AC and VSC options.

8.6.6. VSC – Ramping Power Output of Wind Farms

For the VSC option only, a simulation was performed to ramp up and ramp down the power output of the wind farms in order to demonstrate the ability of the VSC to follow the total wind farm power output. The VSC responded as expected and was able to follow the change in wind farm power output. Figure 8.15 shows the ramping up and down of power from wind farms and the subsequent power output of the VSC at the Flagford end of the VSC transmission. A power ramp of 50 MW over 30 seconds was tested.

One thing to note is that the ramp rate used in the simulation was higher than what would normally be the case in the actual system, however in order to demonstrate the power ramp over a large range of power,



R1116.03.04, Page 67 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009 the ramp rate was increased in order to reduce the simulation time. The VSC is expected to be able to follow a slower ramp as successfully as it follows the faster ramp rate tested in this study.

In wind farm applications, there is no droop in the frequency control; the converters act in the same way an isochronous generator would, i.e. taking in whatever power the wind farm has to provide. The time constants for the frequency control represented in the VSC model are the same as in the real controls, therefore the control systems in the model closely follow those in the real control system. The VSC controls are fast in comparison to the ramp rate used in the wind ramp simulation, thus the output of the VSC essentially follows the change of power output of the wind farm exactly.



Figure 8.15. Ramping up and down the power of the Bellacorick wind farms.

8.7. System Frequency Scans

Impedance scans were performed in PSCAD to generate the positive sequence driving point impedance versus frequency (from 0Hz to 2500Hz in 2Hz increments) at the Flagford 220kV bus (2522). Two sets of scans were performed with and without the hypothetical 220kV AC line connection between Flagford and Bellacorick. In all cases the existing HVdc systems (Moyle and East-West Interconnectors) were left in service. To improve the accuracy of the frequency scans the Flagford-Bellacorick line was modeled as frequency dependant using the geometry data provided by EirGrid. All other transmission lines were modeled as Bergeron using the R,L,C data from PSS/E base cases. The Bergeron model is based on a distributed LC parameter travelling wave line model, with lumped resistance. It represents the L and C elements of a PI Section in a distributed manner (i.e. it does not use lumped parameters). It is roughly equivalent to using an infinite number of PI Sections, except that the resistance is lumped (1/2 in the middle of the line, 1/4 at each end). Like PI Sections, the Bergeron Model accurately represents the fundamental frequency only. It also represents impedances at other frequencies, except that the losses do not change. This model is suitable for studies where the fundamental frequency load flow is most important (i.e. relay studies, load flow, etc.).

It should be noted that when developing the PSCAD model for the impedance scans, no information is given on the existing HVdc filters on the Moyle and Wales HVdc links and so they are inserted as simple capacitors. This will have an impact on the results, but without the details of the filters, this is an acceptable approximation. If an HVdc transmission option is selected a more detailed frequency scan study must be performed to consider all various system configurations in the foreseeable future. Results of this study will define a range of system impedances that may be seen from the converter terminals. The HVdc link manufacturer must design the link such that the injected harmonic currents and the resultant harmonic currents always remain below the set limits for all specified system impedances and HVdc link operating points. If during the lifetime of the HVdc link the system topology changes significantly in a way not predicted before, the original design may not be sufficient and modifications to



R1116.03.04, Page 68 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009 the filters or other components may be required, such as occurred in the Itaipu HVdc inverter terminal, where two additional filter banks have been recently added to the original arrangement.

Appendix A-5 contains the full set of results for the system frequency scans. The results are summarized in Table 8.8.

Scenario	Bus	Frequency	Harmonic	Resonance Type	Scenario	Bus	Frequency	Harmonic	Resonance Type
1	2522	580	11.6	parallel	1	2522	476	9.52	parallel
without		624	12.48	series	with		602	12.04	series
AC line		650	13	parallel	AC line		1042	20.84	parallel
		658	13.16	series			1314	26.28	series
		1010	20.2	parallel			1324	26.48	parallel
		1298	25.96	series			1482	29.64	series
		1326	26.52	parallel			1500	30	parallel
		1482	29.64	series			1608	32.16	series
		1502	30.04	parallel			1654	33.08	parallel
		1608	32.16	series			1686	33.72	series
		1656	33.12	parallel			1780	35.6	parallel
		1686	33.72	series			1808	36.16	series
		2344	46.88	parallel			2348	46.96	parallel
		2372	47.44	series			2372	47.44	series
		2450	49	parallel			2448	48.96	parallel
							2470	49.4	series

Table 8.8 Frequency scan results for scenario 1 with and without the Flagford-Bellacorick AC line

Frequency scans show no resonances below the ninth harmonic with or without the AC line. When the Flagford-Bellacorick AC line is considered in the analysis resonance frequencies change and new ones are found. This is because with the new transmission line there are additional reactance and capacitances seen from Flagford.

There are a number of series and parallel resonances in the 1 to 2k Hz region. A VSC converter using Pulse Width Modulation (PWM) technique generate a small amount of harmonics at the multiples of PWM switching frequency (in the 1 to 2k Hz range) and its sideband frequencies, i.e. $n(f_{PWM} +/- kf_0)$ where f_{PWM} is the PWM switching frequency and f_0 is the network frequency (50Hz)...In other words harmonic voltages appear as clusters centered around the multiples of the PWM switching frequency. The magnitude of these harmonics reduces quickly for higher frequency spectrum of a typical VSC converter line to ground voltage before filtering. This converter uses PWM switching frequency of 21 f_0 . As can be seen, the largest cluster of harmonics appears at the 21st harmonic and sidebands around it. In addition to the coupling transformer, there is usually a reactor in series with each phase of the VSC that presents a large impedance to these high frequency harmonics and therefore only a small amount of harmonic currents flow towards the network. If necessary a small shunt filter is added between the phase reactor and the coupling transformer to further reduce the harmonic currents injected into the system.

If there is series resonance at the VSC switching or sideband frequencies, these harmonic currents may propagate into the system. As the switching frequency of a VSC can be selected (note that switching losses are higher for higher switching frequencies), the harmonics of concern can usually be moved away from the resonance point, but care must be taken for harmonic resonances in the range of 20 to 40. The VSC manufacturer should consider the system impedance for all plausible system configurations and evaluate the level of harmonics injected to the system for compliance with the Irish network requirements.

In conclusion, if a VSC based HVdc link with PWM modulation is used in this scenario, proper filtering must be provided to avoid harmonic current injection into the system under the worst case resonance conditions.



R1116.03.04, Page 69 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009



EirGrid plc Investigating the Impact of HVdc Schemes in the Irish Transmission Network Final Report

Figure 8.15. Frequency spectrum of a VSC converter line to ground voltage with PWM modulation at switching frequency of 21 f_0 .

8.8. SSR Screening

The UIF calculation described in the Study Procedures section is intended to screen for potential interaction between a conventional LCC HVdc system and generator shaft oscillation modes, known as subsynchronous resonance (SSR).

With LCC HVdc, any resonance frequency (f) on the DC side gets converted to f0+f and f0-f frequencies on the AC side.

Because VSC is a relatively new technology compared to LCC, there is less information available on the possibility of SSR with a VSC HVdc system. A simple test case was setup in PSCAD to test whether the same phenomenon occurred with VSC and results indicate that VSC may have the same potential as LCC to excite SSR.

There is also little information readily available on the possibility of SSR between a wind farm and a VSC HVdc system. Because of this, the possibility cannot be excluded and it cannot be said for certain that the possibility does not exist.

The UIF calculation is intended for use with LCC HVdc and thermal generators. There is no such empirical formula for screening for potential SSR between a wind farm and VSC HVdc system. Should this VSC option be futher pursued it would be recommended to perform further studies to verify the possibility of SSR with the Bellacorick wind farm along with appropriate mitigation if deemed necessary.

Although the UIF screening calculations are intended to be used for LCC HVdc, the UIF was calculated for the VSC option as well in order to provide an indication of the potential need for detailed SSR studies. Table 8.8 summarizes the results.

All UIF calculations were well below the threshold of 0.1, therefore no concerns are flagged.



R1116.03.04, Page 70 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

Table 8.8. SSR Screening for VSC at Flagford 220 kV Bus.									
			Short Circuit						
	Generator	VSC		Generator					
Generator Bug Number	Rating	Rating	System	out-of-					
Bus Number		(10100)	Intact	Service	UIF				
Edenderry (19471)	153	500	3583	3569	0.0000				
Dublin Bay (31271)	500	500	3583	3521	0.0003				
Lough Ree Power (35074)	121.1	500	3583	3532	0.0008				
Long Point Aghada (35771)	500	500	3583	3566	0.0000				
Moneypoint (39471)	359	500	3583	3557	0.0001				
Moneypoint (39472)	359	500	3583	3562	0.0000				
Moneypoint (39473)	359	500	3583	3557	0.0001				
West Offaly Power (49474)	181.7	500	3583	3555	0.0002				
Sealrock (50573)	96	500	3583	3580	0.0000				
Sealrock (50574)	96	500	3583	3580	0.0000				
Tynagh (51771)	327	500	3583	3512	0.0006				
Tynagh (51772)	163.7	500	3583	3543	0.0004				

8.9. Summary of Overall Study Results for Scenario 1

The results of the analysis are summarized as follows:

- The VSC showed comparable losses with the single AC line option, with the VSC having 2-3 MW lower losses.
- There were no significant impacts observed in terms of steady state voltage violations or thermal overloads.
- The VSC option resulted in lower short circuit levels in the area near the VSC terminals in comparison to the AC option.
- If reclosing is a requirement for the new transmission connection between Bellacorick and Flagford in order to not lose the potential 460 MW for longer than 680 ms, then it is likely the case that two independent connections to the grid, i.e. two transformers and two AC lines, or a VSC bipole would be required. Otherwise it is not expected that reclosing can be performed after such a fault with only a single connection to the grid because the Bellacorick wind farms would be isolated from the system for nearly 700 ms before reclosing would occur, and it is not expected that they would be able to remain connected without being tripped by either voltage or frequency protection during this time. This should be verified with the wind generator manufacturers if it is a concern.
- In both the AC and VSC options, post-contingency overvoltages near 1.2 pu were observed at the Srananagh 220 kV bus due to the modeling of a 120 MVAR capacitor at Srananagh following the loss of the Flagford-Srananagh line. The capacitor is needed for steady state reactive power support. The capacitor was replaced with an SVC to eliminate the post-contingency overvoltage.
- Transient undervoltages were not found to be a concern in any of the options.
- The transient frequency performance was within criteria for both the AC and VSC options.



R1116.03.04, Page 71 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009
- Loss of generation in the AC and VSC options both showed the need for some of the switched capacitors in the area near Thurles to be replaced with dynamic reactive power support such as an SVC in order to avoid cascade wind generator tripping due to poor voltage control in the area. Please note that Thurles is far from the study area and this issue is not related to whether or not the Bellacorick wind farms were connected to the grid via AC or VSC HVdc transmission.
- The largest loss of generation in the AC and VSC options both showed the system settling out to a steady state frequency of 47.8 Hz due to loss of the 460 MW of wind generation at Bellacorick. This frequency is above the emergency frequency criteria, but below the continuous frequency criteria of 49.5 Hz.
- No significant difference in rate of change of frequency was observed between the AC and VSC options. Both options showed rate of change of frequency greater than 0.5 Hz/sec during and immediately following the application of a three-phase fault at Flagford.
- For the VSC option, a simulation was performed to ramp the power output of the largest wind farm in order to demonstrate the ability of the VSC to follow the wind farm power output. The VSC responded as expected and was able to follow the change in wind farm power output.
- There were no concerns flagged by the subsynchronous resonance (SSR) screening study that was performed at the Flagford bus. All UIF calculations were well below the threshold of 0.1. However there is little information readily available on the possibility of SSR between a wind farm and a VSC HVdc system. Because of this, the possibility cannot be excluded and it cannot be said for certain that the possibility of SSR does not exist in this situation. The UIF calculation used in this study to screen for potential SSR issues is only intended for use with LCC HVdc and thermal generators. There is no such empirical formula for screening for potential SSR between a wind farm and VSC HVdc system. Should this VSC option be futher pursued it would be recommended to perform further studies to verify the possibility of SSR with the Bellacorick wind farm along with appropriate mitigation if deemed necessary.

8.10. Overall Comparison of HVdc Solutions with Equivalent AC Solution

The studies showed no significant difference between the AC and VSC HVdc options in terms of the following aspects:

- Steady state voltage violations once mitigation of capacitance at Thurles and Portlaoise was added.
- Thermal overloads.
- Rate of change of frequency during and following faults.
- Long term frequency decay due to loss of generation the system settles to the same steady state underfrequency for the AC and VSC options.

8.10.1. AC Advantages over HVdc

Based on the study results, the AC option had the following technical advantages compared to the VSC options:

• Higher short circuit levels resulting in stronger local AC system

8.10.2. HVdc Advantages over AC

Based on the study results, the VSC option had the following technical advantages compared to the AC option:

- The VSC provides inherent dynamic reactive power support.
- Slightly lower losses (by 2-3 MW) compared to a single 220 kV AC line.

The VSC option can utilize underground cables.



R1116.03.04, Page 72 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

8.11. Recommendations

The studies have shown that the both the 220 kV AC line option and the VSC HVdc option are technically feasible.

Without consideration for economics or environmental impacts, based solely on the technical comparison between the AC option and the VSC HVdc option it appears that there are no significant reasons to select HVdc over AC transmission or vice versa. VSC HVdc links have the benefit of inherent reactive power support and can utilize underground cables.

Typical applications of HVdc systems include:

- Transmission with overhead line distances above 1 000 km, where the need of various intermediate tapings is not present;
- Interconnecting systems with different frequencies (50 Hz to 60 Hz);
- Undersea or underground cables with lengths around 50 km or more;
- Need for asynchronous operation (even at the same frequency base), like interconnection of different markets.
- Need for absolute power scheduling

VSC HVdc technology is currently being used for connecting isolated wind farms to the grid particularly for the offshore farms. For onshore applications if the transmission distance is too long (over 50-100km) then the overhead lines are preferred. Long AC cables are not practical due to the large amount of line charging associated with a long AC cable. Of course it is possible to use multiple sections of AC cable with shunt reactive compensation in between, but in most cases this option is not economically viable. The VSC solution can be used with both overhead line and underground cable. VSC technology can be applied to very weak systems and is capable of following the power output of an isolated wind farm so as to control the frequency. The frequency can be kept constant or varied to allow for optimal operation of the wind farm. It It also has a varying range of dynamic reactive power support.



R1116.03.04, Page 73 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

9. Scenario Two and Three: New North-South Interconnector

The original RFP for this project defined Scenario 2 as 'Provision of additional transmission capacity in a strongly meshed area of the transmission network' and Scenario 3 as 'Provision of additional transmission capacity in a weakly meshed area of the transmission network, such as an additional connection between the Republic of Ireland and Northern Ireland'. Scenario 2 was to consider an HVdc line from Woodland to mid Cavan while Scenario 3 was to consider an HVdc link between mid Cavan and Turleenan. Figure 9.1 shows the proposed route and terminating nodes for the two scenarios.

During the discussions at the Methodology Workshop it became clear that there are advantages to building a single three-terminal HVdc link between Woodland, mid Cavan and Turleenan instead of building two separate links as originally suggested. These advantages include large savings in converter costs and extra equipment required for strengthening the system at mid Cavan; particularly if the LCC based HVdc links are used. As a result of these discussions it was decided that Scenarios 2 and 3 will be combined and a single three-terminal HVdc link connecting Woodland, mid Cavan and Turleenan will be studied, further referred to simply as Scenario 2.



Figure 9.1. Proposed substations at Woodland, mid Cavan and Turleenan for Scenario 2 and 3.



R1116.03.04, Page 74 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009 The following points describe Scenario 2 in more detail:

- 1500 MW bi-directional multi-terminal HVdc schemes with a 500 MW terminal at mid Cavan are studied.
- The HVdc terminal locations are at the Turleenan 275 kV bus, mid Cavan 220 kV bus and Woodland 400 kV bus. The Woodland 220 kV terminal bus was compared to the 400 kV bus in terms of system strength and the 400 kV bus was found to be slightly stronger than the 220 kV bus. Therefore the HVdc terminal was located at the 400 kV bus. There are two 500 MVA transformers connecting the 400 kV to the 220 kV bus with the understanding that a third transformer is planned.
- Power flows as in the equivalent AC solution are used at each of the terminals in the HVdc solutions.
- HVdc solutions are assumed to use an underground cable. In case of the VSC based HVdc link, the cable is assumed to be of XLPE technology while in the case of the LCC based HVdc link mass impregnated cable is assumed.
- The Phase Shifting Transformer (PST) at Strabane is bypassed and circuits are added between Coolkeeragh-Trillick and Letterkenny-Strabane as per the North-West 'Wind' solution.
- The base case model used for this scenario is the year 2020 base case.

9.1. Transmission Options

For Scenario 2, three alternative solutions are studied and compared:

9.1.1. AC Alternative

The AC option consists of a 400 kV overhead AC transmission line from Turleenan to Woodland with a connection to the 220 kV mid Cavan bus.

9.1.2. HVdc Alternatives

9.1.2.1. HVdc Option 1 – LCC

The first HVdc scheme consists of a single three-terminal bipolar Line Commutated Converter (LCC) based HVdc link connecting the terminals at Woodland, mid Cavan and Turleenan, as shown in Figure 9.2.

The Methodology Workshop defined the following:

- A single bipolar three-terminal LCC based HVdc link with terminals at Woodland, mid Cavan and Turleenan.
- Terminals at Woodland and Turleenan are rated at 1500 MW while the mid Cavan terminal is rated at 500 MW.
- The rated DC voltage is 500 kV.
- The initial power flow at each HVdc terminal is set to be equal to the power flow through the equivalent alternative AC transmission line in the corresponding power flow case.



R1116.03.04, Page 75 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009



Figure 9.2. Diagram of LCC option as modeled in PSSE.

An HVDC bipole refers to an HVDC system that can continue to operate with one of the two poles being out of service. The advantage of a bipolar HVdc scheme in comparison to a monopolar HVdc scheme is that the bipolar scheme can continue to transmit power (up to the rating of the remaining pole, that is 50% of total capacity without considering overload) with one pole out of service whereas the monopolar scheme would be entirely out of service. This is somewhat comparable, in the case of HVAC schemes, to the reliability advantage that a double circuit AC (overhead line or underground cable) would have over a single circuit AC.

The LCC HVdc scheme in reality would be as described; a three-terminal bipolar LCC link and would use mass-impregnated underground cable due to the higher DC voltage rating, however the number of cables to be used would be a design issue dependent on the final DC voltage and power rating of the LCC link.

In this study, the HVdc link is modeled in PSSE as two single three-terminal monopoles, each rated at 500 kV dc voltage with power ratings of 750 MW at Turleenan and Woodland and 250 MW at mid Cavan, therefore the two poles totaling +/-500 kV at the required ratings of 1500 MW at Turleenan and Woodland and 500 MW at mid Cavan. Each pole is modeled by one cable, therefore two cables in total for the bipole.

With the LCC technology, mass impregnated cable would be used, and a minimum of two cables would be required for the bipole, not including any spares. The exact number and rating of the required cables is a design issue that would depend on the dc voltage and MW rating.

In an LCC HVdc system, filters are located at the three stations in order to filter out harmonics and to provide reactive power compensation. An LCC converter will typically consume reactive power in the range of 50-60% of the real power being transmitted. A minimum number of filters need to be in-service to ensure acceptable harmonic performance. The exact amount of MVAR would depend on the actual filter design. For purposes of this study, a minimum of two filters were assumed to be in-service at all times.



R1116.03.04, Page 76 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009 Blocks of 100 MVAR filters (shunt capacitors) were modeled at the 1500 MW stations and 25 MVAR filters (shunt capacitors) were modeled at the 500 MW station. The converters are connected via DC cable, at lengths of 82 km between Turleenan and mid Cavan and 58 km between mid Cavan and Woodland.

In an LCC HVdc system, current normal industry practice is to supply a single twelve pulse valve group per pole at each station for HVdc transmission systems with power ratings similar to that being considered. Each twelve pulse valve group, at this power rating, is connected to the AC system either through two three phases, two windings, converter transformers or three, single phase, three winding converter transformers to provide the necessary wye:wye and wye:delta connections.

As far as the PSSE model is concerned, it was mentioned that the bipole is modeled as two monopoles, however when operating in bipolar mode this has no impact on the simulation results. The loadflow and dynamics models assume each pole to be a twelve pulse valve group, with two converter transformers, as shown above in Figure 9.2. However, the converter transformers are located directly within the PSSE DC line models and are not explicitly modeled. The valves themselves are not included in a PSSE type model as such a simulation tool is not capable of modeling the actual three-phase switching, and therefore the DC current injection into the AC system is rather calculated using the steady state DC equations. One DC cable per pole was modeled. More information on HVDC representation in PSSE can be found in the PSSE Operational and Application Guides.

HVdc Option 1 (LCC) was represented in dynamics using the two timestep model described in Section 5.1.2.

9.1.2.2. HVdc Option 2 - VSC

The second HVdc scheme consists of a single three-terminal bipolar Voltage Source Converter (VSC) based HVdc link connecting the terminals at Woodland, mid Cavan and Turleenan, as shown in Figure 9.3.

The Methodology Workshop defined the following:

- Two parallel three-terminal VSC based HVdc links will be used in this alternative.
- The HVdc link will be rated at 750 MW at Turleenan and Woodland, with a smaller tap at mid Cavan.
- The rated DC voltage will be 320 kV.



Figure 9.3. Diagram of VSC option as modeled in PSSE.

An HVDC bipole refers to an HVDC system that can continue to operate with one of the two poles being out of service. The advantage of a bipolar HVdc scheme in comparison to a monopolar HVdc scheme is that the bipole scheme can continue to transmit power (up to the rating of the remaining pole, that is 50% of total capacity without considering overload) with one pole out of service whereas the monopole scheme would be entirely out of service. This is somewhat comparable, in the case of HVAC schemes, to



R1116.03.04, Page 77 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009 the reliability advantage that a double circuit AC (overhead line or underground cable) would have over a single circuit AC.

The VSC HVdc scheme in reality is expected to be as described; a three-terminal bipolar VSC link. As of today, a bipolar VSC cable scheme is limited to 1200 MW rating, however in the timeframe of the implementation of this project, a rating of 1500 MW in bipolar VSC cable systems are anticipated. The type and number of cables to be used in such a scheme would be design dependent based on the rated dc voltage and power levels.

In this study, however due to modeling limitations, the HVdc VSC link is modeled as two parallel threeterminal monopolar +/- 320 kV VSC links with converter ratings of 796 MVA at Turleenan and Woodland and 405 MVA at mid Cavan. The required ratings for the stations were to be 1500 MW at Turleenan and Woodland, and 500 MW at mid Cavan, however the vendor-supplied VSC model used in these studies is pre-set to various power ratings at DC voltage levels. The closest rating available to meet the total of 1500 MW were those rated at 796 MVA, two monopoles in parallel providing a total of 1592 MVA. This power rating is only available at the +/- 320 kV dc voltage level, therefore the mid Cavan terminal converter may be rated less but must still operate at the same dc voltage level. The smallest available VSC converter model at +/- 320 kV dc voltage level is pre-set to 405 MVA, therefore by default this rating was selected for the two parallel monopolar VSC converters at mid Cavan for a total rating of 810 MVA. Please note that this is a modeling issue only and that in reality 1500 MW and 500 MW ratings can be achieved in the future, it does not have to be the specific pre-set MVA ratings of the PSSE model. The converters are connected via DC cable, at lengths of 82 km between Turleenan and mid Cavan and 58 km between mid Cavan and Woodland. The VSC converters have a large reactive power support range. The P-Q operating range is dependent on the real power operating point as discussed in [3].

The number of cables required for a VSC bipole would depend on the type of cable being used. Either XLPE or mass impregnated cable can be used, depending on the voltage and MW rating required. XLPE cable is cheaper but can only go up to approximately 320 kV DC. Mass impregnated cable is more expensive but can go up to higher DC voltages. It becomes an economic study to determine how many XLPE cables would be required compared to mass impregnated cables, and which option would be less expensive. If multiple XLPE cables would be required, it is assumed for this scenario that as a worst case cost, the VSC bipole would require the same number of mass-impregnated cables as the LCC bipole, therefore not providing any cost benefit for the VSC. The VSC bipole would however save approximately 40% of the station foot print.

As far as the PSSE model is concerned, the VSC system is modeled as two separate monopoles due to modeling limitations (i.e. no bipolar model is available), however when operating in bipolar mode this has no impact on the simulation results. The loadflow and dynamics models represent each pole connected to the AC system via a single transformer, with AC filters on the VSC side of the transformer. The VSC converter is represented by a generator model in loadflow and by a current injection model in dynamics. The valves themselves are not included in a PSSE type model as such a simulation tool is not capable of modeling the actual three-phase switching. In this case, because the VSC system is modeled with two independent monopoles, two DC cables per pole are represented in the vendor-supplied monopolar model, however this does not necessarily reflect reality as discussed in the previous paragraph. More information on the specific vendor-supplied PSSE models can be found in [3].

9.1.3. Reactive Power Exchange with AC System

Line-commutated converters typically consume reactive power in the range of 50-60% of transmitted real power. LCC HVdc links are supplied with filters and capacitor banks, both for harmonic performance and for reactive power compensation. The LCC HVdc system is designed such that a certain minimum to maximum band of reactive power is exchanged with the AC system (which can be seen in the +/-Q band in Figure 9.2). Filters are switched on/off in order to maintain the reactive power exchange within the desired band, typically +/- 50 to100 MVAR depending on the filter bank size of a specific HVdc system, and depending on the reactive power consumption of the converter. An example P-Q diagram of an LCC HVdc system is shown in Figure 9.2.



R1116.03.04, Page 78 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009



Figure 9.2. Typical LCC P-Q diagram including converter and filters. Green curve is reactive power exchanged with AC System. Red curve is the reactive power absorbed by the converter.

Voltage source converters have a large range of fast reactive supply and absorption capability. The reactive power capability depends on the real power transmission. The vendor-supplied model used in this study has the typical per unit P-Q operating diagram shown in Figure 9.3 (figure taken from [3]). This diagram demonstrates that when operating at a real power level near the MVA rating of the VSC, the reactive power capability is significantly less than if operating at a lower power level, in fact the reactive power capability shown in Figure 9.3 is near 0 MVAR at 1 pu real power. The reactive power can be controlled independently at each station. Please note that this P-Q diagram is typical and vendor-specific and does not necessarily reflect the P-Q operating curve of VSCs supplied by other vendors. Also note that the P-Q diagram refers to the converter only. There are filters located on the AC bus on the VSC side of the transformer which are not accounted for in the P-Q diagram. The rating of the filters depends on the rating of the converter (please see suggestions raised for previous scenario). Each 796 MVA VSC link as modeled in this scenario has 119.4 MVAR of filters (capacitance).





R1116.03.04, Page 79 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009 An AC line loaded to its surge impedance loading will neither consume nor supply reactive power to the AC system. Operating below surge impedance loading, an AC line will supply reactive power; operating above surge impedance loading an AC line will absorb reactive power. Figure 9.4 below shows the P-Q diagram for the AC option for the two 400 kV lines between Turleenan-mid Cavan-Woodland. The range of reactive powers for the 58 km and 82 km lines range from supplying 15 MVAR and 23 MVAR respectively at 0 MW to absorbing 94 MVAR and 147 MVAR respectively at 1424 MW. The surge impedance loading of the lines is approximately 526 MW.



Figure 9.4. AC Line P-Q diagram.

Table 9.1 provides an approximate comparison of the reactive power characteristics of the AC and HVdc options operating at 0.5 pu of the 1500 MW rating.

Table 9.1. Reactive Power Exchange with AC system at transmitted power of 750 MW (0	.5 pu real
power).	

Approximate Range of Reactive Power Exchange with AC System @ 750 MW Power flow (based on 1500 MW HVdc rating)								
AC	LCC*	VSC						
-15 MVAR for 53 km line	-15 MVAR for 53 km line -100 MVAR to +100 MVAR -750 MVAR to +650 MVAR							
-24 MVAR for 82 km line								

*based on 100 MVAR filter size.

Please note that an LCC is designed to keep the net reactive power exchange with the AC system within specified limits (which would refer to the LCC range listed in Table 9.1) and is not typically used for reactive power management, whereas a VSC inherently comes with a large range of controllable reactive power capability, although it should be noted that the range of VSC reactive power available is highly dependent on the real power being transmitted and is near 0 MVAR at 1 pu real power, as was previously discussed.

9.1.4. AC System Strength at HVdc Terminals

System strengths at the converter buses were calculated and are summarized in Table 9.2 for the LCC option. Please note that the Woodland terminal of the three-terminal North-South HVdc link shares the same bus as the East-West Interconnector. If both links are line-commutated they are effectively sharing



R1116.03.04, Page 80 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009 the short circuit strength at the bus which could result in the need for increased system strength in the area, however the studies will determine this⁴. In addition, it should be noted that any AC fault that causes one inverter to fail commutation will likely cause the other to simultaneously fail commutation. Also, although somewhat further removed, the inverter bus of the Moyle LCC Interconnector is also not that far from the Turleenan inverter bus, therefore a similar comment could be made for these two stations but to a lesser degree.

Scenario	LCC HVdc (MVA)					
	Turleenan	mid Cavan	Woodland			
2.1	3360	2751	4259			
2.2	3825	2819	5268			
2.3	7239	3549	6178			
2.4	5592	3578	7228			

Table 9.2. Short Circuit Levels at the LCC HVdc Terminals.

System strength is not as important for a VSC converter.

9.2. Contingencies

9.2.1. Steady State Analysis

All n-1 contingencies for 110 kV and above as well as several key double circuit outage conditions were studied.

9.2.2. Transient Stability Analysis

Table 9.3 describes the system disturbances that are applied to perform the transient stability analysis.

	Fault At		_	Fault	Fault cleared by tripping	Reclose	3ph/1ph	Reopening of Recloser
Fault	Bus	kV	Туре	duration		time	Reclose	(permanent fault)
1_0_X					Permanent single pole block of HVdc/VSC			
2_1_A	90120 (Turleenan)	275	1ph	0.3s	90120, 90320, "1"-Turleenan-Tamnamore 275kV	No reclo	se for brea	aker failure
2_3_A	90120 (Turleenan)	275	3ph	0.12s	90120, 90320, "1"-Turleenan-Tamnanore 275kV	0.72s	3ph	No
2_1_B	3772 (Cavan)	220	1ph	0.3s	3522, 3772, "1"-Louth-Cavan 220 kV	No reclo	se for brea	aker failure
2_3_B	3772 (Cavan)	220	3ph	0.08s	3522, 3772, "1"- Louth-Cavan 220 kV	0.68s	3ph	No
2_1_C	5464 (Woodland)	400	1ph	0.3s	3854, 5464,"1" -Maynooth-Woodland 380 kV 5464, 5462,54621,"1" - Woodland T2101	No recloser for breaker failure		aker failure
2_3_C	5464 (Woodland)	400	3ph	0.08s	3854, 5464, "1"-Maynooth-Woodland 380 kV	0.58s	1ph	No
3_3_X	70520 (Ballylumford)	275	3ph	0.12s	70520, 81020, "2"-Ballylumford-Hanahstown 275kV	0.72s	3ph	No
4_3_X	90020 (Tandragee)	275	3ph	0.12s	90020, 35231, "1"-Louth-Tandragee 275kV 1 90020, 35232, "2"-Louth-Tandragee 275kV 2	0.72s	3ph	No
6_3_X	75520 (Coolkeeragh)	275	3ph	0.12s	75520, 73522, "1"-Coolkeeragh-Magherafelt first section 275kV 75520, 73521, "1"- Coolkeeragh- Magherafelt first section 275kV	0.72s	3ph	No
7_X_X	_X Loss of largest dispatched unit in Northern Ireland - including wind generators							
7C_X_X		Lo	oss of	largest dis	patched unit in Northern Ireland - excluding wind	generators	5	
8_3_X	35231 (Louth)	275	3ph	0.12s	35231, 90020, "1" –Louth-Tandragee 275kV	0.72s	3ph	No

Table 9.3. Dynamic Contingencies.

⁴ Not as great a concern since East-West Interconnector will now be a VSC link.



R1116.03.04, Page 81 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

	Fault At				Fault cleared by tripping			
9_1_X	3522 (Louth)	220	1ph	0.3s	3522, 3772, "1" - Louth-Cavan 220 kV 3522,2842,"1" - Louth-Gorman 220 kV 3522,3521,35224 ckt 4 -Louth T2104 3522,3521,35222 ckt 2 - Louth T2102 3522,35231,35236 ckt 1 - AT1 3522,35231,35238 ckt 3 -AT3	No reclose for breaker failure		
9_3_X	3522 (Louth)	220	3ph	0.08s	3522, 3772, "1"- Louth-Cavan 220 kV	0.68s	3ph	No
9R_3_X	3522 (Louth)	220	3ph	0.08s	3522, 3772, "1"- Louth-Cavan 220 kV	0.68s	3ph	Yes –at 0.73s
10_1_X	2842 (Gorman)	220	1ph	0.3s	2842, 3842, "1" -Gorman Maynooth 220 kV 2842,2841,28421,"1" - Gorman T2101	No reclose for breaker failure		aker failure
10_3_X	2842 (Gorman)	220	3ph	0.08s	2842, 3842, "1"- Gorman Maynooth 220 kV	0.68s	3ph	No
11_1_X	3521 (Louth)	110	1ph	0.3s	2181, 3521, "1" -Drybridge-Louth 110 kV 3521,4781, "1" - Louth-Ratrussan 110 kV 3521, 4061, "1"- Louth-Mullagharlin 110 kV 3522,35231,35236 "1"- Louth T2101 3522,35231,35238 "3"- Louth T2103	/ No recloser for breaker failure		
11_3_X	3521 (Louth)	110	3ph	0.15s	2181, 3521, "1"- Drybridge-Louth 110 kV	0.75s	3ph	No
12_X_X	3122 (Irishtown)	220	3ph	0.06s	3122, 31271 , "1"Generator Transformer & Generator at 31271 – Irishtown Generation	No reclose		

9.3. Power Flows Cases and Losses

The powerflows for the HVdc options were created by removing the 400 kV AC line between Turleenanmid Cavan-Woodland from service and replacing it with the LCC and VSC options operating at the same power transfers as the AC line was transferring for each of the four power flow cases.

Losses in the AC line, the LCC HVdc system and the VSC HVdc system were calculated and compared for each option. Because the transmission distance is relatively short, the AC option has the lowest losses, followed by the LCC option and then the VSC option.

Losses in an LCC HVdc system are typically calculated as 0.75% per converter station at 1.0 pu loading, with 40% fixed losses and 60% variable plus the losses in the DC line.

According to the VSC manuals [3], each VSC converter has losses of 1.65% at nominal (rated) MVA loading, with 30% fixed losses and 70% variable plus the losses in the DC line.

Table 9.3 summarizes the losses flowing in the AC line and in the two HVdc systems. Care should be taken in interpreting these results however, as load profiles and economics have not been considered in this study and would require further investigation. The results in Table 9.3 are for specific operating points only.

i.										
	Scenario	AC Line	DC line + VSC							
		(MW)	Converters (MW)	Converters (MW)						
	2.1	11.4	14.5	37.0						
	2.2	8.4	13.4	35.4						
	2.3	7.8	13.1	33.2						
	2.4	5.0	12.5	31.6						

Table 9.3. Comparison of Losses for the Three Options.

9.4. Steady State Contingency Analysis

Steady state contingency analysis was performed on the four power flow cases described in Table 5.1.



R1116.03.04, Page 82 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

9.4.1. Overload Impacts

There were many overloads observed throughout and common to all three options, namely the AC, LCC and VSC options, some overloads being the same for all three options, some slightly impacted by a few percent when comparing the AC and HVdc options. However, the overloads of interest to this study are those that are significantly impacted by the HVdc options when compared to the AC option. Table 9.4 summarizes the overloads impacted by the HVdc options when compared to the AC option. Appendix B-2 contains the full listing of contingency analysis results.

			Wors	st Overloa	ds (%)	
	Rating					
LINE NAME	MVA	CONTINGENCY	AC	LCC	VSC	Scenario
380 KV						
		Double circuit				
5464 WOODLAND 380.00* 2 3WNDTR WOODLAND WND 1	550	Louth-Tandragee	<100	123.3	124	2.3
		Woodland 3-wdg				
5464*WOODLAND 380.00 3WNDTR WOODLAND WND 1 1	500	transformer	<100	<100	101	2.2
275 KV					1	
		400 kV Turleenan-				
35231*LOUTH 275.00 90020 TANDRAGE 275.00 1	710	Cavan	100	n/a	n/a	2.3
	740	275 kV Louth-	100			
35231*LOUTH 275.00 90020 TANDRAGE 275.00 1	/10	landragee	<100	117.4	115.6	2.3
	710	400 kV Turleenan-	100.1		(2.2
35232°LOUTH 275.00 90020 TANDRAGE 275.00 2	/10		100.1	n/a	n/a	2.3
	710	2/5 KV LOUTIN-	.100	117.0	115.0	2.2
35232 LOUTH 275.00 90020 TANDRAGE 275.00 2	710	Tanuragee	<100	117.2	115.3	2.3
	710	Z/SKV Tandragee-	100.4	102.0	102.4	2.2
90020 TANDRAGE 275.00 90120 TURL 275.00 T	/10		100.4	103.9	103.4	2.3
	710	Z/SKV Tandragee-	100 /	102.0	102 /	2.2
90020 TANDRAGE 275.00 90120 TORL 275.00 2	/10	Turreenan	100.4	103.9	103.4	2.3
220 KV	1	Double aircuit	I			
	E02	Louth Tandragoo	.100	100.0	107.4	2.2
5462 WOODLAND 220.00 2 54622 WOODLAND 220.00	595	Louth-Tanui ayee	<100	100.2	107.0	2.3
	200	400 KV Turleenan-	101.4	n/o	n /a	2.1
3522 LOOTH 220.00 SWINDTR LOOTH_AT WIND IT	300		101.0	1V d	11/ d	<u> </u>
	300	transformer	<100	110 /	110	2.1
	300	400 kV Turloonan	<100	110.4	110	2.1
3522*1011TH 220.00 3WNDTR 1011TH AT WND 1.1	300	Cavan	109 1	n/a	n/a	23
	000	Louth 3-wda	107.1	1.7 G	1.7 G	
3522*LOUTH 220.00 3WNDTR LOUTH AT WND 1 1	300	transformer	107.1	128.4	128.1	2.3
		400 kV Turleenan-				-
3522*LOUTH 220.00 3WNDTR LOUTH AT WND 1 2	600	Cavan	101.2	n/a	n/a	2.1
		275 kV Louth-				
3522*LOUTH 220.00 3WNDTR LOUTH_AT WND 1 2	600	Tandragee	<100	109.6	109.3	2.1
		275 kV Louth-				
3522*LOUTH 220.00 3WNDTR LOUTH_AT WND 1 2	600	Tandragee	106.4	127.3	127	2.3
		400 kV Turleenan-	[
3522*LOUTH 220.00 3WNDTR LOUTH_AT WND 1 2	600	Cavan	108.7	n/a	n/a	2.3
		400 kV Turleenan-				
3522*LOUTH 220.00 3WNDTR LOUTH_AT WND 1 3	300	Cavan	100.6	n/a	n/a	2.1
		Louth 3-wdg				
3522*LOUTH 220.00 3WNDTR LOUTH_AT WND 1 3	300	transformer	<100	109.3	108.9	2.1
		400 kV Turleenan-				
3522*LOUTH 220.00 3WNDTR LOUTH_AT WND 1 3	300	Cavan	108	n/a	n/a	2.3
	200	Louth 3-wdg	10/ 6	107.0	10/ 0	
3522^LOUTH 220.00 3WNDTR LOUTH_AT WND 1 3	300	transformer	106.1	127.2	126.8	2.3
	500	Woodland-	400	100.0	100.1	
5462^WOODLAND 220.00 54622 WOODLAND 220.00 1	593	iviaynooth	<100	103.2	102.4	2.3

Table 9.4. Overload Impacts.

In terms of power flow there is not much difference between the LCC and VSC option, both show very similar overload impacts compared to the AC option. The impacted overloads shown in Table 9.4 were



R1116.03.04, Page 83 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009 observed in power flow cases 2.1 (summer minimum, 1360 MW NI-RoI) and 2.3 (winter peak, 1500 MW NI-RoI), both cases when Northern Ireland is transferring approximately 1500 MW to the Republic of Ireland. Power flow cases 2.2 (summer peak, 1500 MW RoI-NI) and 2.4 (winter peak, 650 MW RoI-NI) when Republic of Ireland is transferring power to Northern Ireland showed no significant impacts.

The Woodland 380-220kV three-winding transformers become overloaded for the double circuit outage of the Louth-Tandragee 275 kV lines. The scenario listed in Table 9.4 assumes 100% of the precontingency power flow on the double-circuit 275 kV lines is transferred to the HVdc line (LCC or VSC) post-contingency. The AC option inherently transfers approximately 70-80% of the double circuit AC lines and therefore results in no overload on the Woodland transformer. In the power flow analysis, 100% of pre-contingency Louth-Tandragee power was assumed to be transferred to the HVdc options for this particular contingency. This results in higher power flow in the Woodland area, which results in the 124% overload. Transient stability analysis as discussed in Section 9.6.6 revealed that no significant benefit is actually gained by transferring more than 70-80% of the Louth-Tandragee power, similar to what the AC option inherently transfers. Therefore this overload is likely not a concern at the lower power transfer levels.

The Louth-Tandragee 275 kV line becomes overloaded to approximately 117% in power flow case 2.3 (winter peak, 1500 MW NI-RoI) for both HVdc cases following the loss of the parallel Louth-Tandragee 275 kV line. The AC option shows a worst case overload of 100.1% for loss of the mid Cavan-Turleenan 380 kV line.

The Tandragee-Turleenan 275 kV lines are also impacted by the HVdc options. The lines become overloaded to near 104% for loss of the parallel line. The AC option for the same contingency results in an overload of 100.4%.

The Louth 275-220 kV 300 MVA three-winding transformers become overloaded for loss of the parallel Louth 275-220 kV 600 MVA three-winding transformer, up to 110% in power flow case 2.1 (summer minimum, 1360 MW NI-Rol) and up to 127% in power flow case 2.3 (winter peak, 1500 MW NI-Rol) for the HVdc options. The AC option shows worst case overloads of 102 % in power flow case 2.1 (summer minimum, 1360 MW NI-Rol) and 109% in power flow case 2.3 (winter peak, 1500 MW NI-Rol) for loss of the 400 kV circuit between Turleenan and mid Cavan.

The Louth 275-220 kV 600 MVA three-wind transformer becomes overloaded for loss of the 275 kV Louth-Tandragee line, up to 109% for power flow case 2.1 (summer minimum, 1360 MW NI-Rol) and up to 127% for power flow case 2.3 (winter peak, 1500 MW NI-Rol) for the HVdc options. The AC option shows worst case overloads of 101 % in power flow case 2.1 (summer minimum, 1360 MW NI-Rol) and 109% in power flow case 2.3 (winter peak, 1500 MW NI-Rol) due to loss of the 400 kV circuit between Turleenan and mid Cavan.

The HVdc options are causing or worsening some of the overloads in comparison to the AC option because the HVdc link remains at the same power flow post-contingency as it was at pre-contingency unless it is told to do otherwise, whereas the AC line power flow is automatically re-adjusted based on the post-contingency network topology. The listed overloads could be mitigated by re-dispatching the HVdc to a similar level as the post-contingency AC line option power flow in order to not impact the AC overloads, or perhaps to an even better level so as to eliminate the overloads. This would require more detailed investigation though to ensure that no new overloads would be created by re-dispatching the HVdc to a level other than the post-contingency AC line option.

9.4.2. Voltage Violation Impacts

There were many slight voltage violations observed throughout the AC and HVdc options, many of which were the same for the AC and HVdc options. However, as with the overloads, the voltage violations of interest to this study are only those that are significantly impacted by the HVdc options when compared to the AC option. Table 9.5a summarizes only the worst case voltage violations impacted by the HVdc options when compared to the AC option. Appendix B-3 contains the full listing of contingency analysis results.



R1116.03.04, Page 84 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

Table 9.5a. Voltage Vio	lations.						
		AC		LCC		VSC	
BUS NAME	CONTINGENCY	V-INIT	V-CONT	V-INIT	V-CONT	V-INIT	V-CONT
Scenario 2.1							
1641 CASHLA 110.00	Dublin Bay 3122-31271	-	-	1.07485	1.09423	-	-
Scenario 2.2*							
3942 MONEYPOI NT 220.00	Prospect-Tarbert 220 kV 5142-4522	-	-	1.07417	1.09295	1.07793	1.09436
Scenario 2.3							
2524 FLAGFORD 380.00	Cavan 3wdg transformer	-	-	1.07646	1.08659	1.06383	1.08054
3774 CAVAN 380.00	Flagford 3wdg transformer	-	-	1.07811	1.09425	-	-
3774 CAVAN 380.00	Cavan 3wdg transformer	-	-	1.07811	1.09271	1.06088	1.08663
4384 OLDSTREET 380.00	Longpoint generator	-	-	1.07851	1.07924	-	-
4384 OLDSTREET 380.00	Moneypoint generator	-	-	1.07851	1.07948	-	-
5462 WOODLAND 220.00	East-West HVdc	-	-	1.07767	1.09355	-	-
Scenario 2.4							
2524 FLAGFORD 380.00	Cavan 3wdg transformer	-	-	1.06608	1.08099	1.06311	1.08002
2524 FLAGFORD 380.00	Louth-Cavan 220 kV 3522-3772	-	-	1.06608	1.08369	-	-
3774 CAVAN 380.00	Louth-Cavan 220 kV 3522-3772	-	-	1.06511	1.08845	-	-
3774 CAVAN 380.00	Cavan 3wdg transformer	-	-	1.06511	1.08708	1.05975	1.0861

*may not include all voltage violations as many "blown up" cases occurred in scenario 2.2. Please see Table 9.6.

There were slight overvoltage impacts occurring mostly in several 400 kV buses near to the HVdc, specifically at Cavan, Flagford, Moneypoint, and Oldstreet, as well as at the 220 kV bus at Moneypoint and at the 110 kV bus at CASHLA. The impacts were worst with the LCC option during power flow case 2.3 (winter peak, 1500 MW NI-RoI), the highest overvoltage being 1.094 pu at the Cavan 400 kV bus following the loss of the hypothetical 400-220 kV transformer at Flagford. A 75 MVAR reactor was tested at the mid Cavan terminal of the LCC option for power flow case 2.3 (winter peak, 1500 MW NI-RoI) and was seen to eliminate these voltage violations. Therefore the LCC option may require a reactor in the range of 75 MVAR to prevent high steady state voltages in the mid Cavan/Woodland areas due to the requirement to have a minimum number of filters (capacitors) in service with the LCC option to provide acceptable harmonic performance. The actual filter design would determine the filter sizes and whether or not a reactor would be needed to prevent overvoltages with the LCC option.

The remainder of the violations listed in Table 9.5b show overvoltages, undervoltages and voltage deviation violations, but these violations are only seen at the open ends of transformers that are not connected to the rest of the system due to the corresponding listed contingency. It is therefore assumed that as long as these voltage violations would not harm the equipment they are not a concern in terms of impacting the network as they are disconnected from the rest of the AC network.

Tabla	0 5h	Voltago	Violatione a	• ↑ ∩ •	oon-Endod	Transformore
able	9.50.	voitage	violations a	α Ο	Jen-Ended	Transionners

		AC		LCC		VSC	
BUS NAME	CONTINGENCY	V-INIT	V-CONT	V-INIT	V-CONT	V-INIT	V-CONT
Scenario 2.1							
	Double circuit Louth-						
35231 LOUTH 275.00	Tandragee	0.96899	0.84646	-	-	-	-
35231 LOUTH 275	Louth-Tandragee 275 kV	-	-	-	-	0.97999	0.87122
35232 LOUTH 275	Louth-Tandragee 275 kV	-	-	-	-	0.98083	0.87158
Scenario 2.2*							
	CENT1B-CREG1B 74712-						
74712 CENT1B 110.00	76012	1.01632	0.91147	-	-	-	-
	DONE1B-WEST1B						
91012 WEST1B 110.00	76512-91012	1.01841	0.8412	-	-	-	-
	DONE1C-WEST1A						
91011 WEST1A 110.00	76511-91011	-	-	1.01794	0.84603	1.0142	0.86389
	CASHLA						
16411 CASHLA 110.00	1641-16411	-	-	-	-	1.06913	1.09113



R1116.03.04, Page 85 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

		AC		LCC		VSC	
BUS NAME	CONTINGENCY	V-INIT	V-CONT	V-INIT	V-CONT	V-INIT	V-CONT
	ARKLOW 3wdg						
1124 ARKLOW 380.00	transformer	-	-	-	-	1.04574	1.08093
Scenario 2.3							
	CENT1A-CREG1A 74711-						
74711 CENT1A 110.00	76011	-	-	-	-	1.01733	0.89891
	CENT1B-CREG1B 74712-						
74712 CENT1B 110.00	76012	-	-	-	-	1.01733	0.89891
	Flagford 3wdg						
2524 FLAGFORD 380.00	transformer	-	-	1.07646	1.10041	-	-
Scenario 2.4			-				
35232 LOUTH 275.00	Louth-Tandragee 275 kV	1.02457	0.90391	-	-	-	-
	Double circuit Louth-						
35231 LOUTH 275.00	Tandragee	-	-	1.02033	0.91395	1.01826	1.14255
	Double circuit Louth-						
35232 LOUTH 275.00	Tandragee	-	-	1.02004	0.91395	1.01796	1.23708
2524 FLAGFORD 380.00	S580	-	-	1.06608	1.08268	-	-
	CENT11-CREG11 74711-						
74711 CENT1A 110.00	76011	-	-	-	-	1.02264	0.91972
	CENT1B-CREG1B 74712-						
74712 CENT1B 110.00	76012	-	-	-	-	1.02264	0.91972
	DONE1B-WEST1B						
91012 WEST1B 110.00	76512-91012	-	-	-	-	1.00608	0.83841

*may not include all voltage violations as many "blown up" cases occurred in scenario 2.2. Please see Table 9.6. **Please note that a "-" in the table indicates that the voltage is within the limits defined in the study criteria in Section 6.

One thing to note about the contingency analysis is that power flow case 2.2 (summer peak, 1500 MW RoI-NI) in particular had many diverged powerflow cases especially in the AC option loadflow as shown in Table 9.6. The LCC option had fewer diverged cases than the AC option, and the VSC had by far the fewest of all. After investigation into the particular contingencies that had difficulty converging, it is thought that power flow case 2.2 may have a lack of reactive power support and hence many "blown up" solutions in the contingency analysis. "Blown up" refers to diverged power flow solutions. For this reason it is difficult to assess exactly what is happening in the blown up solutions and whether or not real voltage violations/collapse exist, or whether it is simply a solution convergence problem. Because this problem is occurring mainly in the AC option of power flow case 2.2, mitigation options were not specifically investigated because it is a base case issue. However it should be noted that the HVdc options, particularly the VSC option show improvement in solution convergence, possibly because the VSC option provides AC voltage control with a significant range of reactive power support. Several of these contingencies were tested in dynamics and were found to be stable.

Table 9.6. Diverged Power Flow Cases.

CONTINGENCY	AC Option	LCC Option	VSC Option
Scenario 2.1			
none			
Scenario 2.2			
DUBLINBP	Blown up	Blown up	-
IKERRIN_T	Blown up	Blown up	Blown up
AGHADA-CAHIR 380	Blown up	-	-
DUNSTOWN-LAOIS 380	Blown up	Blown up	-
FLAGFORD-CAVAN 380	Blown up	Blown up	-
LAOIS-WMD_400 380	Blown up	Blown up	-
CAVAN-WOODLAND 380	Blown up	-	-
MONEYPOINT-OLDSTREET 380	Blown up	Blown up	-
MONEYPOINT-WMD_400 380	Blown up	Blown up	-
WOODLAND-OLDSTREET 380	Blown up	Blown up	-
BALLYCRONAN 275	Iteration limit exceeded	Blown up	-
CASHLA-FLAGFORD 220	Iteration limit exceeded	-	-
CASHLA-PROSPECT 220	Iteration limit exceeded	-	-



R1116.03.04, Page 86 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

	ACOption		VSC Option
CARRICKIM-CHARLESLAND 220	Blown up	Blown up	-
DUNSTOWN-TURLOUGH 220	Blown up	-	-
CAVAN-FLAGFORD 220	Blown up	-	-
FLAGFORD-SRANANAGH 220	Blown up	-	-
FINNSTOW-MAYNOOTH 220	Blown up	-	-
INCHICOR-POOLBEG 220	Blown up	-	-
MAYNOOTH-KINNEGAD 220	Blown up	-	-
PROSPECT-TARBERT 220	Iteration limit exceeded	-	-
SHANNONB-WMD 220	Blown up	-	-
CAHIR-KILL HILL 110	Blown up	Blown up	Blown up
KILL HILL-THURLES 110	Blown up	Blown up	Blown up
CUNGHILL-OUNAGH 110	Iteration limit exceeded	-	-
CUNGHILL-SLIGO 110	Iteration limit exceeded	-	-
LANESBOR-MULLINGA 110	Blown up	-	-
LOUTH-RATRUSSA 110	Iteration limit exceeded	-	-
LOUTH CAP 110	Iteration limit exceeded	-	-
NAVAN CAP 110	Iteration limit exceeded	-	-
RATHKEAL-TARBERT 110	Iteration limit exceeded	-	-
THORNSBE-MOUNT LUCAS 110	Iteration limit exceeded	Blown up	-
FLAGFORD 3WDG TRANSFORMER	Blown up	-	-
TURLEENAN-MID CAVAN 380	Blown up	-	-
CHARLESLAND 3WDG TRANSFORMER	Blown up	Blown up	-
CHARLESLAND 3WDG TRANSFORMER	Blown up	Blown up	-
FINGLAS 3WGD TRANSFORMER	Iteration limit exceeded	-	-
FINGLAS 3WGD TRANSFORMER	Blown up	-	-
IRISHTOWN-DUBLIN BAY 220	Blown up	Blown up	-
ATHLONE TRANSFORMER 110	Iteration limit exceeded	-	-
ATHLONE TRANSFORMER 110	Iteration limit exceeded	-	-
SALTHILL TRANSFORMER 110	Iteration limit exceeded	-	-
TULLABRA TRANSFORMER 110	Iteration limit exceeded	-	-
MAGH_TAMN	Iteration limit exceeded	-	-
LOUTH-CAVAN 220	-	Iteration limit exceeded	-
Scenario 2.3			
LOUTH-RATRUSSA 110	-	-	Iteration limit exceeded
Scenario 2.4		•	
BELLACORICK-CASTLEBE 110	Iteration limit exceeded	Iteration limit exceeded	-

(*) Note that a "-" in the table indicates a converged power flow solution for the particular contingency.

9.5. Short Circuit Analysis

In comparison to the AC option, both HVdc options reduce short circuit levels particularly in the areas near to the HVdc link. This is because the LCC HVdc link does not contribute any short circuit current. The VSC can contribute a relatively small amount of short circuit current. According to the VSC model manuals [3], it is recommended to turn off the VSCs in the power flow prior to performing the short circuit analysis, and this was done for the analysis. However, the VSC option can contribute up to 1.0 pu rated current, with this current contribution decreasing as bus voltages decrease. An alternative method suggested in the VSC manual is to assume a 0.5 pu current contribution at nearby buses [3]. Therefore, the VSC fault contribution of 0.5 pu current (1.65 kA at Turleenan, 1.05 kA at mid Cavan and 1.2 kA at Woodland)⁵ was manually added to each of the VSC terminal buses. The short circuit levels were found to be very similar to or slightly lower than the AC option short circuit levels at the terminal buses. The AC line option does contribute to short circuit current. As a result, the HVdc cases generally have lower short circuit levels than the AC option especially in the area near the HVdc terminals.

⁵ Calculated as 0.5 (MVA rating) / ($\sqrt{3}$ ·V). E.g. at Woodland 380 kV bus: (0.5 · 1592 MVA)/($\sqrt{3}$ ·380)=1.2 kA.



R1116.03.04, Page 87 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009 Table 9.7 lists the short circuit impacts of the LCC and VSC HVdc options compared to the AC option at the three terminals of the North-South Interconnector. The VSC option assumes 0.5 pu rated current contribution. Appendix B-4 contains the full listing of short circuit analysis results with HVdc impacts greater than 5%.

				AC Option		HVDC Option 1 - LCC			HVDC Option 2 - VSC				
				Short Circuit (kA)		Short Circuit (kA)		Difference from AC Option (kA)		Short Circuit (kA)		Difference from AC Option (kA)	
Scenario	Bus Name	kV	Rating (kA)	1ph	3ph	1ph	3ph	1ph	3ph	1ph	3ph	1ph	3ph
2.1	TURLEENAN	275	36	11.81	9.82	10.39	8.25	-1.42	-1.57	11.69	9.79	-0.12	-0.03
	CAVAN	220	36	11.53	10.21	9.87	8.57	-1.66	-1.64	10.13	9.30	-1.40	-0.91
	WOODLAND	380	45	10.35	8.86	9.17	7.69	-1.18	-1.17	9.81	8.73	-0.54	-0.13
2.2	TURLEENAN	275	36	13.65	11.56	11.77	9.45	-1.88	-2.11	12.87	10.78	-0.78	-0.78
	CAVAN	220	36	12.68	11.64	10.41	9.30	-2.27	-2.34	10.84	10.09	-1.84	-1.55
	WOODLAND	380	45	11.80	10.58	10.15	8.95	-1.65	-1.63	10.86	10.00	-0.94	-0.58
2.3	TURLEENAN	275	36	18.50	18.47	16.94	15.98	-1.56	-2.49	17.74	17.37	-0.76	-1.10
	CAVAN	220	36	13.94	13.43	11.11	10.35	-2.83	-3.08	11.33	10.89	-2.61	-2.54
	WOODLAND	380	45	13.61	13.08	11.37	10.60	-2.24	-2.48	11.58	11.20	-2.03	-1.88
2.4	TURLEENAN	275	36	16.40	15.31	14.05	12.33	-2.35	-2.98	15.00	13.61	-1.40	-1.70
	CAVAN	220	36	13.97	13.49	10.95	10.22	-3.02	-3.27	11.30	10.86	-2.67	-2.63
	WOODLAND	380	45	14.64	14.57	12.54	12.26	-2.10	-2.31	12.70	12.88	-1.94	-1.69

Table 9.7. Short Circuit Levels at the North-South Terminals.

All short circuit levels exceeding the fault level criteria were recorded for the three options. Levels were exceeded at a few 220 kV stations, but mostly at 110 kV stations. In all cases the HVdc options either had no impact on the short circuit level violations present in the AC option, or the HVdc options slightly reduced the violations. It is recommended to perform detailed breaker replacement studies at these stations. Appendix B-4 contains the full listing of short circuit analysis results.

Generally speaking the benefit of a decrease in short circuit levels is to possibly reduce the number of breakers whose current interrupting ratings are exceeded. The drawback is a decrease in system strength. The Moyle HVdc interconnector AC bus in Northern Ireland has a minimum short circuit operating requirement of 1500 MVA. In order to ensure the system strength at that particular bus does not go below 1500 MVA, for each of the three transmission options the four power flow cases were checked for system intact conditions, and for the condition with the two parallel 275 kV AC lines between Louth and Tandragee out of service. No violations were found. The worst power flow case was summer minimum case 2.1. The results for the Moyle bus short circuit levels are summarized in Table 9.8.

Scenario	AC Line (MVA)		LC	C HVdc (MVA)	VSC HVdc (MVA)					
	System Intact	Louth-Tandragee Ckt 1+2 out	System Intact	Louth-Tandragee Ckt 1+2 out	System Intact	Louth-Tandragee Ckt 1+2 out				
2.1	3011	2513	2564	1526	2688	1712				
2.2	3302	2815	2864	1982	2901	2035				
2.3	6290	5841	5915	5093	5929	5156				
2.4	4560	4058	4121	3273	4115	3269				

Table 9.8. Moyle Short Circuit Levels.

There are several important things to note regarding Table 9.8, including:



R1116.03.04, Page 88 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

- The HVdc options have a negative impact on the short circuit level at Moyle and reduce it by approximately 400 MVA during system intact conditions and by 800 MVA during the N-2 condition.
- Although no violations of the minimum 1500 MVA were found at Moyle, power flow case 2.1 (summer minimum) which models high wind and low conventional generation in Northern Ireland, is coming very close to violating this level for the HVdc options. It should be noted here that power flow case 2.1 had the generator at Ballylumford in service. Coolkeeragh generation was out of service. Since the Ballylumford generation is right next to the Moyle converter bus the short circuit results at Moyle would be even more onerous (lower) if Ballylumford generator were redispatched to Coolkeeragh.
- It is interesting to note the difference in short circuit levels between the high wind and low wind power flow cases. Power flow cases 2.1 (summer minimum) and 2.2 (summer peak) represent high wind conditions which results in lower short circuit levels, whereas power flow cases 2.3 and 2.4 (both winter peak) represent low wind conditions which results in higher short circuit levels. Again it is important to note how the impedance of the wind generators are modeled as discussed in Section 6.1.3; for purposes of fault analysis performed in this study the reactance of the wind generators were set to 1.0 pu. Wind generators typically provide much lower short circuit current than conventional generators.

Another general drawback to note with decreased short circuit levels is that if the system short circuit levels were decreased significantly enough, the minimum fault current coordination and detection for the protection systems could be impacted. This would require further detailed study.

If reduced short circuit levels would require mitigation in order to be increased to desired levels, synchronous condensers could be added to the system. Synchronous condensers have been installed with various LCC HVdc systems in instances where the local area short circuit strength is not sufficient. The number and rating of synchronous condensers needed, along with the best location at which to install them, would require further study.

9.6. Transient Stability Analysis

The results of the transient stability simulations were analyzed and the three options were compared in terms of the following criteria, which were described in more detail in Section 6:

- Transient overvoltages/undervoltages
- Frequency deviations and df/dt
- System damping
- Generator tripping

The double circuit loss of the 275 kV Louth-Tandragee AC lines is a special case which is discussed separately in more detail in order to compare the performance of the three transmission options. In addition to the analysis of the AC system faults and criteria, specific faults associated only with the HVdc systems, namely pole blocking and dc line faults, as well as the line-commutated issue of commutation failure, were also analysed to ensure acceptable system performance. Comparison of the LCC and VSC performance is also noted.

Appendix B1 contains the plots of the transient stability analysis results.

9.6.1. Overvoltages and Undervoltages

AC system voltages were observed during the post fault period at buses rated 110 kV and above. Out of the three transmission technologies, in most situations the VSC option showed superior voltage performances following a disturbance while the LCC option showed the worst voltage performances at buses close to the proposed transmission system. The AC option showed moderate voltage



R1116.03.04, Page 89 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009 performances. The VSC option is inherently equipped with a large capacitive and inductive range of voltage control so it is not surprising that it shows better performance.

The VSC and AC options did not violate the transient voltage criteria.

The LCC option had two occasions where the overvoltage limit of 1.3 pu was violated for approximately 10 ms to 15 ms at a number of buses in the Ballycronan area, the highest violation was observed at the Ballycronan 275 kV bus. The Ballycronan 275 kV bus is the Moyle HVdc converter bus in Northern Ireland. Overvoltages are likely worst at this bus due to the AC filters associated with the Moyle HVdc converter station. Both of these overvoltage violations occurred just after clearing three phase faults at mid Cavan (2_3_B) and Gorman (10_3_X) 220 kV buses for power flow case 2.1 (summer minimum). Figures 9.4 and 9.5 show the voltage at the Ballycronan 275 kV bus during the faults at Cavan 220 kV bus and Gorman 220 kV bus respectively.



Figure 9.4. Ballycronan 275 kV bus voltage during the Cavan 220 kV bus fault.



R1116.03.04, Page 90 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009



Figure 9.5. Ballycronan 275 kV bus voltage during the Gorman 220 kV bus fault (top) and Moyle Interconnector HVDC Power (bottom),

In each occasion, the Moyle converter and North-South LCC converter suffered commutation failures during the fault. Consequently, the voltage of the 275 kV network in the vicinity of the Ballycronan area exceeded the overvoltage limit of 1.3 pu, up to a maximum of approximately 1.4 pu. Table 9.9 tabulates the buses which experienced overvoltage violations. Bus numbers marked with **bold** text were observed to have overvoltage violations for both Cavan and Gorman three phase faults and the rest of buses have overvoltage violations only for the Gorman three phase fault. All of these buses belong to the 275 kV network.

Table 9.9. Buses exceeding transient voltage of 1.3 pu.

Bus Number	Name
70520	Ballylumford
73521	Caco2A
73522	Caco2B
74520	Castlereagh
75520	Coolkeeragh
81020	Hannahstown
81520	Kells
82020	Kilroot
85020	Magherafelt



R1116.03.04, Page 91 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

Bus Number	Name
86220	Ballycronan
86221	Ballycronan
86231	Moyle
89520	Strabane
90020	Tandragee

9.6.2. Wind Generator Tripping

Fault case 11_3_X applies a 150 ms three-phase fault at the 110 kV Louth bus. The Louth bus is located near the Dundalk 110 kV bus, to which two wind generators (at PSSE buses 153 and 203) are connected. The undervoltage ride-through settings of these wind generators are set to 0.15 pu voltage for 140 ms. Therefore these two wind generators trip in all AC and HVdc options for all four power flow cases because the voltage at the generator buses drops below 0.15 pu for 150 ms which invokes the wind farm undervoltage relays to trip the generators.

Table 9.10 lists the wind generators and wind power levels that are tripped during this fault.

Bue	Namo	Gon ID	Gen. Power (MW)					
Dus	Name	Gen. ID	2.1	2.2	2.3	2.4		
153	Dundalk	DX	3.0	12.0	3.6	3.6		
203	Dundalk	DV	1.6	6.4	1.9	1.9		

 Table 9.10. Wind Generators Tripped by Undervoltage Relays

9.6.3. Power Oscillation Damping

In general, plots of power oscillations inspected for various contingencies and powerflow study cases revealed that all three transmission options result in a satisfactory level of damping for power oscillations. Inspection of power oscillations also indicate that there is no clear evidence to substantiate which option has better system damping. Small signal stability analysis based on eigenvalues would be recommended for future studies to better quantify the system damping performance.

9.6.4. Frequency Performance

In transient stability programs such as PSS/E, the transmission system frequency is obtained from the derivative of the bus voltage angle. If there is a sharp change in the bus angle, the frequency might change infinitely. Therefore, there are filters associated with the frequency measurements. However sometimes these filters are not enough to completely rid the frequency calculations of these spikes, particularly if a fault has been applied in the area near to the frequency deviations as generator speeds are state variables which cannot change instantaneously and therefore should not give erroneous results.

For the four power flows cases that were studied, the three transmission options did not show any transient underfrequency or overfrequency violations for any of the contingencies that were studied that did not involve generator tripping. All transient frequency deviations were within criteria.

Of particular interest however are three of the dynamic contingencies (7_X_X , $7C_X_X$, 12_X_X) that simulate the loss of generation, two of which study loss of the largest generator in Northern Ireland, one which considers loss of wind generators, the other does not. Contingency 12_X_X studies loss of generation at Dublin Bay in the Republic of Ireland. Table 9.12 shows the amount of generation tripped for each of the four power flow cases for the three contingencies.



R1116.03.04, Page 92 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

Fable 9.12. Loss of Largest Generator in the Northern area of Ireland and at Dublin Bay.									
Power	7_X_X (including w	7C_X_X (e	excluding	12_X_X					
Flow	,		win	nd)					
	Generator Bus	Generator	MW	Generator Bus	MW				
		Tripped	ed Bus Tripped			Tripped			
2.1	Omagh 262 "TY"	448.8	70516 "10"	100.0	Dublin Bay 31271 "1"	163.0			
2.2	Bellacrorick 136 "TX"	310.2	70516 "10"	97.0	Dublin Bay 31271 "1"	200.0			
2.3	Omagh 262 "TY"	448.8	82002 "2"	227.0	Dublin Bay 31271 "1"	395.0			
2.4	Coolkeeragh 75515 "GT"	260.0	75515 "GT"	260.0	Dublin Bay 31271 "1"	409.0			

The cases studying loss of generation observed that the system is transiently stable but settles out to a frequency less than 50 Hz. Table 9.13 tabulates the frequency to which the system settles for each of the AC and HVdc options for each of these contingencies after 20 seconds of simulation. The underfrequencies are within the transient criteria, but in some cases are slightly below the continuous frequency criteria of 49.5 Hz. It is expected that AGC or manual frequency control would operate to bring these frequencies back to acceptable levels. It should also be noted that the 75 MW Moyle reserve which operates at 49.6 Hz was not modelled, and neither was the 45 MW of industrial load shed at 49.3 Hz.

Power	System Underfrequency (Hz)						
Flow	7_X_X	7C_X_X	12_X_X				
2.1	49.26	49.45	49.40				
2.2	48.60*	None	None				
2.3	49.20	49.40	49.35				
2.4	49.65	49.65	49.53				

Table 9.13. Frequency Deviations after 20 seconds.

The AC and HVdc options all settle out to the same system frequencies which makes sense because the same amount of generation is lost in each case, therefore there is little to compare for these cases.

Following each contingency, the frequency of the entire system gradually decreases. This causes the pump load at Bus 52074 (TURLG4) to trip due to underfrequency in power flow cases 2.1 and 2.2 for all three transmission options within 10 seconds of simulation.

This frequency decay is illustrated in Figure 9.6 for selected generator speeds for one of the worst case contingencies 7_X_X during power flow 2.3 for the three transmission options (at 20 seconds). In this case 448 MW of wind generation in Northern Ireland is lost. It can be seen that the AC and HVdc options respond in a similar manner. Because there is no excess generation to bring from one area of the island to another and because the entire system frequency has decayed, the HVdc options would not be useful here to improve the system frequency.



R1116.03.04, Page 93 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009



Figure 9.6: Frequency decay of the system when largest generator in Northern Ireland is tripped.

The response of higher-speed control (such as machine governors) to short term frequency excursions is modeled in PSSE. Short-term system frequency criteria must be met by the calculated PSS/E frequency responses. In the event of extreme transient under-frequency, there is the risk of load shed and also the risk of the loss of generation through generation protection (typically installed to prevent damage resonances at off-nominal frequency operation).

Steady state frequency control in the Irish transmission system is achieved by manual operator control. It is valid to assume that through corrective action, i.e. manual operator action, the system frequency will be restored to nominal in a timeframe beyond that of the transient stability study.

It should be noted that the frequency the system settles to is above the emergency frequency criteria of 47.5 Hz, however is well below the allowable continuous criteria of 49.5 Hz. Note that load shedding as well as the frequency control function of the Moyle HVdc Interconnector were not modelled in this study.

It should be noted that contingency 7_X_X in power flow case 2.2, which simulates loss of 310 MW in Northern Island, appears to be frequency unstable for wind generators in Northern Ireland (PSSE buses 260-264) if the simulation is run out to 40 seconds (it had still not reached a steady value at 20 seconds). The issue is happening in the AC option as well as the HVdc options and therefore is a base case issue that was not further investigated in this study as it has no bearing on the comparison of the AC and HVdc options. Note that power flow case 2.2 is also the case that had trouble with solution convergence during the steady state contingency analysis as was discussed in Section 9.4.2. Figure 9.7 illustrates this case for the AC option.



R1116.03.04, Page 94 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009



EirGrid plc Investigating the Impact of HVdc Schemes in the Irish Transmission Network Final Report

Figure 9.7: Frequency decay of the system when largest generator in Northern Ireland is tripped (7_X_X) – Power flow case 2.2 for AC option.



9.6.5. Rate of Change of Frequency Performance

Rate of change of frequency can be a concern for systems with a high penetration of wind generation. This is because wind generators are often equipped with rate-of-change-of-frequency (ROCOF) relays to trip the wind generator if the rate of change of frequency is too high.

The EirGrid Grid Code currently states that the maximum rate of change of frequency in the Irish transmission system is 0.5 Hz/sec. Large amounts of wind generation are planned to be connected to the Irish transmission system and this wind generation will displace conventional generation. Because wind generators do not provide as much inertia as conventional generators, the rate of change of system frequency will naturally increase, causing further concern regarding the ROCOF relays.

Many cases were found in which the rate of change of frequency violated 0.5 Hz/sec. Rate of change of system frequency was found to be worst for power flow case 2.1, which represents a summer minimum loading case with low conventional generation and high wind generation. The highest rate of change of frequency was found to occur in Northern Ireland near to the areas of high wind generation, for dynamic contingencies representing three phase faults in Northern Ireland. In particular, a three phase fault at Turleenan on one of the 275 kV lines to TAMN (fault 2_3_A) was found to show the highest rate of change of change of frequency.

As mentioned in Section 9.6.4, in transient stability programs such as PSS/E, the transmission system frequency is obtained from the derivative of the bus voltage angle. If there is a sharp change in the bus angle, the frequency might change infinitely. Therefore, there are filters associated with the frequency measurements. However sometimes these filters are not enough to completely rid the frequency calculations of these spikes, particularly if a fault has been applied in the area near to the frequency. For this reason, generator speed quantities were mostly used to analyse rate of change of frequency as generator speeds are state variables which cannot change instantaneously and therefore should not give erroneous results.

In order to compare the rate of change of frequency performance between the AC and HVdc options, the rate of change of frequency was compared for power flow case 2.1 for fault 2_3_A (three-phase fault at Turleenan). This was measured by comparing the rate of change of speed and frequency at Ballylumford generator in Northern Ireland, at Dublin Bay generator in the Republic of Ireland and for wind farms near Bellacorick and Omagh. The results are shown in Figures 9.8 and 9.9 below.



R1116.03.04, Page 96 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009



EirGrid plc Investigating the Impact of HVdc Schemes in the Irish Transmission Network Final Report

Figure 9.8. Rate of change of speed (w) and frequency (f) in Northern Ireland for a three-phase fault at Turleenan.



R1116.03.04, Page 97 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009



Figure 9.9. Rate of change of speed (w) and frequency (f) in Republic of Ireland for a three-phase fault at Turleenan.

As can be seen in Figure 9.8, the rate of change of generator speed in Northern Ireland is the worst case, up to near 4.5 Hz/sec at Ballylumford and greater than 5 Hz/sec at Omagh wind generation. The rate of change of speed for Dublin Bay and Bellacorick wind generation in the Republic of Ireland is less but still slightly violate 0.5 Hz/sec.

The rates of change of frequency at the same buses were also plotted for comparison's sake. If the initial numerical spikes are ignored during the fault and immediately following fault clearing, it appears that the rate of change of frequency is actually less severe than the rate of change of generator speed, with only one bus from the four plotted showing df/dt violations at Ballylumford.

In all cases there is no significant difference in rate of change of frequency when comparing the AC and HVdc options, although the VSC does show a slightly higher rate of change of speed at Ballylumford immediately after fault clearing. All options violate the 0.5 Hz/sec criteria.

Further study would be recommended to gather more information regarding the ROCOF relays in terms of how they operate, how they measure frequency, how high a rate of change of frequency they allow and what lengths of timers are associated with the relay. It would be a concern for the Irish network to lose large amounts of embedded generation due to rate of change of frequency. If mitigation were necessary, inertia could be added to the system in the form of high inertia synchronous condensers to aid in reducing the rate of change of frequency. Further study would be required to determine the best location and the required ratings of these synchronous condensers.



R1116.03.04, Page 98 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

9.6.6. Double Circuit Loss of 275 kV Louth-Tandragee Lines

The double circuit 275 kV AC lines between Louth and Tandragee essentially run in parallel with the North-South transmission options that are being studied in this scenario. These AC lines are a major tie line between Northern Ireland and the Republic of Ireland. Fault 4_3_X studies the loss of this double circuit due to a three-phase fault, followed by line reclosing 600 ms after fault clearing.

When this double circuit line is out-of-service, it is up to the North-South Interconnector to take over a large portion of the pre-contingency power that was flowing on these lines in order to maintain system stability.

The AC option has no trouble with this disturbance as it inherently takes over a large portion of the power from the double circuit loss. In addition, the AC option keeps the Northern Ireland and Republic of Ireland systems synchronized during the time when the lines are out. Because of this, when the AC lines are reclosed the impact to the AC system is minimal and the double circuit line then inherently takes back some of the power flow from the North-South AC line.

For the HVdc options, if HVdc control action is not taken to increase the power order for the LCC and VSC HVdc options when the double circuit line trips, the system is unstable for power flow cases 2.1 and 2.3, which represent 1500 MW power transfer from Northern Ireland to the Republic of Ireland. Power flow cases 2.2 and 2.4 are stable without HVdc control action, however large step changes in voltage are observed upon AC line reclosing which violate the 10% voltage deviation criteria in power flow case 2.2.

The HVdc options for Scenario 2 were purposely rated higher than would normally be required for system intact conditions in order to leave room and account for the possibility of a double circuit loss of the Louth-Tandragee 275 kV lines. Special HVdc controls are required to quickly increase the power transfer on the HVdc lines upon detection of the double circuit AC loss (taking into account small communication time delays). Such a special protection scheme could consist of the following:

- Monitor the power flow in the double circuit Louth-Tandragee lines.
- Monitor breaker status of these lines, and if the breakers open to trip the lines, send a signal to the HVdc controls to increase the power order by the required percentage of pre-contingency Louth-Tandragee double circuit power transfer. Such communication delays would be expected to be in the range of 20 ms.
- The HVdc controls can respond within less than one cycle to increase the power order. The actual power will reach the new power order within a few cycles.
- The amount of "extra" power to be transmitted by the HVdc link (DC power modulation) will depend on its long term and short term overload capabilities, which should be defined at the specification stage, since there are important costs implications on these limits. Currently VSC schemes are designed to run up to their rated power, with no overload capability. This should evolve in future projects.

Special protection schemes, similar to that described above, exist in other HVdc schemes to quickly reduce or increase HVdc power to maintain system stability depending on major transmission lines tripping. For example, in the Nelson River HVDC scheme in Manitoba, a special protection scheme known as "HVDC reduction" is used to quickly reduce the HVdc power order to two LCC HVdc bipoles in the event of loss of various critical transmission lines in the AC system, including tie lines between Manitoba and the United States. The "HVDC reduction" operates under certain pre-determined power flow conditions and adjusts the HVdc power orders according to the pre-contignency power flow that was measured in the particular transmission line that tripped.

The VSC and LCC options were both able to quickly increase power when the double circuit line is tripped, the VSC operating somewhat better than the LCC because the LCC option is also recovering from a commutation failure while increasing power transfer and the LCC option reaches the higher power slower than the VSC option. But regardless, both HVdc options recover to the higher power as ordered. During this time when the existing double circuit AC lines are open, the Northern Ireland and Republic of Ireland systems are 'weakly' coupled when compared to the AC option. This results in the Northern



R1116.03.04, Page 99 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009 Ireland and Republic of Ireland AC systems drifting further apart during the double circuit line outage. The systems are still synchronized, however the larger angle difference between the systems can result in a large disturbance when reclosing the parallel lines.

As a first assumption the HVdc options were assumed to take over 100% of the pre-contingency power flow from the double circuit Louth-Tandragee lines. As can be seen in Figure 9.10, reclosing the AC lines in the LCC case can cause a fairly significant disturbance resulting in transient undervoltages near 0.5 pu, which also results in a power reduction at the Moyle HVdc inverter. The VSC option is equipped with inherent AC voltage control and does not fail commutation, whereas the LCC option has no AC voltage control and fails commutation when the lines are reclosed, which only serves to worsen the impact of the LCC option.

Figure 9.10 below compares the terminal AC voltages for the AC, LCC and VSC options during the entire fault sequence. Figure 9.11 compares the LCC and VSC active and reactive power flows at Turleenan during the disturbance. Note the very large dip in AC voltage for the LCC option when the AC lines are reclosed. Also note that the LCC option is absorbing reactive power during the time when the double circuit AC line is out of service, whereas the VSC option is supplying reactive power. The VSC option performs better than the LCC option due to its large reactive power control capability and the ability to reach its power order slightly faster than the LCC option.

The ability of VSC to inject fast dynamic reactive power helps to maintain system AC voltages and also to maintain power transfers. Increased active power transfer before reclosing will help to reduce the phase angle difference across the breakers, which in turn reduces the power surge and voltage dip in the close by area.



R1116.03.04, Page 100 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009



Figure 9.10. Fault at Tandragee followed by double circuit loss of Louth-Tandragee AC line and reclosing of lines 600 ms later. Comparison of terminal AC voltages in the AC, LCC and VSC options. (Blue – AC, Green-LCC, Red-VSC).



R1116.03.04, Page 101 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009



Figure 9.11. Fault at Tandragee followed by double circuit loss of Louth-Tandragee AC line and reclosing of lines 600 ms later. Comparison of HVdc P and Q for the LCC and VSC options. (Blue – LCC, Green-VSC).

The further apart the AC system angles during the time the AC lines are out of service, the more of a disturbance that occurs upon reclosing the AC lines. It was found that there is a minimum required power transfer on the HVdc lines during the outage that is dependent on the AC system configuration and power flow. A special HVdc controller could be designed to monitor the angles of the two systems and adjust HVdc power transfer to minimize these angles and therefore minimize disturbance upon reclose as is done on the Cahora Bassa link in Africa. Such a controller was not modeled during this study however the power orders were manually changed in an attempt to minimize the impact upon AC line reclosing.

Further testing was performed with the LCC option. Figures 9.12 and 9.13 below show the AC voltage response and the angles of the two systems, respectively. As can be seen in Figure 9.13 the optimal time for reclosing the lines would be at a point in time when the phase angles of the two systems are intersecting, for example near 1.8 seconds.



Figure 9.12. Fault at Tandragee followed by double circuit loss of Louth-Tandragee AC line, no reclosing of the lines. Terminal AC voltages for the LCC option. (Blue – AC, Green-LCC, Red-VSC).



R1116.03.04, Page 102 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009



Figure 9.13. Fault at Tandragee followed by double circuit loss of Louth-Tandragee AC line, no reclosing of the lines. Phase angles at Louth and Tandragee for the LCC option.

The LCC option was tested with delayed reclosing until such a time as the angles of the two systems are close together, around 1.8 seconds as shown in Figure 9.13 above. This was shown to greatly reduce the impact to the AC system when reclosing the AC lines and provide acceptable system response as shown below in Figures 9.14 and 9.15.



Figure 9.14. Fault at Tandragee followed by double circuit loss of Louth-Tandragee AC line, reclosing of the lines at 1.8 sec when the phase angle difference is minimal. Terminal AC voltages for the LCC option.



Figure 9.15. Fault at Tandragee followed by double circuit loss of Louth-Tandragee AC line, reclosing of the lines at 1.8 sec when the phase angle difference is minimal. Phase angles at Louth and Tandragee for the LCC option.

In order to get an idea of how much the power transfer on the North-South HVdc Interconnector would need to be increased during the double circuit Louth-Tandragee line outage, various amounts of HVdc power order increases were tested for worst case power flows 2.1 and 2.3 and the results are summarized in Tables 9.14 and 9.15 below. The test cases were performed using the VSC option. The pre-contingency power flow on the Louth-Tandragee lines is 722 MW for case 2.1 and 833 MW for case



R1116.03.04, Page 103 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009 2.3. The precontingency power orders of the HVDC terminals in case 2.1 are 606 MW at Turleenan, 102 MW at mid Cavan and 672 MW at Woodland; and in case 2.3 are 578 MW at Turleenan, 70 MW at mid Cavan and 470 MW at Woodland.

Increment in HVDC power	Total HVD Tandi	DC Power During Louth- dragee Outage (MW) Minimum						
transfer as % of Louth- Tandragee flow	Turleenan (606 MW pre-cont)	Cavan (102 MW pre-cont)	Woodland (672 MW pre-cont)	angle difference (deg.)	Reclosing time at min angle* (s)	Wind tripping	v-step at reclosing (%)	
50	962	102	1044	56	2.3	2.4 MW	14	
60	1032	102	1114	44	2.3	1.07 MW	9	
70	1104	102	1186	34	2.3	0.323 MW	6	
80	1176	102	1258	22	1.0	none	4	
90	1248	102	1330	9	1.0	none	none	
100	1320	102	1402	1	1.2	0.323 MW	none	
110	1392	102	1474	4	1.2	0.323 MW	none	

Table 9.14. HVdc Power Transfer during 4_3_X for Power Flow Case 2.1.

*fault occurs at 0.1 s and cleared at 0.22s

Table 9.15. HVdc Power Transfer during 4_3_X for Power Flow Case 2.3.

Increment in HVDC power	Total HVE Tand	DC Power Du ragee Outage	ring Louth- e (MW)	Minimum				
transfer as % of Louth- Tandragee flow	Turleenan (578 MW pre-cont)	Cavan (70 MW pre- cont)	Woodland (470 MW pre-cont)	angle difference (deg.)	Reclosing time at min angle* (s)	Wind tripping	V-step at reclosing (%)	
50	994	70	886	45	2.7	18.7 MW	7	
60	1078	70	970	33	2.4	4.0 MW	4	
70	1160	70	1054	20	2.0	1.3 MW	none	
80	1244	70	1136	6	2.0	1.3 MW	none	
90	1328	70	1220	0	1.7	0.97s, 1.3 MW	none	
100	1410	70	1304	0	1.5	1.3 MW	none	
110	1494	70	1386	0	1.8	1.3 MW	none	
120	1578	70	1470	1	2.0	4.0 MW	none	

*fault occurs at 0.1 s and cleared at 0.22s

Acceptable dynamic performance is obtained if approximately 70% of the pre-contingency Louth-Tandragee power is transferred to the North-South HVdc Interconnector. In both power flow cases 2.1 and 2.3 the voltage step upon reclosing is well below the 10% voltage deviation criteria. This 70% precontingency power flow translates into an extra 583 MW to be transferred on the HVdc bipole for these particular cases during the double circuit line outage, resulting in a total maximum HVdc bipolar power flow near 1270 MW at Woodland. The required HVdc rating would need to be studied with other power flow cases in more detail to determine the maximum amount of steady state system intact power flow required to be on the North-South Interconnector simultaneous to the maximum power flow on the Louth-Tandragee lines in order to determine an absolute HVdc rating.

Increasing HVdc power transfer up to approximately 80-90% of the pre-contingency power flow shows to improve the system performance by causing less wind generation to trip, by allowing the angles of the North and South systems to come even closer together, and by causing a smaller voltage disturbance when the lines are reclosed. Increasing the power transfer beyond this amount up to 100% or more does



R1116.03.04, Page 104 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009 not appear to have any dynamic performance benefit, and in fact worsens the steady state situation by resulting in several steady state overloads in the AC system near Woodland, as discussed in Section 9.4.1.

The 70-80% power transfer level was tested for the four power flow cases studied, the details of these cases are further described in Table 5.1, but in general represent various year 2020 network topologies from summer minimum to winter maximum loading with varying dispatches of conventional and wind generation. Whether or not the 70-80% value would need to change based on other system topologies not studied in the scenario would require further testing, however unless another tie line between Northern Ireland and the Republic of Ireland were added it is not expected that this value would need to change.

In steady state, if the Louth-Tandragee lines were not reclosed and remained open with power transferred onto the North-South HVdc option, the 110 kV circuits connecting Northern Ireland to the Republic of Ireland were monitored for overloads. For power flow case 2.1, as long as 50% of the pre-contingency Louth-Tandragee power flow is transferred to the HVdc the 110 kV circuits are not overloaded. In power flow case 2.3, if only 50% is transferred to the HVdc, the 110 kV lines are overloaded as follows:

- Enniskillen Coraclassy 1981 79016 CCT1 : 137.3% overload (steady state)
- Letterhkenny Strabanne 3581 89510 CCT1 : 116.9% overload (steady state)
- Letterhkenny Strabanne 3581 89510 CCT2 : 116.9% overload (steady state)

The 110 kV circuits are not overloaded as long as 60% of the pre-contingency Louth-Tandragee power is transferred to the North-South Interconnector in power flow case 2.3.

In dynamics, immediately following the loss of the Louth-Tandragee lines and subsequent increase of HVdc power order, the 110 kV circuits become transiently overloaded, the worst case is power flow 2.3.

The 110 kV circuits between Letterkenny-Strabane are rated for 185.3 MVA but are transiently loaded to a maximum of 200 MW. The Trillick-Coolkeeragh 110 kV circuit is rated for 185.3 MVA and is only transiently loaded to a maximum of 140 MW. The Corraclassy-Enniskillen 110 kV circuit is rated for 107 MVA and is transiently loaded to a maximum of 210 MW. The transient loading on these circuits is shown in Figure 9.16.

Enniskillen – Coraclassy 1981 – 79016 CCT1 Letterkenny – Strabane 3581 – 89510 CCT1 Letterkenny – Strabane 3581 – 89510 CCT2 Trillick – Coolkeeragh 5361 – 75510 CCT1

Line protection is not included in the PSSE dynamic model of the system, however it should be verified whether or not the existing protection settings would cause these 110 kV lines to trip during this disturbance. If it were found that protection would trip the transiently overloaded 110 kV lines, it would be recommended to adjust protection settings to avoid the potential tripping of these 110 kV lines.



R1116.03.04, Page 105 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009





Figure 9.16. Top: Power transfer on 110 kV NI-Rol circuits. Bottom: HVDC power order and response. (Power flow 2.3, Contingency 4_3_X for HVdc Option 2 (VSC). 70% Louth-Tandragee power transferred to the HVdc VSC, reclose at 2 seconds.)

Figure 9.17 compares the North-South terminal voltages for the AC option and the HVdc options for loss of the double circuit Louth-Tandragee lines, with the HVdc options transferring 70% of Louth-Tandragee power, followed by double circuit AC line reclosing at minimum angles.



R1116.03.04, Page 106 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009



Figure 9.17. Scenario 2.1. Fault 4_3_X. 70% Louth-Tandragee power transferred to HVdc options. (Blue – AC, Green – LCC, Red – VSC).

In comparison with the dynamic performance of the AC system, there does not seem to be any obvious technical benefit to the HVdc options over the AC option, even if more power is transferred to the HVdc during the double circuit line outage. Assuming that reclosing takes place, the AC option (blue) has the advantage of not delaying reclosing and in fact the system frequency is less oscillatory in comparison to the HVdc options. It is possible however that a frequency controller could be designed with the HVdc link to help dampen the oscillations in frequency. If reclosing does not take place, then the VSC option has the advantage of supplying reactive power to the system which improves the system voltage profile when the double circuit Louth-Tandragee line is out as can be seen the red voltage curves shown in Figure 9.16 prior to reclosing. A STATCOM or SVC could however be installed with the AC option to provide similar dynamic reactive support if deemed necessary.

In summary:

- For this particular disturbance the AC option as is shown has acceptable performance.
- The HVdc options both require proper controls to adjust dc power and appropriate reclosing times to minimize adverse system impacts, such as instability and voltage deviations. They also require appropriate rating to be able to take over at least 70% of pre-contingency power flow on the Louth-Tandragee double circuit line. The VSC option shows the best voltage performance due to its inherent reactive power capability.
- With proper HVdc controls, all three options can provide acceptable performance however there does not appear to be any significant advantage to the HVdc options other than the dynamic



R1116.03.04, Page 107 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009
reactive power capability of the VSC. It should also be noted that the HVdc performance for both the LCC and VSC options could very likely be improved beyond what is shown in this study by optimizing controls, which is beyond the scope of this study.

9.6.7. HVdc Line Faults and Pole Blocking

The system performed well within criteria for the loss of an HVdc pole for both the LCC and VSC options. Since the HVdc links are operating at relatively low powers, the AC system is able to transfer the power previously flowing in the blocked pole. Alternatively, since the HVdc links are operating at low powers, the controls could be designed to increase the power order of the healthy pole to cover for loss of the other pole, which would further reduce the impact to the AC system.

In an LCC HVdc link, a dc line fault would look very similar to the AC system as a temporary pole block, therefore this fault should perform better than the permanent pole block discussed in the previous paragraph.

In a VSC HVdc link, a dc line fault is more severe as it draws short circuit current from the AC system and therefore to the AC system looks like a remote AC fault. This concept of this fault was discussed in more detail in Section 2. The simulation of this disturbance in the VSC option showed that although a DC pole fault in a VSC link has more impact to the AC system than in the LCC option, the system performance is still well within criteria.

9.6.8. Commutation Failures of East-West HVdc Interconnector

At the time of performing this study it was not yet known whether the East-West Interconnector would be a line-commutated converter or a voltage source converter. In order to model the worst case scenario, the East-West Interconnector was assumed to be an LCC HVdc link. For all faults that were studied, the East-West Interconnector performance was observed by noting whether or not it failed commutation during or after the disturbance.

Since the completion of the study work, the contract for the East-West HVdc link has been awarded and the link will be built using VSC technology, not LCC technology as was assumed in this study. This is expected to have a positive impact to all AC and HVdc option results presented in this report as the VSC link will be capable of supplying/absorbing a large amount of dynamic and steady state reactive power, thereby providing superior voltage control in comparison to the LCC HVdc link that was modeled for the East-West link. A major difference to the system however would be the impact of an East-West DC line fault, as short circuit current will be drawn from the AC system into the DC line fault if the link is using VSC technology thereby appearing to the AC system. It would be recommended to perform this particular fault to determine the exact impacts to the various AC and HVdc options. The issue of commutation failures of the East-West Interconnector as presented in this section can be ignored.

In power flow case 2.1, the converter connected to the EirGrid system at Woodland is operating as a rectifier. The East-West Interconnection does not fail commutation in power flow 2.1 for the faults applied in the EirGrid system.

In the remaining power flow cases 2.2, 2.3 and 2.4, the converter connected to the EirGrid system is operating as an inverter. Commutation failures of the East-West Interconnector occur for most of the contingencies applied in EirGrid system for these power flows. Table 9.16 summarizes these results.

	Po	wer Flow	2.2	Po	wer Flow	2.3	Po	wer Flow	2.4
Contingency	AC- option	LCC- option	VSC- option	AC- option	LCC- option	VSC- option	AC- option	LCC- option	VSC- option
1_0_X	N/A	NO	NO	N/A	NO	NO	N/A	NO	NO
2_1_A	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	YES	NO
2_1_B	YES	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	YES	NO
2_1_C	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
2_3_A	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO

Table 9.16: Commutation failures of the East-West Interconnector during system faults.



R1116.03.04, Page 108 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

	Po	wer Flow	2.2	Po	wer Flow	2.3	Po	wer Flow	2.4
Contingency	AC-	LCC-	VSC-	AC-	LCC-	VSC-	AC-	LCC-	VSC-
	option	option	option	option	option	option	option	option	option
2_3_B	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO
2_3_C	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
3_3_X	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES	NO
4_3_X	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES**	YES	YES	YES	NO
6_3_X	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES	NO
7_X_X	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO
7C_X_X	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO
8_3_X	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO
9_1_X	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES	NO
9_3_X	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
9R_3_X*	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
10_1_X	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	YES	NO
10_3_X	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
11_1_X	YES	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	YES	NO
11_3_X	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO
12_X_X	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES

* Commutation fails during the initial fault period as well as at the reclosing effort due to the permanent fault. ** Commutation fails during the fault as well as at the moment of reclose.

The VSC option results in the fewest commutation failures of the East-West Interconnector. "Light gray cells" in Table 9.16 show the contingencies in which the East-West Interconnector fails commutation for the AC and LCC options but not the VSC option. A significant number of contingencies show this advantage of the VSC option.

In power flow case 2.2, the East-West Interconnector fails commutation in the AC option for two breaker-failures scenarios: 2_1 B and 11_1 X. The LCC and VSC options avoid the commutation failure. "Dark gray cells" in Table 9.16 show these contingencies.

In power flow case 2.3 for contingency 4_3_X, the East-West Interconnector fails commutation twice in the LCC option during the fault as well as at the moment of reclose.

In power flow 2.4, the East-West Interconnector fails commutation in the LCC option during four breakerfailure scenarios: 2_1_A, 2_1_B, 10_1_X and 11_1_X. The AC and VSC options avoid the commutation failure. "Dotted cells" in Table 9.16 show these contingencies.

In summary, when considering commutation failures of the East-West Interconnector, the VSC option is the best in comparison to the LCC and AC options as it results in the fewest instances of commutation failures of the East-West Interconnector, which is also an indicator of better AC system voltage performance.

In power flow case 2.2, the LCC option is better than the AC option, but in power flow case 2.4, the AC option is better than the LCC option.

It should be noted however that in all three options, the HVdc and AC systems quickly return to normal operation after commutation failures.

9.6.9. Commutation Failures of North-South HVdc System – LCC option

The LCC option for the North-South HVdc link fails commutation for a significant number of the contingencies being studied. Because the LCC HVdc link is situated within a fairly meshed AC network, any faults which cause a drop in AC voltage of approximately 10% could easily result in a commutation failure, and hence a temporary loss of power transmission on the HVdc link during the commutation failure.

This situation is not true for the VSC option as it does not fail commutation and can continue to provide reduced power transmission during reduced AC voltage. And obviously the same is true for the AC



R1116.03.04, Page 109 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009 option, i.e. that it can also provide reduced transmission during reduced AC voltage. This would be an inherent disadvantage to the LCC option when comparing LCC to VSC or AC solutions.

The commutation failures of the North-South LCC option are tabulated in Table 9.17.

Contingency	Power Flow 2.1	Power Flow 2.2	Power Flow 2.3	Power Flow 2.4
1_0_X	NO	NO	NO	NO
2_1_A	NO	YES	NO	YES
2_1_B	NO	NO	YES	YES
2_1_C	YES	NO	YES	NO
2_3_A	NO	YES	YES	YES
2_3_B	NO	YES	YES	YES
2_3_C	YES	YES	YES	YES
3_3_X	NO	YES	YES	YES
4_3_X	NO	YES	YES**	YES
6_3_X	NO	YES	YES	YES
7_X_X	NO	NO	NO	NO
7C_X_X	NO	NO	NO	NO
8_3_X	NO	YES	YES	YES
9_1_X	NO	YES	YES	YES
9_3_X	YES	YES	YES	YES
9R_3_X*	YES	YES	YES	YES
10_1_X	NO	NO	YES	YES
10_3_X	YES	YES	YES	YES
11_1_X	NO	NO	YES	YES
11_3_X	YES	YES	YES	YES
12_X_X	YES	YES	YES	YES

Table 9.17: Commutation failures of three terminal HVdc sy	stem during the fault.
--	------------------------

* Commutation fails during the initial fault period as well as at the moment of reclosing effort due to the permanent fault.

** Commutation fails during the fault as well as at the moment of reclose.

9.7. System Frequency Scans

Impedance scans were performed in PSCAD to generate the positive sequence driving point impedance versus frequency (from 0Hz to 2500Hz in 2Hz increments) at the Turleenan (90120), mid Cavan (3772), and Woodland (5464) buses for the following four power flow cases being studied.

Each case was performed with the proposed interconnections and associated reactive support out of service. Any existing HVdc systems were left in service. To improve the accuracy of the frequency scans the main transmission lines connected to Turleenan, mid Cavan and Woodland buses were modeled as frequency dependant using the geometry data provided by EirGrid. A complete list of transmission lines modeled as frequency dependant is given in Appendix H. All other transmission lines are modeled as Bergeron using the R, L,C data from PSS/E base cases.

It should be noted that when developing the PSCAD model for the impedance scans, no information is given on the existing HVdc filters on the Moyle and Wales HVdc link and are inserted as simple capacitors. This will have an impact on the results, but without the details of the filters, this is an acceptable approximation. If an HVdc transmission option is selected a more detailed frequency scan study must be performed to consider all various system configurations in the foreseeable future. Results of this study will define a range of system impedances that may be seen from the converter terminals. The HVdc link manufacturer must design the link such that the injected harmonic currents and the resultant harmonic currents always remain below the set limits for all specified system impedances and HVdc link operating points. If during the lifetime of the HVdc link the system topology changes significantly in a way not predicted before, the original design may not be sufficient and modifications to the filters or other components may be required (please see remark for previous scenario).

Detailed impedance scans obtained for each system configuration are shown in Appendix B-5. The results are summarized in Tables 9.18 to 9.21. The system impedance as seen from Turleenan does not



R1116.03.04, Page 110 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009 change much with and without the 400kV transmission line to MidCavan. It appears that this is mostly because the system impedance at Turleenan is dominated by other components and therefore the impact of the new 400kV line on the total impedance is small.

The study shows all three solutions have some issues with harmonic resonances and none of them has a clear advantage over the others in term of these resonances.

Scenario	Bus	Frequency	Harmonic	Resonance Type	Scenario	Bus	Frequency	Harmonic	Resonance Type
2.1	90120	125	2.5	parallel	2.2	90120	124	2.48	parallel
		185	3.7	series			173	3.46	series
		217	4.34	parallel			229	4.58	parallel
		219	4.38	series			237	4.74	series
		233	4.66	parallel			904	18.08	parallel
		265	5.3	series			1226	24.52	series
		397	7.94	parallel			1232	24.64	parallel
		427	8.54	series			1265	25.3	series
		463	9.26	parallel			1289	25.78	parallel
		536	10.72	series			1327	26.54	series
		897	17.94	parallel			1515	30.3	parallel
		944	10.88	series			1590	31.8	series
		1330	26.6	parallel			1655	33.1	parallel
		1446	28.92	series			1711	34.22	series
		1536	30.72	parallel			2247	44.94	parallel
		1650	33	series			2291	45.82	series
	3772	353	7.06	parallel			2464	49.28	parallel
		469	9.38	series		3772	430	8.6	parallel
		1173	23.46	parallel			510	10.2	series
		1678	33.56	series			1165	23.3	parallel
		2485	49.7	parallel			1227	24.54	series
	5464	165	3.3	parallel			1420	28.4	parallel
		183	3.66	series			1513	30.26	series
		214	4.28	parallel			1549	30.98	parallel
		265	5.3	series			1665	33.3	series
		299	5.98	parallel			2268	45.36	parallel
		701	14.02	series			2309	46.18	series
	•	•	•	•			237	4.74	parallel
						5464	711	14.22	series
							727	14.54	parallel

Table 9.18. Power flow cases 2.1 and 2.2 – Frequency Scans without the AC line.



R1116.03.04, Page 111 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

				Resonance					Resonance
Scenario	Bus	Frequency	Harmonic	Туре	Scenario	Bus	Frequency	Harmonic	Туре
2.3	90120	162	3.24	parallel	2.4	90120	151	3.02	parallel
		175	3.5	series	_		173	3.46	series
		237	4.74	parallel			239	4.78	parallel
		255	5.1	series			251	5.02	series
		369	7.38	parallel			577	11.54	parallel
		446	8.92	series			621	12.42	series
		482	9.64	parallel			717	14.34	parallel
		505	10.1	series			967	19.34	series
		1098	21.96	parallel			985	19.7	parallel
		1162	23.24	series			1035	20.7	series
		1193	23.86	parallel			1267	25.34	parallel
		1273	25.46	series			1309	26.18	series
		1399	33.18	parallel			1627	32.54	parallel
		1438	28.76	series			1813	36.26	series
		1485	29.7	parallel			1971	39.42	parallel
		1590	31.8	series			2029	40.58	series
		1629	32.58	parallel			2127	42.54	parallel
		1711	34.22	series			2405	48.1	series
		2348	46.96	parallel		3772	365	7.3	parallel
		2412	48.24	series			375	7.5	series
		2438	48.76	parallel			481	9.62	parallel
	3772	353	7.06	parallel			531	10.62	series
		381	7.62	series			1163	23.26	parallel
		485	9.7	parallel			1235	24.7	series
		531	10.62	series			1447	28.94	parallel
		1103	22.06	parallel			1467	29.34	series
		1125	22.5	series			1475	29.5	parallel
		1199	23.98	parallel			1667	33.34	series
		1235	24.7	series			2273	45.46	parallel
		1399	27.98	parallel			2319	46.38	series
		1529	30.58	series	1	5464	215	4.3	parallel
		1561	31.22	parallel	1		304	6.08	series
		1667	33.34	series	1		314	6.28	parallel
		2261	45.22	parallel	1		713	14.26	series
		2319	46.38	series	1		731	14.62	parallel
	5464	215	4.3	parallel	1		, , , ,	11.02	P.a. a
		712	14.24	series	1				
				1					

Table 9.19. Power flow cases 2.3 and 2.4 – Frequency Scans without the AC line.

All scans have shown that there are frequencies that are of concern for any type of interconnection. Potential resonances below the 8th order are a concern for LCC, VSC and AC interconnections. These harmonics are introduced into the system by various loads (arc furnaces, server farms) and may cause power quality issues regardless of the interconnection.

Further to this, a classic 12-pulse LCC produces characteristic harmonics at the 11th,13th,23rd,25th, ..., 12n+/-1. The magnitude of these harmonics decreases as n increases, and is not as much of a concern at the higher orders. Harmonics identified around these values must be taken into consideration when designing the HVdc link.

The 12-pulse converter is made up of two 6-pulse converters, which each produce the following harmonics; 5^{th} , 7^{th} , 11^{th} , 13^{th} , 17^{th} , 19^{th} ,...,6n+/-1. Ideally, due to the delta-wye phase shift in the one transformer connecting a 6-pulse converter, much of the harmonics are cancelled out leaving only the harmonics of order 12n+/-1.



R1116.03.04, Page 112 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

Scenario	Bus	Frequency	Harmonic	Resonance Type	Scenario	Bus	Frequency	Harmonic	Resonanc Type
2.1	90120	125	2.5	P	2.2	90120	123	2.46	P
		187	3.74	S			171	3.42	S
		217	4.34	Р			229	4.58	Р
		219	4.38	S			237	4.74	S
		235	4.7	Р			905	18.1	Р
		265	5.3	S			1227	24.54	S
		395	7.9	Р			1235	24.7	Р
		425	8.5	S			1275	25.5	S
		463	9.26	Р			1289	25.78	Р
		537	10.74	S			1329	26.58	S
		897	17.94	Р			1517	30.34	Р
		945	18.9	S			1591	31.82	S
		1343	26.86	Р			1657	33.14	Р
		1459	29.18	S			1713	34.26	S
		1535	30.7	Р			2259	45.18	Р
		1657	33.14	S			2289	45.78	S
							2467	49.34	Р
	3772	1507	30.14	Р		3772	521	10.42	Р
		1633	32.66	S			547	10.94	S
							1167	23.34	Р
							1239	24.78	S
							1439	28.78	Р
	5464	219	4.38	Р			1511	30.22	S
		265	5.3	S			1555	31.1	Р
		297	5.94	Р			1665	33.3	S
		465	9.3	S			2239	44.78	Р
		475	9.5	Р			2283	45.66	S
		707	14.14	S	1	5464	257	5.14	Р
		725	14.5	Р	1		481	9.62	S
							519	10.38	Р
							711	14.22	S
							731	14.62	Р

In practice though, due to manufacturing tolerances of the valves and converter transformers and slight unbalances in the firing of the two 6-pulse groups, may introduce very small amounts of harmonics at 6n+/-1, that again decrease as n increases. As such, care must be taken at these harmonic orders, with more thought given to the lower order harmonics at the 5^{th} and 7^{th} , as a parallel resonance in the system at the 5^{th} and 7^{th} , combined with even a slight 5^{th} and 7^{th} current, may cause voltage distortions.

Further to the low order harmonic issues with a LCC, if a series resonance is present in the higher order harmonics, these harmonic currents can propagate into the system, which may cause interference on communication circuits (I-T product and kV-T). The resonances of concern here are those located in the communication bandwidth.



R1116.03.04, Page 113 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

Scenario	Bus	Frequency	Harmonic	Resonance	Scenario	Bus	Frequency	Harmonic	Resonance
				Туре					Туре
2.3	90120	235	4.70	Р	2.4	90120	157	3.14	Р
		257	5.14	S			167	3.34	S
		367	7.34	Р			239	4.78	Р
		445	8.90	S			251	5.02	S
		479	9.58	Р			575	11.50	Р
		505	10.10	S			621	12.42	S
		1099	21.98	Р			717	14.34	Р
		1161	23.22	S			967	19.34	S
		1197	23.94	Р			985	19.70	Р
		1283	25.66	S			1035	20.70	S
		1425	28.50	Р			1273	25.46	Р
		1455	29.10	S			1321	26.42	S
		1487	29.74	Р			1627	32.54	Р
		1591	31.82	S			1813	36.26	S
		1629	32.58	Р			1971	39.42	Р
		1711	34.22	S			2029	40.58	S
		2317	46.34	Р			2127	42.54	Р
		2325	46.50	S			2405	48.10	S
		2347	46.94	Р					
		2407	48.14	S					
		2447	48.94	Р					
	3772	531	10.62	Р		3772	531	10.62	Р
		549	10.98	S			547	10.94	S
		1103	22.06	Р			1163	23.26	Р
		1125	22.50	S			1251	25.02	S
		1201	24.02	Р			1503	30.06	Р
		1251	25.02	S			1633	32.66	S
		1425	28.50	Р			1639	32.78	Р
		1529	30.58	S			1667	33.34	S
		1565	31.30	Р			2253	45.06	Р
		1633	32.66	S			2295	45.90	S
		1637	32.74	Р					
		1669	33.38	S					
		2247	44.94	Р					
		2293	45.86	S					
	5464	225	4.50	Р		5464	233	4.66	Р
		269	5.38	S			501	10.02	S
		277	5.54	Р			525	10.50	Р
		503	10.06	S			713	14.26	S
		527	10.54	Р]		735	14.70	Р
		711	14.22	S					
		731	14.62	Р					

The VSC converters that use Pulse Width Modulation (PWM) technique generate a small amount of harmonics at the multiples of PWM switching frequency (in the 1 to 2kHz range) and its sidebands as



R1116.03.04, Page 114 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009 explained in section 8.7. If there is series resonance at the VSC switching or sideband frequencies, these harmonic currents may propagate into the system as with a LCC configuration described above. As the switching frequency of a VSC can be selected (has impacts on losses), the harmonics of concern can usually be moved away from the resonance point, but care must be taken for harmonics resonances in the range of 20 to 40.

For both the LCC and VSC, parallel resonances at or near the 2nd or 4th are of concern as during the initial energization of the transformers, saturation may occur. If it does occur, and a parallel resonance does exist at the 2nd or 4th, large voltage distortions may occur. These harmonic voltages will then affect the converter operation causing it to produce second harmonic and dc currents which will in turn push transformer further into saturation. The final result could be a sustained or very slow decaying distortion in voltages.

Based on the above description, harmonic resonances at the 5th, 7th, 11th, 13th, 23rd and 25th must be identified for the LCC option.

Resonances between 20 and 40 must be identified for the VSC option.

Parallel resonances at the 2nd and 4th must be identified for both the VSC and LCC option Since only 4 possible scenarios were looked at for this study, a wide error margin was used when determining if a harmonic resonance will be an issue.

If an LCC is considered the following harmonic resonances must be considered:

Turleenan (90120)	Parallel: 2,4,5,7,11
	Series: 23,25
mid Cavan (3772)	Parallel: 7
	Series: 23
Woodland (5464)	Parallel: 2,7,13

For the VSC option, the following resonances must be considered:

Turleenan (90120)	Series: 22 to 35
mid Cavan (3772)	Series: 22 to 36
Woodland (5464)	Series: N/A

For both the LCC and VSC, care must be taken when adding any shunt elements for filtering (or adding reactive support) as this will change the system impedance and may shift some of the resonances.

Further to this, due to the potential parallel AC/dc paths between the 3 buses to which the dc will be connected, care must be taken to undertake a coordinated AC filter study to ensure that no filter becomes overloaded, or resonant conditions are introduced to other buses. It should be noted that none of these resonance conditions are a determining factor in selecting one transmission alternative (LCC, VSC or AC) over another. The identified possible issues can be resolved by proper design or additional equipment. For instance excessive harmonic distortion at transformer or filter bank energization can be limited using breakers equipped with pre-insertion resistors. The above analysis is a word of caution about where to look for potential problems.



R1116.03.04, Page 115 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

9.8. SSR Screening

Unit Interaction Factor is defined as (Power System Stability and Control by Prabha Kundur [2], page 1049):

$$UIF = \frac{MVA_{dc}}{MVA_{g}} \left(1 - \frac{SC_{g}}{SC_{t}}\right)^{2}$$

Where:

 $MVA_{dc} = MVA$ rating of the dc system

 $MVA_{o} = MVA$ rating of the generator

 SC_t , SC_g = short-circuit capacity at the dc commutating bus (excluding ac filters) with and without the generator, respectively.

This is a Sub Synchronous Resonance screening index. The index is the product of two indicators of SSR; namely (a) the relative rating of the generator and (b) the electrical distance between the HVdc converter terminal and the generating unit. The term within parentheses calculated in terms of SC is a measure of the electrical distance. The AC filters are removed from the network for the above calculations. It is recommended that further detailed studies be performed if the UIF is greater than 0.1. The index UIF is only an empirical formula for screening of SSR risk.

Unit Interaction Factors were calculated under four different power flow scenarios. For each of the scenario, the UIF is calculated for each converter terminal. The UIF values calculated for all conditions are well below the threshold value of 0.1 recommended for further studies. Therefore in this case there is no need for further SSR studies. Table 9.22 summarizes the largest of the UIF values calculated. The above UIF formula has been proposed for single terminal (conventional) HVdc converter terminals. However, the very small values obtained for the UIF of multi-terminal bus at mid Cavan suggests that the risk of SSR is low.

For power flow case 2.1, the UIF is calculated only for the converter buses at Woodland and Turleenan. In this scenario there are no generators switched on in the vicinity of the converter bus at mid Cavan. For each converter bus, the equivalent impedance (Z) of the network and the SC are shown in the first row (Base Case). Then for each generating unit, Z without that unit, SC without that unit and the UIF are shown. All UIF values are well below the threshold of 0.1.



R1116.03.04, Page 116 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

Table 9.22	. Unit Interaction	h Factors.			
Scenario	HVdc Terminal	System Intact SC (MVA)	Nearby Thermal Generator	SC with Generator Out (MVA)	UIF
2.1	Woodland	6228	(31271) Irishtown	5776	0.0193
			(39471) Moneypoint	6081	0.0028
	mid Cavan		None	n/a	n/a
	Turleenan	5734	(70516) Ballylumford	5471	0.0316
2.2	Woodland	6502	(31271) Irishtown	6182	0.0089
			(39471) Moneypoint	6401	0.0012
			(49474) Shannonbridge	6460	0.0004
			(19471) Cushaling	6442	0.0010
	mid Cavan	3423	(35074) Lanesboro	3416	0.0000
	Turleenan	5819	(70516) Ballylumford	5597	0.0219
2.3	Woodland	7228	(31271) Irishtown	6969	0.0047
			(35771) Longpoint	7206	0.0000
			(39471) Moneypoint	7129	0.0009
			(49474) Shannonbridge	7198	0.0002
			(19471) Cushaling	7181	0.0005
			(29763) Huntstown	6930	0.0213
			(35771) Longpoint	7206	0.0000
			(50573)Seal Rock	7223	0.0000
			(51771) Tynagh	7128	0.0011
	mid Cavan	3580	(35074) Lanesboro	3575	0.0000
	Turleenan	8979	(70516) Ballylumford	8866	0.0024
			(70513) Ballylumford	8732	0.0072
L			(70515) Ballylumford	8683	0.0081
2.4	Woodland	8296	(31271) Irishtown	8123	0.0016
			(39471) Moneypoint	8219	0.0004
			(49474) Shannonbridge	8267	0.0001
			(19471) Cushaling	8253	0.0003
	mid Cavan	3578	(35074) Lanesboro	3573	0.0000
	Turleenan	6126	(70516) Ballylumford	5940	0.0139
			(75508) Coolkearagh	6060	0.0031
			(75010)-2 Coleraine	6038	0.0021
			(75515) Coolkearagh	5650	0.0349
			(75516) Coolkearagh	5919	0.0123
			(82001) Kilroot	5631	0.0327
			(82002) Kilroot	5631	0.0327

9.9. Summary of Overall Study Results for Scenario 2 and 3

The results of the analysis are summarized as follows:

- Due to the short transmission distance being considered, the AC option has lower losses compared to the HVdc options due to the losses associated with the LCC and VSC converters. In the LCC and VSC configurations being studied, the LCC option has lower losses (0.75% per converter station) than the VSC option (1.65% per converter station).
- Steady state contingency analysis showed that the LCC and VSC options have similar impacts to the AC system in terms of overloads. The following overloads appear in both HVdc options, but not in the AC option:
 - Woodland 380-220 kV transformer (loss of double circuit Louth-Tandragee 275 kV AC lines with 100% of pre-contingency power transferred to the North-South HVdc): 124% (Note that this overload is likely not a concern with 70-80% power transferred to the HVdc which is what the stability studies deemed was needed.)
 - o Louth-Tandragee 275 kV line (loss of the parallel line): 117%
 - o Tandragee-Turleenan 275 kV line (loss of the parallel line): 104%
 - o Louth 3-winding transformers (loss of parallel transformer or line): 127% (109% in AC option)



R1116.03.04, Page 117 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

- Steady state contingency analysis also revealed many slight voltage violations appearing in all of the AC, LCC and VSC options, however most were not impacted by the HVdc options. The few that were impacted were slight overvoltages at Cavan (highest overvoltage 1.094 pu), Flagford, Moneypoint and Oldstreet, due mainly to increased steady state operating voltage at mid Cavan due to the required filters associated with the LCC HVdc option. A 75 MVAR reactor at Cavan was found to mitigate the overvoltages. The actual LCC filter design would determine the size of reactor required at mid Cavan. No undervoltage or voltage deviation violation impacts were observed, other than several noted at the open-end of a various transformers which were no longer connected to the AC network due to the contingency being studied and were therefore assumed not to require mitigation.
- Summer peak power flow case 2.2 resulted in a significant number of base case (AC option) nonconverged cases, thought mainly to be the result of a lack of reactive power in the system. The VSC option had significantly fewer non-converged cases, likely due to its ability to supply large amounts of reactive power.
- Short circuit analysis showed that generally the HVdc options either reduce or have no impact on short circuit levels when compared to the AC option. Any stations whose short circuit level was found to exceed the specified limit in the AC option were either not impacted or were reduced by the HVdc options. Specifically the LCC option contributes no short circuit current to the AC system. However, the VSC option can contribute up to 1.0 pu current, with this current contribution decreasing as bus voltages decrease. An alternative method suggested in the VSC manual is to assume a 0.5 pu current contribution at nearby buses [3]. Therefore, the VSC fault contribution of 0.5 pu current (1.65 kA at Turleenan, 1.05 kA at mid Cavan and 1.2 kA at Woodland) was manually added to each of the VSC terminal buses. The short circuit levels were found to be very similar to or slightly lower than the AC option short circuit levels at the North-South terminal buses.
- The drawback of the HVdc options reducing short circuit levels is the corresponding reduction in system strength. The Moyle HVdc converter bus in Northern Ireland requires a minimum short circuit level of 1500 MVA. The summer minimum power flow case 2.1 was the weakest case studied (highest wind generation case), and during system intact conditions the Moyle converter bus remains near 2500 MVA for the HVdc options, but for the n-2 case of a double circuit loss of the Louth-Tandragee 275 kV lines the short circuit level at Moyle goes down to approximately 1526 MVA which is just above the minimum limit. The corresponding minimum in the AC option is 2500 MVA for the double circuit AC line outage. Also note this was not the most onerous case because Ballylumford generator was in service; lower Moyle short circuit levels would result if Coolkeeragh were dispatched instead. The HVdc options reduce the Moyle strength by approximately 400 MVA system intact and by 800 MVA for the n-2 condition.
- Transient stability analysis determined the following:
 - The LCC option was the only option to exceed transient overvoltage criteria of 1.3 pu for several contingencies, up to a maximum of approximately 1.4 pu for 15 ms particularly in the 275 kV Northern Ireland network near the Moyle converter bus. The AC and VSC options provided better voltage performance.
 - No transient frequency range violations were observed in any of the three transmission options. For cases simulation loss of generation, the AC and HVdc options all performed the same: The system was stable and settled to steady state frequencies below the continuous frequency criteria of 49.5 Hz down to 49.2 Hz. However it is assumed that the system frequency via manual operator action would bring the system back up to 50 Hz in a time frame greater than the PSSE simulation. It should be noted that power flow case 2.2 experienced frequency instability for Northern Ireland wind farms and resulted in conventional generators reaching 48.6 Hz. This occurred in the AC option as well as the HVdc options and was not further investigated as it is a base case issue that does not impact the comparison of the options.



R1116.03.04, Page 118 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

- Rate of change of frequency (df/dt), as measured through selected generator speed measurements, violated the 0.5 Hz/sec criteria in all three transmission options, with no clear indication of one option being consistently worse or better than the other options. All three transmission options performed similarly. The violation of the df/dt criteria may need further investigation, however it does not appear to be a determining factor in terms of comparison criteria.
- No poorly damped oscillations were observed in any of the three options. In terms of comparison between the three options, there was no clear indication that one option consistently provided better or worse damping than the other options.
- Wind generator tripping occurred at PSSE buses 153 and 203 for all three options due to an undervoltage that occurred for all four power flow cases. These wind farms are located near Dundalk 110 kV station and tripped for a three-phase fault at the Louth 110 kV bus.
- HVdc pole blocking and VSC DC pole faults did not pose any problems for the HVdc options; the system response was within criteria for all cases.
- The most difficult contingency to assess was the three-phase fault at Tandragee followed by the 0 double circuit loss of the Tandragee-Louth 275 kV lines. These two AC lines effectively run in parallel to the North-South AC and HVdc options being studied. For the AC option the majority of the pre-contingency power flowing on these lines automatically transfers to the AC line option when the double circuit lines trip and the system recovers well. The HVdc options require special controls to quickly increase power order to take over the pre-contingency power from the double circuit AC line. However during this time when the lines are open, the angles of the Northern Ireland and Republic of Ireland systems drift further apart in the HVdc options than in the AC option. In order to avoid violating transient undervoltage criteria due to a large disturbance on reclosing the double circuit line, the HVdc options require additional time prior to reclosing to allow for the system angles to become closer. Special controls would likely be needed to determine the appropriate reclosing time based on the angle difference between the systems in the HVdc options, and also to adjust HVdc power so as to minimize the difference in system angles. It was found that 70-80% of the pre-contingency power flowing on the double circuit Louth-Tandragee lines should be transferred to the North-South HVdc line during the double circuit line outage. Somewhat improved dynamic performance can be obtained by increasing this to 90% but any more than this provides no added benefit and only serves to create overloads in the Woodland area. Due to the inherent voltage control capability of the VSC, the VSC option provides slightly better voltage performance than the AC option and also provides better performance than the LCC option. However, if reclosing is delayed to a point in time when the system angles have come close enough together, then the LCC option also provides acceptable performance. A STATCOM or SVC could be added to the AC option to provide similar dynamic voltage performance as the VSC option if deemed necessary. Other than voltage performance of the VSC option, there appears to be no significant benefit to the HVdc options when compared to the AC option. In fact the HVdc options showed more oscillatory frequency response compared to the AC option, however it may be possible to design an HVdc controller to aid in damping the frequency oscillations observed in the HVdc options.
- Line-commutated HVdc converters inherently fail commutation for AC system voltage deviations in the range of 10% or more, or for large phase angle shifts. These commutation failures result in a temporary loss of power transmission of the HVdc system. The North-South LCC option failed commutation for many of the system faults being studied, however the system did recover in all cases. It should also be noted that the East-West Interconnector⁶, because modeled as an LCC link, also failed commutation for a large number of the faults, with the most occurrences seen if the North-South link was also using LCC technology. The VSC option does not fail commutation and is able to transmit reduced power at reduced voltage, as is true for the AC option.

⁶ The East-West Interconnector has since been awarded as a VSC and the comment regarding commutation failures of the East-West Interconnector no longer applies.



R1116.03.04, Page 119 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

- Frequency scans determined the following:
 - There are a number of shunt and series resonances that may be a concern for either the LCC or VSC options, due to the harmonics generated by either option.
 - As only four cases where studied, and details of the actual filter configurations of the Moyle and East-West interconnectors were not available, these scans should only be considered as cursory.
- LCC converters installed near thermal generating units can excite subsynchronous resonances. Unit Interaction Factor is a subsynchronous resonance (SSR) screening index defined as:

$$UIF = \frac{MVA_{dc}}{MVA_{g}} \left(1 - \frac{SC_{g}}{SC_{t}}\right)^{2}.$$

The UIF index is only an empirical formula for screening of SSR risk. It is recommended that further detailed studies be performed if the UIF is greater than 0.1. However, in all four power flow cases considered for Scenario 2 there were no instances found in which the UIF was above 0.1. The highest UIF was found to be 0.035.

9.10. Overall Comparison of HVdc Solutions with Equivalent AC Solution

9.10.1. AC Advantages over HVdc

Based on the study results, the AC option had the following technical advantages compared to the HVdc options:

- Lower line losses
- No overloads of the Woodland 380-220 kV transformer, the Louth-Tandragee 275 kV lines or the Tandragee-Turleenan 275 kV lines
- Higher short circuit levels at the Moyle converter bus
- When compared to the LCC option, can still provide reduced power transfer at reduced AC voltages whereas the LCC option can fail commutation during nearby and remote AC faults causing temporary disruption in power transmission on the HVdc system.
- Does not require a special protection scheme for loss of the double circuit 275 kV lines between Louth and Tandragee.

9.10.2. HVdc Advantages over AC

Based on the study results, the HVdc options had the following technical advantages compared to the AC option:

- Reduced fault levels in nearby areas which may potentially result in fewer breaker replacements, if that is an issue.
- The VSC option had improved dynamic voltage performance compared to both the AC and LCC options. However if it were deemed necessary a STATCOM could be installed with the AC option to provide similar dynamic reactive power support as the VSC option.

The HVdc options can utilize underground cables.

9.10.3. Comparison of LCC and VSC HVdc

Between the two HVdc options, the following general comparisons can be made between the LCC and VSC options:

- A VSC does not fail commutation and therefore can still provide reduced power transfer at reduced AC voltages, whereas the LCC option may fail commutation during nearby and remote AC faults causing temporary disruption in power transmission on the LCC HVdc system.
- A VSC provides steady state and dynamic AC voltage control with a large range of reactive power support. An LCC consumes 50-60% reactive power based on real power loading, but self-



R1116.03.04, Page 120 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009 compensates through the use of filters banks and shunt capacitors. Therefore the VSC is superior in terms of voltage control.

• A disadvantage of a VSC is that for DC pole faults it will draw short circuit current from the AC system and look to the AC system like a remote AC fault plus a pole block, whereas the LCC DC line fault looks to the AC system only pole block. However in a cable system, as was studied for the LCC and VSC options, dc pole faults are very rare.

A special protection system should be implemented for both HVdc options to increase the HVdc power order to take over 70-80% of the pre-contingency Louth-Tandragee flow in the event of the loss of the double circuit Louth-Tandragee 275 kV lines. The 70-80% power transfer level was tested for the four power flow cases studied, which in general represent various year 2020 network topologies from summer minimum to winter maximum loading with varying dispatches of conventional and wind generation. Whether or not the 70-80% value would need to change based on other system topologies not studied in the scenario would require further testing. However, unless another tie line between Northern Ireland and the Republic of Ireland were added, it is not expected that this value would need to change. Such a special protection scheme could consist of something similar to the following, which is what was tested in this study:

- Monitor the power flow in the double circuit Louth-Tandragee lines.
- Monitor breaker status of these lines, and if the breakers open to trip the lines, send a signal to the HVdc controls to increase the power order by the required percentage of pre-contingency Louth-Tandragee double circuit power transfer. Such communication delays would be expected to be in the range of 10 to 15 ms, however 20 ms was modeled to provide some margin of error.
- The HVdc controls can respond within less than one cycle to increase the power order. The actual power will reach the new power order within a few cycles.

Similar special protection systems exist in other HVdc schemes to quickly reduce or increase HVdc power to maintain system stability depending on major transmission lines tripping. For example, in the Nelson River HVDC scheme in Manitoba, a special protection scheme known as "HVDC reduction" is used to quickly reduce the HVdc power order to two LCC HVdc bipoles in the event of loss of various critical transmission lines in the AC system, including tie lines between Manitoba and the United States. The "HVDC reduction" scheme operates under certain pre-determined power flow conditions and adjusts the HVdc power orders according to the pre-contignency power flow that was measured in the particular transmission line that tripped.

Because the AC option does not require the special protection scheme it is simpler than the HVdc option. The special protection scheme relies on remote signals and therefore it is prone to error and misoperation. There may also be some difficulty in fully testing the scheme as it may require critical outages such as taking the double circuit out of service. However, these issues are not unique to this scheme and are common among protection systems that rely on remote signals.

In addition, both HVdc options could be designed with a controller to monitor the phase angle difference between the two systems when the double circuit Louth-Tandragee lines are out of service in order to further adjust the HVdc power transfer to minimize the angle difference between the North and South. AC line reclosing should be delayed until the phase angle difference is minimized. Please note this study did not consider or model such a controller.

9.11. Recommendations

The studies show that the AC and HVdc options are all technically feasible and each option could be integrated into the network provided that the relevant protection, control and telecommunication systems for these HVdc technologies and their interactions are sufficiently robust to maintain the safety, reliability and security of the Irish network. The same requirements would be true for the installation of any new transmission scheme. However, it should be noted that there has not yet been an application in service of



R1116.03.04, Page 121 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009 a multi-terminal DC link (DC network) embedded in a meshed AC network, either using LCC or VSC technology.

Having carried out a technical comparison of HVdc versus HVAC technology for this circuit it was found that there are no significant technical reasons to select HVdc over HVAC. The VSC option did show improved dynamic voltage performance over the AC option, however if it were deemed necessary a STATCOM could be installed with the AC option to provide similar dynamic reactive power support as the VSC option.

The AC option showed significantly lower losses, fewer overloads in the Louth/Tandragee/Turleenan area and a stronger system at Moyle than both HVdc options.

The HVdc options were shown to require a special protection system in the event of the loss of the double circuit Louth-Tandragee 275 kV lines, whereas the AC option did not require such a special protection system.

Typical applications of HVdc systems include:

- Transmission with overhead line distances above 1 000 km, where the need of various intermediate tapings is not present;
- Interconnecting systems with different frequencies (50 Hz to 60 Hz);
- Undersea or underground cables with lengths around 50 km or more;
- Need for asynchronous operation (even at the same frequency base), like interconnection of different markets.
- Need for absolute power scheduling

The only application that really applies to the situation being studied in this report is the use of HVdc cables.

Typical applications of HVdc can also provide benefits such as power oscillation damping and frequency control. An HVdc power order can be quickly changed by an external signal (that should indicate a change in the network is taking place) as an additional signal in the power order scheduling. Power oscillation damping was not observed to be an issue in this study. Use of HVdc controls to achieve steady state or longer term frequency control is not expected to be applicable in the Irish network because the HVdc is being integrated into a meshed AC network, however frequency control was not modeled in this study and would therefore require further investigation to make any definite conclusions. It is not a case of being able to transfer excess generation from one area to another area that is deficient in generation as could typically be the case with an HVdc link connecting two isolated systems.

A meshed AC network with embedded HVdc circuits can impose an added complexity to future network planning and expansion. For instance when planning the system it is difficult and expensive to tap into an existing HVdc circuit whereas an AC circuit can be easily tapped to serve new load or build a new AC station and lines.

Based on the selected power flow cases and contingencies that were studied, there were no significant technical advantages identified for the use of HVdc transmission instead of AC transmission for the North-South Interconnector. VSC HVdc links have the benefit of inherent reactive power support. Both LCC and VSC HVdc links can utilize underground cables, and if built as a bipole provide extra reliability.

It was assumed that for both of the HVdc options considered in this study that HVdc underground cable would be used for the connections rather than HVdc overhead line. The fact that the selection of an HVdc option would make underground cable a more attractive option is not in and of itself sufficient justification for selecting an HVdc option over the HVAC option. To make such a decision it is necessary to compare the two technologies across the full range of relevant criteria, including environmental, technical and economical. This study merely comprises a technical comparison of the two technologies. An environmental and economic comparison is beyond its scope. It is on this basis that it was concluded that for the scenarios and contingencies that were studied, there were no significant technical avantages



R1116.03.04, Page 122 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009 identified for the use of an HVdc circuit in place of the HVAC circuit proposed for the new North-South Interconnector.



R1116.03.04, Page 123 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

10. Scenario Four: Drawing Power Out of the Cork Area

Scenario 4 involves drawing power out of the congested area near Cork by building new transmission between Glanagow-Cahir-Kilkenny-Loughteeog. Figure 10.1 shows the proposed route and termination locations for this scenario.



Figure 10.1. Proposed route and termination locations for Scenario 4.

The following points describe this scenario in further detail:

• The power flow case for this scenario is based on a year 2020 Summer Peak case.



R1116.03.04, Page 124 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

- The length of the circuit is approximately 210 km (direct distance from Glanagow to Knockraha + direct distance from Knockraha to Cahir + direct distance from Cahir to Loughteeog) * margin of uncertainty (1.25)
- The power flow entering the Glanagow end of the line in the equivalent AC solution is used at the sending end of the HVdc solutions.

10.1. Transmission Options

Three alternatives are considered for this scenario as described below; one AC option and two VSC HVdc options.

10.1.1. AC Alternative

The AC alternative consists of three 220 kV AC overhead lines each rated at 1000 MVA. The AC lines are connected from Glanagow to Cahir, from Cahir to Kilkenny and from Kilkenny to Loughteeog as per Grid Development Strategy 2025, as shown in Figure 10.1.



Figure 10.1. Diagram of AC option.

10.1.2. HVdc Alternatives

10.1.2.1. VSC Option 1

The first HVdc scheme consists of three two-terminal bipolar Voltage Source Converter (VSC) based HVdc links connecting the terminals from Glanagow to Cahir, Cahir to Kilkenny and Kilkenny to Loughteeog, as shown in Figure 10.2.

The Methodology Workshop defined the following:

- All links are bidirectional, bipolar and rated at 1424 MW (to match the AC line rating) and 300 kV.
- XLPE underground cables are used.
- HVdc terminals are located at Loughteeog 400 kV station, Cahir 110 kV station, Kilkenny 110 kV station and Glanagow 220 kV station.

Please note that the Glanagow terminal is actually connected to the Aghada 220 kV bus and the Loughteeog terminal is actually connected to the Laois 380 kV bus.



R1116.03.04, Page 125 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009



Figure 10.2. Diagram of VSC option 1.

An HVDC bipole refers to an HVDC system that can continue operate with one of the two poles being out of service, a monopole cannot. The advantage of a bipolar HVdc scheme in comparison to a single AC line is increased reliability of the line, as the HVdc system can continue to operate (up to the rating of the remaining pole) with one pole out of service.

As of today, a bipolar VSC cable scheme is limited to a 1200 MW rating, however in the timeframe of the implementation of this project, a rating in the range of 1500 MW in bipolar VSC cable systems are anticipated. The type and number of cables to be used in such a scheme would be design dependent based on the rated dc voltage and power levels.

In this study, however, due to modeling limitations, each of the three HVdc VSC bipolar links is modeled as two parallel two-terminal monopolar +/- 320 kV VSC links with converter ratings of 796 MVA. The required ratings for the stations were to be 1424 MW, however the vendor-supplied VSC model used in these studies is pre-set to various power ratings at DC voltage levels. The closest rating available to meet the bipolar total of 1424 MW were those rated at 796 MVA, two monopoles in parallel providing a total of 1592 MVA. This power rating is only available at the +/- 320 kV dc voltage level. Please note that this is a modeling issue only and that in reality 1424 MW ratings can be achieved in the future, it does not have to be the specific pre-set MVA ratings of the PSSE model. The converters are connected via DC cable, at lengths of 112 km between Glanagow and Cahir, 60 km between Cahir and Kilkenny and 38 km between Kilkenny and Loughteeog. The VSC converters have a large reactive power support range. The P-Q operating range is dependent on the real power operating point as discussed in Section 10.1.3.

The number of cables required for a VSC bipole would depend on the type of cable being used. Either XLPE or mass impregnated cable can be used, depending on the voltage and MW rating required. XLPE cable is cheaper but can only go up to approximately 320 kV DC. Mass impregnated cable is more expensive but can go up to higher DC voltages. It becomes an economic study to determine how many XLPE cables would be required compared to mass impregnated cables, and which option would be less expensive.

As far as the PSSE model is concerned, each VSC bipole is modeled as two separate monopoles due to modeling limitations (i.e. no bipolar VSC model is available), however when operating in bipolar mode this should have no impact on the simulation results. The loadflow and dynamics models represent each pole connected to the AC system via a single transformer, with AC filters on the VSC side of the transformer. The VSC converter is represented by a generator model in loadflow and by a current injection model in dynamics. The valves themselves are not included in a PSSE type model as such a simulation tool is not capable of modeling the actual three-phase switching. In this case, because the VSC bipole is modeled with two independent monopoles, two DC cables per monopole (XLPE) are included as per the vendor-supplied monopolar model, however this does not necessarily reflect reality as discussed in the previous paragraph. More information on the specific vendor-supplied PSSE models can be found in [3].

10.1.2.2. VSC Option 2

The second HVdc scheme also uses VSC technology. It consists of two three-terminal bipolar Voltage Source Converter (VSC) based HVdc links connecting the terminals from Glanagow to Cahir to Loughteeog, and Glanagow to Kilkenny to Loughteeog, as shown in Figure 10.3.



R1116.03.04, Page 126 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009 The Methodology Workshop defined the following:

- Both links are monopolar and rated at 500 MW and 300 kV.
- XLPE underground cables are used.
- Terminals for the first three-terminal link are at Glanagow 220kV, Cahir 110kV and Loughteeog 400kV stations.
- Terminals for the second three-terminal link are at Glanagow 220kV, Kilkenny 110kV and Loughteeog 400kV stations.

Please note that the Glanagow terminal is actually connected to the Aghada 220 kV bus and the Loughteeog terminal is actually connected to the Laois 380 kV bus.



Figure 10.3. Diagram of VSC option 2.

In this case, the VSC Scheme presented in Figure 10.3 would be required to be monopolar because the midpoint taps are at different locations. The reliability advantage of a bipolar HVdc scheme is not applicable to VSC Option 2.

In this study, each of the two three-terminal HVdc VSC monopolar links is modeled as a single threeterminal monopolar +/- 320 kV VSC link with converter ratings of 796 MVA. The required ratings for each monopolar link was to be 500 MW, however the vendor-supplied VSC model used in these studies is preset to various power ratings at DC voltage levels. The closest rating available to meet the monopolar of 500 MW were those rated at 796 MVA. This power rating is only available at the +/- 320 kV dc voltage level. Please note that this is a modeling issue only and that in reality 500 MW ratings can be achieved, it does not have to be the specific pre-set MVA ratings of the PSSE model. The converters are connected via DC cable, at lengths of 112 km between Glanagow and Cahir, 172 km between Glanagow and Kilkenny, 38 km between Kilkenny and Loughteeog, and 98 km between Cahir and Loughteeog. The VSC converters have a large reactive power support range. The P-Q operating range is dependent on the real power operating point as discussed in Section 10.1.3.

As was discussed for a VSC bipole, the number of cables required for a VSC monopole would also depend on the type of cable being used. Either XLPE or mass impregnated cable can be used, depending on the voltage and MW rating required. XLPE cable is cheaper but can only go up to approximately 320 kV DC. Mass impregnated cable is more expensive but can go up to higher DC voltages. It becomes an economic study to determine how many XLPE cables would be required compared to mass impregnated cables, and which option would be less expensive. However, each monopole would require a minimum of two cables.

As far as the PSSE model is concerned, each VSC monopole is modeled as a separate monopole. The loadflow and dynamics models represent each pole connected to the AC system via a single transformer, with AC filters on the VSC side of the transformer. The VSC converter is represented by a generator model in loadflow and by a current injection model in dynamics. The valves themselves are not included in a PSSE type model as such a simulation tool is not capable of modeling the actual three-phase switching. Two DC cables per monopole are represented in the vendor-supplied monopolar model,



R1116.03.04, Page 127 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009 however this does not necessarily reflect reality as discussed in the previous paragraph. More information on the specific vendor-supplied PSSE models can be found in [3].

10.1.2.3. VSC in Reality

In reality, if a Scenario 4 VSC scheme were actually to be built, it would likely be comprised of a fourterminal bipolar VSC link as shown in Figure 10.4. The reason originally in the Methodology Workshop for deciding to study the VSC Options 1 and 2 as presented in Sections 10.1.2. and 10.1.3 was due to modeling limitations.

As of today, a bipolar VSC cable scheme is limited to a 1200 MW rating, however in the timeframe of the implementation of this project, a rating in the range of 1500 MW in bipolar VSC cable systems are anticipated. The type and number of cables to be used in such a scheme would be design dependent based on the rated dc voltage and power levels.



Figure 10.4. Diagram of a four-terminal bipolar VSC link.

A four-terminal VSC bipolar link, although requiring more complex controls due to the increased number of terminals, would require the least amount of cables and the least number of converters compared to VSC Options 1 and 2, and would therefore be more economical than what was modeled in the study. For example, VSC Option 1 (three two-terminal bipoles) would require double the amount of converters at Cahir and Kilkenny. VSC Option 2 (two three-terminal monopoles) would require double the number of cables.

The expected impact to the study results would be as follows:

VSC Option 1 would result in:

- Higher VSC losses due to two extra converters each at Cahir and Kilkenny
- Higher short circuit contribution at Cahir and Kilkenny due to two extra converters at each these stations
- Higher reactive power capability at Cahir and Kilkenny due to two extra converters at each of these stations

10.1.3. Reactive Power Exchange with AC System

Voltage source converters have a large range of fast reactive supply and absorption capability however the reactive power capability depends on the real power transmission. The vendor-supplied model used in this study has the typical per unit P-Q operating diagram shown in Figure 10.5 (figure taken from [3]). This diagram demonstrates that when operating at a real power level near the MVA rating of the VSC, the reactive power capability is significantly less than if operating at a lower power level, in fact the reactive power capability shown in Figure 10.5 is near 0 MVAR at 1 pu real power. The reactive power can be controlled independently at each station. Please note that this P-Q diagram is typical and vendor-specific and does not necessarily reflect the P-Q operating curve of VSCs supplied by other vendors. Also note that the P-Q diagram refers to the converter only. There are filters located on the AC bus on the VSC side of the transformer which are not accounted for in the P-Q diagram. The rating of the filters depends on the rating of the converter (comments as per previous scenarios). Each 796 MVA VSC link as modeled in this scenario has 119.4 MVAR of filters (capacitance).



R1116.03.04, Page 128 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009



Figure 10.5. VSC P-Q diagram (converter only). Source: ABB.

An AC line loaded to its surge impedance loading will neither consume nor supply reactive power to the AC system. Operating below surge impedance loading, an AC line will supply reactive power; operating above surge impedance loading an AC line will absorb reactive power. Figure 10.5 below shows the P-Q diagram and the range of reactive power supply and consumption for the AC option for the three 400 kV lines from Glanagow to Cahir, from Cahir to Kilkenny and from Kilkenny to Loughteeog.



Figure 10.5. AC Line P-Q diagram.



R1116.03.04, Page 129 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

10.2. Contingencies

10.2.1. Steady State Analysis

All n-1 contingencies for all 110 kV and above as well as several key double circuit outage conditions were studied.

10.2.2. Transient Stability Analysis

Table 10.1 describes the system disturbances that were applied to perform the transient stability analysis.

Contingency	Fault At		3ph/ Fault		Fault cleared by	Reclosing time
contingency	Bus	kV	1ph	duration	tripping	Reclosing time
1_0_X				Perma	anent single pole block of VSC Option 1	
1_0_P1				Perman	ent block of VSC Pole-1 in VSC Option 2	
1_0_P2			1	Perman	ent block of VSC Pole-2 in VSC Option 2	
2_1_X	1062 (AGHADA3)	220	1ph	0.3s	<u>AC Option</u> 1062, 2852, ckt 1 – Aghada-Glanagow 220kV 1044-1062-10422 ckt 1 – Aghada 380-220kV <u>VSC Options 1 and 2</u> 1062- 2852, ckt 1 – Aghada-Glanagow 220kV 1062- 330 ckt 1 – Aghada VSC transformer 1 1062- 331 ckt 1 – Aghada VSC transformer 1	No reclose for breaker failure
2_3_L1	1062 (AGHADA3)	220	3ph	0.08s	1062 - 2852, 1– Aghada-Glanagow 220kV	0.68s
2_3_L2	1062 (AGHADA3)	220	3ph	0.08s	1062 - 4722, 1– Aghada-Raffeen 220kV	0.68s
2_3_L3	1062 (AGHADA3)	220	3ph	0.08s	1062 -1041 -10421, 2 - Aghada 380-220kV	No reclose for transformer
3_1_X	1721 (CAHIR)	110	1ph	0.3s	AC Option 1 1721-1891-ckt 1 – Cahir-Kill Hill 110kV 1721-5381- ckt1 – Cahir-Tipperary 110 kV 1724-1721-17221 ckt1- Cahir 380-110kV 1 1724-1721-17222 ckt2 - Cahir 380-110kV 2 VSC Option 1 1721-1891-ckt 1 – Cahir-Kill Hill 110kV 1721-5381- ckt1 – Cahir-Tipperary 110 kV 1721-340 ckt1- Cahir VSC transformer 1 1721-341 ckt1- Cahir VSC transformer 3 1721-345 ckt1- Cahir VSC transformer 3 1721-346 ckt1- Cahir VSC transformer 4 VSC Option 2 [1721-1891-ckt 1 – Cahir-Kill Hill 110kV 1721-1891-ckt 1 – Cahir-Kill Hill 110kV 1721-381- ckt1 – Cahir-Kill Hill 110kV 1721-381- ckt1 – Cahir-Tipperary 110 kV 1721-340 ckt1- Cahir VSC transformer	No reclose for breaker failure
3_3_L1	1721 (CAHIR)	110	3ph	0.15s	1721 - 2161, 1 – Cahir-Doon 110 kV	0.75s
3_3_L2	1721 (CAHIR)	110	3ph	0.15s	1721 - 1891, 1- Cahir-Kill Hill 110 kV	0.75s
3_3_L3	1721 (CAHIR)	110	3ph	0.15s	1721 - 17204, 1 – Cahir 110-38kV	No reclose for transformer
3_3_L4	1721 (CAHIR)	110	3ph	0.15s	1721 - 14619, 1 – Cahir-Barrym ore T 110kV	0.75s
3_3_L5	1721 (CAHIR)	110	3ph	0.15s	1721 - 5381, 1– Cahir-Tipperary 110 kV	0.75s
4_1_X	3261 (KILKENNY)	110	1ph	0.3s	AC Option 1 3261-3341-ckt1 – Kilkenny-Kellis 110kV 3261-32604- ckt 1- Kilkenny-Kilmurry 110kV 3264-3261-32621 ckt1- Kilkenny 380-110kV 1 3264-3261-32622 ckt2- Kilkenny 380-110kV 2 <u>VSC Option 1</u> 3261-3341-ckt1- Kilkenny-Kellis 110kV 3261-32604- ckt1- Kilkenny 110-38 kV 3441-3261- ckt1 – Kilkenny VSC transformer 1 3261-350 ckt1 – Kilkenny VSC transformer 2 3261-355 ckt1- Kilkenny VSC transformer 3 3261-356 ckt1- Kilkenny VSC transformer 4 <u>VSC Option 2</u>	No reclose for breaker failure

Table 10.1. Dynamic Contingencies.



R1116.03.04, Page 130 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

Continue and	Fault At		3ph/	Fault	Fault cleared by	Deele sin a time
Contingency	Bus	kV	1ph	duration	tripping	Reclosing time
					3261-3341-ckt1– Kilkenny-Kellis 110kV 3261-32604- ckt 1- Kilkenny 110-38 kV 3441-3261- ckt1– Kilkenny-Kilmurry 110kV 3261-351 ckt1– Kilkenny VSC transformer	
4_3_L1	3261 (KILKENNY)	110	3ph	0.15s	3261-1431, 1 – Kilkenny-Ballyragget 110kV	0.75s
4_3_L2	3261 (KILKENNY)	110	3ph	0.15s	3261-32604, 1- Kilkenny 110-38 kV	No reclose for transformers
4_3_L3	3261 (KILKENNY)	110	3ph	0.15s	3261-3441, 1– Kilkenny-Kilmurry 110kV	0.75s
4_3_L4	3261 (KILKENNY)	110	3ph	0.15s	3261-3341, 1 – Kilkenny-Kellis 110kV	0.75s
5_1_X	3554 (LAOIS)	380	1ph	0.3s	3344-3554 ckt 1 – Laois-Kellis 380kV 3554-35511-35542 ckt 2- Laois 380-110 kV	No reclose for breaker failure
5_3_L1	3554 (LAOIS)	380	3ph	0.08s	3554 -2204, 1 – Laois-Dunstown 380kV	0.68s
5_3_L2	3554 (LAOIS)	380	3ph	0.08s	3554 -5494, 1 – Laois-WMD400 380kV	0.68s
5_3_L3	3554 (LAOIS)	380	3ph	0.08s	3554 -3344, 1 – Laois-Kellis 380kV	0.68s
5_3_L4	3554 (LAOIS)	380	3ph	0.08s	3554-3551-35541, 1 – Laois 380-110kV 1	No reclose for transformers
5_3_L5	3554 (LAOIS)	380	3ph	0.08s	3554-35511-35542, 2 – Laois 380-110kV 2	No reclose for transformers
6_X_X	2852 (GLANAGOW)	220	1ph	0.06s	2852-28571, 1 & gen at 28571 – Glanagow generation	No reclose for gens
7_X_X	3122 (IRISH TOWN)	220	1ph	0.06s	3122-31271 , 1 & gen at 31271 – Irishtown generation	No reclose for gens

10.3. Power Flows Cases and Losses

The power flow cases for the HVdc (VSC) options were created by removing the 400 kV AC lines between Glanagow-Cahir-Kilkenny-Loughteeog from service and replacing them with the two VSC options operating at the same power transfers as the AC line was transferring in the given power flow case.

Transmission losses in the AC line, the two-terminal VSC HVdc system (VSC Option 1) and the three-terminal VSC HVdc system (VSC Option 2) were calculated and compared.

According to the VSC manuals [3], each VSC converter has losses of 1.65% at nominal (rated) MVA loading, with 30% fixed losses and 70% variable plus the losses in the DC line.

Table 10.2 summarizes the losses in the AC lines and in the two VSC HVdc systems.

AC Lines	VSC Option 1	VSC Option 2
(MW)	(MW)	(MW)
26.3	75.4	36.2

Table 10.2. Comparison of Total Losses for the Three Options.

Because the transmission distance is relatively short, the AC option has lower losses than both of the VSC options. The VSC option with three two-terminal links (VSC Option 1) has approximately double the losses of the VSC option with two three-terminal links (VSC Option 2) due to the higher number of VSC converters involved.

10.3.1. Steady State Contingency Analysis

Steady state contingency analysis was performed on the power flow case described in Table 5.1. It was observed that there were several voltage collapse situations due to a lack of reactive power support in the base power flow case that was provided. The mitigation measures taken to prevent the issue are described as follows:



R1116.03.04, Page 131 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

- The voltage near the Thurles 110kV bus severely dropped when either Thurles-Kill Hill 110kV line or Kill Hill-Cahir 110 kV line was tripped. This was observed in the AC and VSC options. The voltage collapse was mitigated by increasing the Thurles switched capacitor to 135 MVAR (9*15 MVAR) from 30 MVAR (2*15 MVAR).
- In the AC option only, the power flow cases could not be solved when the Oldstreet-Woodland 400kV line or Carrickmines-Charlesland 220kV line was tripped. These problems were mitigated by providing some reactive power support in the Loughteeog area. A switched capacitor of 250 MVAR (5*50MVAR) was added at Portlaoise 110 kV bus as a mitigation measure. In reality this would be considered to be over-compensated and would likely need a new line in the area and/or some amount of dynamic reactive power compensation. Although this switched capacitor was not required in the VSC options to prevent voltage collapse, the switched capacitor was kept in the VSC options for consistency.

These mitigation measures were applied to the power flow cases used in the steady state contingency analysis as well in the transient stability analysis.

10.3.2. Overload Impacts

There were many overloads observed throughout and common to the AC and VSC options, some overloads being the same for the AC and VSC options, some slightly impacted by only a few percent. However, the overloads of interest to this study are those that are significantly impacted by the VSC options when compared to the AC option. Table 10.3 summarizes the overloads impacted by the VSC options when compared to the AC option. Appendix C-2 contains the full listing of contingency analysis results.

	Rating		Worst Overloads (%)		
Line	MVA	Contingency	AC	VSC-1	VSC-2
380kV					
3202 KNOCKRAHA 220.00 3282*KILLONAN 220.00 1	286	Glen-NewTrien 220 kV	106.8	111.9	112.2
110kV					
1441 BANDON 110.00 2221*DUNMANWAY 110.00 1	107	Glanagow 220-19 kV	104.0	113.4	113.3
1721 CAHIR 110.00 5381*TIPPERARY 110.00 1	107	Cahir-Kill Hill 110 kV	105.6	121.0	120.5
1721 CAHIR 110.00 14619*BARRYMORE T 110.00 1	107	Cahir-Kill Hill 110 kV	<100	113.7	113.3
2001 CULLENAG H 110.00 2141*DUNGARVAN 110.00 1	107	Cullenagh-Knockraha 220 kV	126.4	141.6	141.1
3961*MARINA 110.00 5181 TRABEG 110.00 2	79	Glanagow 220-19 kV	117.9	147.5	147.4
5271*TOEM 110.00 5381 TIPPERARY 110.00 1	107	Cahir-Kill Hill 110 kV	123.1	137.1	136.7

Table 10.3. Worst Overload Impacts.

In terms of power flow there is not much difference between the two VSC options, both show very similar overload impacts compared to the AC option. The major differences in the overloads between the AC and VSC options are described below.

The Knockraha-Killonan 220 kV line becomes overloaded to approximately 112% for both VSC HVdc cases following the loss of the Glenlara-NewTrien 220 kV line. The AC option shows a worst case overload of 106.8% for the same outage.

The Bandon-Dunmanway 110 kV line becomes overloaded to approximately 113% for both VSC HVdc cases following the loss of the Glanagow 220 kV /20 kV transformer. The AC option shows a worst case overload of 104% following the loss of Laois-Woodland 380 kV line.



R1116.03.04, Page 132 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009 The Cahir-Tipperary 110 kV line becomes overloaded to approximately 121% for both VSC HVdc cases following the loss of the Cahir-Kill Hill 110 kV line. The AC option shows a worst case overload of 105.6% following the loss of Laois-Woodland 380 kV line.

The Cahir-Barrymore T 110 kV line becomes overloaded to approximately 114% for both VSC HVdc cases following the loss of the Cahir-Kill Hill 110 kV line. The AC option does not show an overload for the contingencies applied.

The Cullenagh-Dungarvan 110 kV line becomes overloaded to approximately 142% for both VSC HVdc cases following the loss of the Cullenagh-Knockraha 220 kV line. The AC option shows a worst case overload of 126.4% for the same outage.

The Marina-Trabeg 110 kV circuit-2 becomes overloaded to approximately 148% for both VSC HVdc cases following the loss of the Glanagow 220 kV /20 kV transformer. The AC option shows a worst case overload of 118% following the loss of Bandon-Dunmanway 110 kV line.

The Toem-Tipperary 110 kV line becomes overloaded to approximately 137% for both VSC HVdc cases following the loss of the Cahir-Kill Hill 110 kV line. The AC option shows a worst case overload of 123.1% following the loss of Laois-Woodland 380 kV line.

10.3.2.1. Re-dispatch VSC to Reduce Congestion

One of the important aspects of Scenario 4 is the transmission congestion in the area of study. A purpose of the study is to investigate whether or not it may be possible to use power scheduling flexibility of the VSC links to relieve congestion rather than constraining generation and/or uprating lines in the area.

Because the VSC links are integrated within a meshed AC network, the power scheduling becomes complex, especially with four HVdc terminals that all have the ability to be adjusted. For example, if it is known that more power needs to be drawn from one of the four terminals, which of the other three terminals should this power be sent to so as to not create any new overloads? It is not necessarily an easy question to answer.

As shown in Appendix C-2, there are many overloads present in the AC and VSC options, in addition to the impacted loads discussed in Section 10.3.2.

A few examples were investigated to see if the VSC links could be used to eliminate particular overloads.

1) Cahir-Kill Hill 110 kV line

This line is overloaded to 132% even in system intact. In order to eliminate the system intact overload on this line, the VSC terminal at Cahir must take almost 300 MW more than how the original base case was set up. It was found that this 300 MW of power should come as much as possible from the Kilkenny and Loughteeog terminals, but not too much from Kilkenny otherwise the power flow solution will diverge. If the power were taken from Glanagow instead, more overloads, particularly the Marina-Trabeg 110 kV line begin showing up in that area.

This example demonstrates that the VSC links were able to be re-dispatched so as to eliminate the Cahir-Kill Hill overload in system intact without creating any new system intact overloads, so long as the re-dispatch of VSC power comes from the appropriate terminal. It does not guarantee however that if the full contingency analysis were performed on this new system intact case that new n-1 overloads would not be created.

2) Cullenagh – Dungarvan 110 kV Line

This line becomes overloaded following the loss of the Cullenagh-Knockraha 220 kV line, up to 141.6% with the VSC option, and up to 126% with the AC option. Therefore, if the VSC is not redispatched, the AC option has the advantage of neighboring AC lines sharing the extra power



R1116.03.04, Page 133 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009 following the loss of the line and resulting in a lower overload, as opposed to the VSC that continues at its pre-contingency power transfer.

In this case, in order to alleviate the overload, more power must be taken out of the area at the Glanagow VSC terminal and injected into the VSC link. In order to completely eliminate the overload, approximately 350 MW of additional power must be taken out of the Glanagow area and transferred to the VSC. This however creates a new 112% overload on the Marina-Trabeg 110 kV line.

The extra 350 MW was fed into the Cahir and Kilkenny VSC terminals, which did not create any new overloads and actually eliminated the existing Cahir-Kill Hill overload, similar to the discussion in the previous point.

This example demonstrates that the VSC can be re-dispatched to completely eliminate an overload. However, care must be taken so as not to overload a new line in the process. In this case a new overload was created at the expense of eliminating a different overload.

3) Marina - Trabeg 110 kV line

This line becomes overloaded following the loss of the 450 MW Glanagow generator, up to 147.5 % with the VSC option, and up to 117.9% with the AC option. Therefore, if the VSC is not redispatched, the AC option has the advantage of not continuing to draw the same amount of power out of the Glanagow area after loss of a large generator, as opposed to the VSC that continues at its precontingency power flow.

In this case, in order to alleviate the overload, more power must be brought to the Glanagow area at by taking more power, or in this case sending less power, from the Glanagow terminal of the VSC link. In pre-contingency the Glanagow VSC terminal is operating as a rectifier, taking power from the AC system near Glanagow and transferring it to the VSC link. In order to completely eliminate the overload, approximately 350 MW less power must be transferred from the Glanagow terminal of the VSC.

Care must be taken as to where this power comes from. Taking more power from the Cahir terminal only serves to worsen an existing overload on the Cahir-Kill Hill line. Therefore the power was taken from both the Loughteeog and Kilkenny terminals, more so from Loughteeog.

This example demonstrates that the VSC can be redispatched to eliminate the overload in question, however if care is not taken as to redispatch the other three VSC terminals appropriately additional overloads can be created.

Although it was demonstrated to be possible to redispatch the VSC link to eliminate a particular overload for a particular contingency, it is not necessarily always possible to do so without creating a new overload. In addition, the appropriate re-dispatch will be dependent on the network topology and the operating point at hand.

If the VSC link were connected into a lesser meshed AC network, or connecting to two isolated systems, it might be possible to create a special protection system to monitor the system for a couple of specific contingencies in order to ramp up or ramp down the DC power in a pre-programmed manner to eliminate a known overload, if such a logic could be developed that would work for all network topologies and load and generation dispatches.

In this case, the VSC link is connected inside a very meshed AC network and the case has a long list of overloads that occur for a very long list of contingencies. It would be practically impossible to pre-program the VSC to automatically respond to each and every contingency that caused an overload, and additionally without creating new overloads.

It would also be difficult for an operator to manage if the re-dispatch were to be done manually.



R1116.03.04, Page 134 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009 The following complications exist with attempting to rely on the VSC link to be re-dispatched to eliminate all n-1 violations:

- There are many overloaded lines and many contingencies causing these overloads.
- A re-dispatch of the VSC to eliminate the overload would need to be carefully calculated to properly share the re-dispatch among the four terminals so as not to create new overloads.
- The re-dispatch would be very dependent upon the network topology and load and generation dispatch.

The only potential solution might be to perform a real-time automatic dispatch of the four terminals of the VSC link in such a way as to eliminate the potential for n-1 overloads to occur based on the network topology and operating point at the particular time. It is however not known whether any existing system operation software is capable of performing this task.

With the number of overloaded lines recorded in Appendix C-2, along with the number of contingencies causing these overloads, even if such software could be used to minimize the overloads, it may still be necessary that some of the worst case overloads would require certain lines to be uprated. This would require further investigation beyond the scope of this study.

10.3.3. Voltage Violation Impacts

There were a few minor voltage range and deviation violations observed in the steady state contingency analysis, however there were no significant differences seen in these violations when comparing the results of the AC and VSC options.

The complete results of the voltage violations can be found in Appendix C-3.

With the mitigation measures described in Section 10.3.1, no diverged cases were reported.

10.4. Short Circuit Analysis

Short circuit analysis was performed to compare the difference in short circuit levels between the AC and VSC options.

In comparison to the AC option, the VSC options showed lower or similar short circuit levels, particularly in the area near the VSC link. This is because the VSC link only contributes minimal short circuit current, up to 1.0 pu rated current depending on the AC bus voltage, with this short circuit contribution decreasing as the AC bus voltage decreases. According to the VSC model manuals [3], it is recommended to turn off the VSCs in the power flow prior to performing the short circuit analysis. However, as mentioned, the VSC option can contribute up to 1.0 pu rated current, with this current contribution decreasing as bus voltages decrease. An alternative method suggested in the VSC manual is to assume a 0.5 pu current contribution at nearby buses [3]. Therefore, the VSC fault contribution of 0.5 pu current was assumed.

VSC option 1 with three two-terminal links showed reduced short circuit levels at Aghada and Laois but very similar short circuit levels at Cahir and Kilkenny in comparison to the AC option. VSC option 2 with two three-terminal links showed reduced short circuit levels at all four terminals in comparison to the AC option. This is due to the fact that there are double the number of VSC converters at Cahir and Kilkenny in the three two-terminal VSC option compared to the two three-terminal VSC option.

Table 10.4 compares the short circuit levels of the AC option with the VSC options at the four terminals of the new transmission. The VSC options assume 0.5 pu rated current contribution from each converter.



R1116.03.04, Page 135 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

Cable 10.4. Short Circuit Levels at Terminal Buses.					
Torminal	3-Phas	AC Voltage			
renninai	AC option	VSC option-1	VSC option-2	(pu)	
Aghada 220 kV	10.92	10.19	10.13	1.075	
Cahir 110 kV	15.19	14.05	9.42	1.034	
Kilkenny 110 kV	15.35	14.03	10.55	1.039	
Laois 380 kV	10.74	10.99	10.89	1.028	

Appendix C-4 contains the full listing of the short circuit analysis results. All short circuit levels exceeding the fault level criteria were flagged in red in Appendix C-4 for the AC and VSC options. Levels were exceeded at a few 220 kV stations, but mostly at 110 kV stations. In all cases the VSC options had minimal impact on the short circuit level violations present in the AC options. It is recommended to perform detailed breaker replacement studies at these stations.

Generally speaking the benefit of decreased short circuit levels is to possibly reduce the number of breakers whose current interrupting ratings are exceeded. The drawback is a decrease in system strength.

10.5. Transient Stability Analysis

The results of the transient stability simulations were analyzed and the three options were compared in terms of the following criteria:

- Transient overvoltages/undervoltages
- Frequency deviations and rate of change of frequency
- System damping
- Generator tripping

In addition to the analysis of the AC system faults and criteria, specific faults associated only with the HVdc systems, namely pole blocking and dc line faults were also analyzed to ensure acceptable system performance.

The required mitigation measures were investigated for any identified violations of the criteria.

Appendix C-1 contains the plots of transient stability analysis.

10.5.1. Overvoltages and Undervoltages

AC system voltages were monitored during the post-fault period at buses rated 110 kV and above in areas 2, 4, 5 and 7.

All of the voltage violations that were observed during the transient stability analysis occurred near the Thurles 110kV bus in the area around the Cahir terminal. The voltage violations occurred whenever the Cahir-Kill Hill 110 kV line was tripped and/or when the connection to the new transmission at the Cahir terminal, be it AC or VSC, was tripped. The Cahir-Kill Hill 110 kV line is carrying the majority of the precontingency power at Cahir (179 MW), the same amount as is flowing into the new AC and VSC transmission options at the Cahir terminal. Therefore, when the connection to the new transmission and/or the major Cahir-Kill Hill 110 kV line is lost, the underlying system is weakened and large power flows result through the remaining 110 kV lines near Cahir, especially in the Thurles area, leading to voltage problems. Sometimes overvoltages occurred, sometimes undervoltages occurred, depending on the exact facilities being tripped during the various contingencies. These contingencies and corresponding voltage violations are described below.



R1116.03.04, Page 136 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009 Following the breaker failure at Cahir (see contingency 3_1_X in Table 10.1) and following the tripping of Cahir-Kill Hill Thurles 110 kV line, the wind generation and the load connected in Thurles, Ikerrin, Kill Hill and Ikerrin would be tail fed from Shannonbridge. These wind-farms (connected at the distribution network) absorbed VARs, which resulted in low post-fault voltages at the Ikerrin bus as shown in Figure 10.6 below. The voltage at Ikerrin settled to around 0.84 pu in all of the AC and VSC options. Since the 110 kV network is no longer connected to the VSC converter at Cahir, the VSC at Cahir could not provide any reactive power contribution to improve the voltage, likewise for the 400 kV AC option. The dip in voltage around 3.5-3.8 seconds as seen in Figure 10.6 is the result of nearby wind generators tripping, a total of 50 MW in this case, as is discussed in upcoming Section 10.5.2.



Figure 10.6: Ikerrin 110 kV bus voltage during the breaker failure at Cahir 110 kV terminal (Contingency 3_1_X in Table 10.1).

When the Cahir-Kill Hill 110 kV line is opened to clear a 3-phase fault at Cahir (Contingency 3_3_L2 in Table 10.1), the same voltage drop at Ikerrin was observed as just described for the breaker failure case. However, the voltage recovered after the line was reclosed, as shown in Figure 10.7. Since the reclosing time (600 ms) is shorter than the allowable time period for voltages to be lower than 0.90 pu, this voltage drop is not considered to be a violation. However, this demonstrates that the voltage at Ikerrin would violate the undervoltage criteria of 0.90 pu if the line could not be reclosed due to a permanent fault on the Cahir-Kill Hill 110 kV line or for any other reason.



R1116.03.04, Page 137 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009



EirGrid plc Investigating the Impact of HVdc Schemes in the Irish Transmission Network Final Report

Figure 10.7: Ikerrin 110 kV bus voltage during the fault at Cahir-Kill Hill 110 kV line (Contingency 3_3_L2 in Table 10.1).

For VSC Option 2 only (two three-terminal VSC links), when the three-terminal VSC link connecting Glanagow-Cahir-Loughteeog is blocked (Contingency 1_0_P1 in Table 10.1), the connection between the Cahir 110 kV bus and the VSC at Cahir is tripped. This caused the voltages in the Thurles-Kill Hill-Cahir area to rise to unacceptable levels. This voltage rise is shown in Figure 10.8.

The same issue does not occur for VSC Option 1 (three two-terminal VSC links) because blocking of one of the VSC links does not result in the Cahir 110 kV bus becoming disconnected from the VSC terminal at Cahir. VSC Option 1 has two connections into Cahir, whereas VSC Option 2 only has one converter connecting into Cahir so if this single VSC converter is blocked then Cahir 110 kV is disconnected from the VSC converter at Cahir and only remains connected to the rest of the system through the 110 kV network.



R1116.03.04, Page 138 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009





Figure 10.8: Thurles, Kill Hill and Cahir bus voltages for the DC pole-1 block in Option3 (Contingency 1_0_P1 in Table 10.1).

The names and PSSE bus numbers of the buses in which the high voltage criteria was violated are given in Table 10.8.

Table 10.8. E	Buses exceeded the	voltage of 1.15	pu for the DC	pole-1 blo	ck in Option3.
		voltage et itte			

Bus	Name
Number	
5301	Thurles
3621	Lisheen
1891	Kill Hill
3631	Cureeny
1721	Cahir

Mitigation measures required for the voltage violations are discussed upcoming in Section 10.5.6.

As far as the voltage violations are concerned, there were no noticeable differences between the AC and VSC options, with the exception of the high voltage violations that occurred due to the VSC pole block in the VSC option 2 (two three-terminal VSC links).

10.5.2. Wind Generator Tripping

The same contingencies as just described for the overvoltage and undervoltage violations also resulted in several wind generators tripping due to overvoltage and undervoltage.

As was mentioned in Section 10.5.1, the voltage at Ikerrin dropped to 0.84 pu for a the breaker failure at Cahir 110kV terminal (Contingency 3_1_X in Table 10.1). This caused the undervoltage relays of nearby wind generators to operate. The wind generators that tripped due to undervoltage are listed in Table 10.9, for a total amount of 50 MW. The loss of wind generation occurred in all of the AC and VSC options.



R1116.03.04, Page 139 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

Table 10.9. Wind Generators Tripped by undervoltage relays during the breaker failure at Cahir
110 kV terminal (Contingency 3_1_X in Table 10.1).

Bus	Name	ID	MW
160	Ikerrin	DX	45.24
212	Ikerrin	DV	4.76
		Total	50.00

For VSC Option 2, when the three-terminal VSC connecting Glanagow-Cahir-Loughteeog terminals was blocked (Contingency 1_0_P1 in Table 10.1), overvoltages occurred in the Thurles-Kill Hill-Cahir area. This causes the overvoltage relays of nearby wind generators to operate. The wind generators that were tripped due to overvoltage are listed in Table 10.10, for a total amount of 114.4 MW.

Table 10.10. Wind Generators Tripped by overvoltage relays during the DC pole-1 block in Option3 (Contingency 1_0_P1 in Table 10.1).

Bus	Name	ID	MW
1891	Kill Hill	DX	2.50
1891	Kill Hill	ТΧ	12.50
2161	Doon	DX	5.00
3101	Ikerrin	DV	1.19
3101	Ikerrin	DX	11.30
3621	Lisheen	TW	11.00
3621	Lisheen	ТΧ	7.92
3631	Cureeny	DX	28.09
5301	Thurles	DX	8.36
5271	Toem	DV	5.00
5271	Toem	DW	2.38
5271	Toem	DX	7.60
5271	Toem	TW	11.61
		Total	114.43

10.5.3. Power Oscillation Damping

In general, plots of power oscillations inspected for various contingencies and powerflow study cases revealed that all AC and VSC options resulted in a satisfactory level of damping for power oscillations. Inspection of power oscillations also indicated that there is no clear evidence to substantiate which option had better system damping. Small signal stability analysis based on Eigenvalues would be recommended for future studies to better quantify the system damping performance.

10.5.4. Frequency Performance

In transient stability programs such as PSS/E, the transmission system frequency is obtained from the derivative of the bus voltage angle. If there is a sharp change in the bus angle, the frequency might change infinitely. Therefore, there are filters associated with the frequency measurements. However, sometimes these filters are not enough to completely rid the frequency calculations of these spikes, particularly if a fault has been applied in the area near to the frequency deviations as generator speeds are state variables which cannot change instantaneously and therefore should not give erroneous results.



R1116.03.04, Page 140 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

10.5.4.1. Transient Frequency

For the one power flow case that was studied, the AC and VSC transmission options did not show any transient underfrequency or overfrequency violations for any of the contingencies that were studied that did not involve generator tripping. All transient frequency deviations were within criteria.

10.5.4.2. Long Term Frequency

Also of interest to the system frequency performance are the two dynamic contingencies that simulate loss of generation, one which simulates loss of 450 MW at Glanagow, and the other which simulates loss of 396 MW at Irishtown. These contingencies, along with the amount of generation tripped, are listed in Table 10.11.

Table 10.11: Loss of generation.

Contingency	Amount of generation tripped (MW)
6_6_X	450 (Glanagow)
7_7_X	396 (Irishtown)

Following the loss of generation, the frequency of the entire system gradually decreased. This caused the pump load Turlough Hill (buses 52071 to 52074 (TURLG1-G4)) to trip for all AC and VSC transmission options within approximately 15 seconds of simulation.

As was described for Scenario 1 (Section 8.7.3.2), on the first run-through of these contingencies it was noticed that wind generators in the area near Cork began tripping one at a time, starting with Thurles, Lisheen, Cureeny, Ikerrin and so on until large amount of wind generation had tripped by 30 seconds into the simulation, which further exacerbated the frequency decay. It was discovered that the 110 kV area around Thurles did not appear to have sufficient dynamic voltage control. The area has many switched capacitors that are required to support voltage in the steady state, however in dynamics it seemed that some of this capacitance should rather be dynamic reactive power support such as an SVC. What was happening during the loss of generation cases, as the pump loads at Turlough Hill began to trip, the power flow in the area around Thurles began to change. As the power flow changed, the voltage began to decrease near Thurles, causing the wind generation at Thurles to trip. This further changed the power flow direction in the area, but in the opposite direction, which then caused the voltage to increase due to the large amount of capacitance in the area, resulting in more wind generators tripping on overvoltage. It was found that if some of this capacitance is replaced by an SVC, there was no cascade tripping of wind generators for loss of the Irishtown generation (contingency 7_7_X) and the frequency settled to a stable value, although it settled to around 49 Hz, which is below the nominal 50 Hz. A +100/-50 MVAR SVC at Thurles was found to be sufficient for the particular cases being studied, however it is recommended to perform a more thorough reactive power study to determine the appropriate proportion of fixed and dynamic reactive power requirements.

The loss of Glanagow generation showed a similar problem with wind generator tripping in the AC and VSC options but in a different area. For this contingency, wind generators began tripping on overvoltage in the area around Trien, Tarbert, Gortawee and so on. Because this is not an issue directly related to the comparison of the AC and VSC option, mitigation for this contingency was not determined. It is expected that capacitance in that area would required replacement with an SVC similar to the mitigation demonstrated for loss of generation at Irishtown. Further study is recommended.

The system frequency decay for the two loss of generation contingencies is shown in Figures 10.9 and 10.10.



R1116.03.04, Page 141 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009



EirGrid plc Investigating the Impact of HVdc Schemes in the Irish Transmission Network Final Report

Figure 10.9. Decay in generator speed for loss of 396 MW at Irishtown.



R1116.03.04, Page 142 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009



Figure 10.10. Decay in generator speed for loss of 450 MW at Glanagow.

At 30 seconds of simulation there is a very slight difference in the steady state system frequency when comparing the AC options to the VSC option. Table 10.12 lists the system frequency at 30 seconds of simulation.

Tahlo	10 1 2	System	Frequency	/ Following	Loss of	Generation
able	10.12.	System	riequency	/ FUIIOWIIIY	L022 01	Generation.

Contingency	System Frequency (Hz)
6_6_X	48.6
7_7_X	48.9

In both contingencies the VSC options result in faster frequency decay than the AC option. It is believed that this is because the VSC as modelled continues to transfer the pre-contingency amount of power from the Cork area even after the generation is lost. It may be possible that if controls were designed to respond to the frequency decay that the decay could be slowed down in the VSC cases. However, this was not attempted for two reasons:

- The rate of change of frequency was within the 0.5 Hz/sec criteria.
- The frequency settled to the same steady state value as the AC option because the VSC is installed in a meshed AC network and is not able to bring in excess power from an isolated region and therefore it cannot change the steady state frequency.

The response of higher-speed control (such as machine governors) to short term frequency excursions is modeled in PSSE. Short-term system frequency criteria must be met by the calculated PSS/E frequency responses. In the event of extreme transient under-frequency, there is the risk of load shed and also the risk of the loss of generation through generation protection (typically installed to prevent damage resonances at off-nominal frequency operation).



R1116.03.04, Page 143 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009
Steady state frequency control in the Irish transmission system is achieved by manual operator control. It is valid to assume that through corrective action, i.e. manual operator action, the system frequency will be restored to nominal in a timeframe beyond that of the transient stability study.

It should be noted that the frequency the system settles to is above the emergency frequency criteria of 47.5 Hz, however is well below the allowable continuous criteria of 49.5 Hz. Note that load shedding as well as the frequency control function of the Moyle HVdc Interconnector were not modelled in this study.

10.5.5. Rate of Change of Frequency

Rate of change of frequency can be a concern for systems with a high penetration of wind generation. This is because wind generators are often equipped with rate-of-change-of-frequency (ROCOF) relays to trip the wind generator if the rate of change of frequency is too high.

The EirGrid Grid Code currently states that the maximum rate of change of frequency in the Irish transmission system is 0.5 Hz/sec. Large amounts of wind generation are planned to be connected to the Irish transmission system and this wind generation will replace conventional generation. Because wind generators do not provide as much inertia as conventional generators, the rate of change of system frequency will naturally increase, causing further concern regarding the ROCOF relays.

One contingency, a three-phase fault at the 400 kV Laois bus (contingency 5_3_L1 in Table 10.1), was used to compare the rate of change of speed of nearby generators at Glanagow and Dublin Bay. Figure 10.11 shows the comparison. Both the AC and VSC options showed rates of change of speed greater than 0.5 Hz/sec during the fault. The AC option showed the highest rate of change of speed during the fault because the AC option is more closely coupled to the 400 kV AC bus at Laois where the fault is being applied, therefore the rate of change of frequency is higher. However, after the fault is cleared, there did not appear to be a significant difference in the maximum rate of change of speed when comparing the AC option to the VSC options.



R1116.03.04, Page 144 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

EirGrid plc Investigating the Impact of HVdc Schemes in the Irish Transmission Network Final Report



Figure 10.11. Rate of Change of Frequency – Three-Phase Fault at 400 kV Laois Bus.

10.5.6. HVdc Line Faults and Pole Blocking

The system performed well within criteria for the loss of an HVdc pole in the three two-terminal VSC option (VSC Option 1). In the two three-terminal VSC option (VSC Option 2), a pole block of the three-terminal VSC connecting Glanagow-Cahir-Loughteeog caused high voltages near the Cahir terminal as described in Section 10.5.1. However, a pole block of the other three-terminal VSC connecting



R1116.03.04, Page 145 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009 Glanagow-Kill Hill-Loughteeog did not cause any voltage violations. The violations only occurred if the Cahir 110 kV bus becomes disconnected from the 400 kV AC lines or the VSC HVDC converters and is left connected to the rest of the system only through the 110 kV network.

In addition pole blocking, dc line faults were simulated. In a VSC HVdc link, a dc line fault will draw short circuit current from the AC system and therefore it will look to the AC system like a remote AC fault. The simulation of this disturbance in the VSC options showed that the system performance is well within criteria. To be consistent with the dc fault simulations in the VSC options, three-phase AC faults were applied to the three new 400 kV AC transmission lines in the AC option. The system performed well within criteria for the AC option as well.

10.5.7. Mitigation Measures

In the transient stability analysis as already discussed it was found that there were several undervoltage and overvoltage violations near the Thurles 110 kV bus that occurred due to several contingencies. As a result, the wind generators in close proximity tripped. It is required to dynamically control the reactive power in this area in order to get rid of these violations and the subsequent wind tripping. An SVC was selected for this task. It was found that an SVC with 100 MVAR capacitive limit (Qmax) and 50 MVAR inductive limit (Qmin) would be sufficient to keep the system within limits for the troublesome contingencies described Section 10.5.1. In addition to the +100 to -50 MVAR SVC, there was 135 MVAR (9x15 MVAr) of shunt capacitance at this bus, in reality this would be considered to be over-compensated and it is likely that a new line is needed in the area and/or some amount of dynamic reactive power compensation dispersed around the area.

For the breaker failure at the Cahir 110 kV terminal (Contingency 3_1_X in Table 10.1), the voltage at Ikerrin bus is shown in Figure 10.12 for the AC and VSC options, when the proposed SVC is connected at the Thurles 110 kV bus. The post fault voltage which, was 0.84 pu in the initial case, now recovers to 0.935 pu.



R1116.03.04, Page 146 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009 In the two three-terminal VSC option (VSC Option 2), a pole block of the three-terminal VSC connecting Glanagow-Cahir-Loughteeog caused high voltages near the Cahir terminal as described in Section 10.5.1. When the SVC was introduced, the voltages were brought within the limits as shown in Figure 10.13. The proposed mitigation avoids the overvoltage tripping of 143 MW of wind generation that occurred during this contingency prior to the addition of the SVC.



Figure 10.13: Thurles, Kill Hill and Cahir bus voltages for the DC pole-1 block in Option3 (Contingency 1_0_P1 in Table 10.1), when the SVC at Thurles was connected.

Note that the SVC at Thurles also prevented cascade wind tripping for loss of the Irishtown generation as was discussed in Section 10.5.4.

Loss of the Glanagow generation also resulted in cascade wind tripping in the area near Trien, Tarbert, Gortawee and so on. Because this is not an issue directly related to the comparison of the AC and VSC options, mitigation for this contingency was not determined. It is expected that capacitance in that area would require replacement with dynamic reactive support (such as an SVC) similar to the mitigation demonstrated for loss of generation at Irishtown. Further study beyond the scope of this report is recommended.

10.6. System Frequency Scans

Similar to scenarios 1 and 2, impedance scans were performed in PSCAD to generate the positive sequence driving point impedance versus frequency (from 0Hz to 2500Hz in 2Hz increments) at the HVdc potential terminal buses, i.e. Aghada (1062), Cahir (1721), Kilkenny (3261) and Laois (3554). Two sets of scans were performed with and without the proposed 400kV AC line connecting Aghada, Cahir, Kilkenny and Laois. In all cases the existing HVdc systems were left in service. To improve the accuracy of the frequency scans a number of existing and planned lines near these buses were modeled as frequency dependant using the geometry data provided by EirGrid. A complete list of lines modeled as frequency dependant is given in Appendix C-5. All other transmission lines are modeled as Bergeron using the R,L,C data from PSS/E base cases.



R1116.03.04, Page 147 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009 It should be noted that when developing the PSCAD model for the impedance scans, no information is given on the existing HVdc filters on the Moyle and Wales HVdc link and are inserted as simple capacitors. This will have an impact on the results, but without the details of the filters, this is an acceptable approximation. If an HVdc transmission option is selected a more detailed frequency scan study must be performed to consider all various system configurations in the foreseeable future. Results of this study will define a range of system impedances that may be seen from the converter terminals. The HVdc link manufacturer must design the link such that the injected harmonic currents and the resultant harmonic currents always remain below the set limits for all specified system impedances and HVdc link operating points. If during the lifetime of the HVdc link the system topology changes significantly in a way not predicted before, the original design may not be sufficient and modifications to the filters or other components may be required (see comments from previous scenarios).

Appendix C-5 contains the full set of results for the system frequency scans. The results are summarized in Table 10.13.

Scenario	Bus	Frequency	Harmonic	Resonance Type	Scenario	Bus	Frequency	Harmonic	Resonance Type
4	Aghada	648	12.96	P	4	Aghada	570	11.4	P
Without	_	1396	27.92	S	With	_	602	12.04	S
AC line		1452	29.04	Р	AC line		810	16.2	Р
		2430	48.6	S			1392	27.84	S
	Cahir	266	5.32	Р			1462	29.24	Р
		300	6	S			2430	48.6	S
		312	6.24	Р		Cahir	358	7.16	Р
	Kilkenny	360	7.2	Р	-		440	8.8	S
							460	9.2	Р
	Laois	636	12.72	Р		Kilkenny	474	9.48	Р
		750	15	S			510	10.2	S
		762	15.24	Р			570	11.4	Р
		944	18.88	S			592	11.84	S
		990	19.8	Р			606	12.12	Р
		1012	20.24	S			660	13.2	S
		1058	21.16	Р			686	13.72	Р
		1236	24.72	S		Laois	686	13.72	Р
		1412	28.24	Р			750	15	S
		1738	34.76	S			764	15.28	Р
		2366	47.32	Р			944	18.88	S
							990	19.8	Р
							1012	20.24	S
							1050	21	Р
							1222	24.44	S
							1424	28.48	Р
							1742	34.84	S
							2284	45.68	Р

Table 10.13. Frequency scan results for Scenario 4.

The frequency scan analysis shows multiple resonance conditions in the 1-2kHz region. A VSC converter using Pulse Width Modulation (PWM) technique generate a small amount of harmonic voltages at the PWM switching frequency (in the 1 to 2kHz range), plus the PWM sideband frequencies as discussed in section 8.7. If there is series resonance at the VSC switching or sideband frequencies, these harmonic currents may propagate into the system. As the switching frequency of a VSC can be selected (has impacts on losses), the harmonics of concern can usually be moved away from the resonance point, but care must be taken for harmonic resonances in the range of 20 to 40.



R1116.03.04, Page 148 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009 In conclusion, if a VSC based HVdc link with PWM modulation is used in this scenario, proper filtering must be provided to avoid harmonic current injection into the system under the worst case resonance conditions.

10.7. SSR Screening

The UIF calculation described in the Study Procedures section is intended to screen for potential interaction between a conventional LCC HVdc system and generator shaft oscillation modes, known as subsynchronous resonance (SSR).

With LCC HVdc, any resonance frequency (f) on the DC side gets converted to f0+f and f0-f frequencies on the AC side.

Because VSC is a relatively new technology compared to LCC, there is less information available on the possibility of SSR with a VSC HVdc system. A simple test case was setup in PSCAD to test whether the same phenomenon occurred with VSC and results indicate that VSC may have the same potential as LCC to excite SSR.

Although the UIF screening calculations are intended to be used for LCC HVdc, the UIF was calculated for the VSC option as well in order to provide an indication of the potential need for detailed SSR studies. Table 10.14 summarizes the results.

All UIF calculations were well below the threshold of 0.1 at Cahir and Kilkenny, therefore no concerns are flagged for these terminals. At the Aghada terminal, the UIF calculation with respect to the 535.5 MVA generator at Glanagow results in a UIF of 0.394. At the Laois terminal, the UIF calculation with respect to the 500 MVA generator at Irishtown results in a UIF of 0.123. Both of these terminals result in a UIF greater than 0.1, which suggests a detailed SSR study should be undertaken for these two terminals.

		Agha	ada 1500 VSC	MW Cahir 3000 MW VSC			ww	Kilkenny 3000 MW VSC			Laois 1500 MW VSC		
Generator	Deting	Short C Level (Circuit MVA)		Short C Level (Short Circuit Level (MVA)		Short Circuit Level (MVA)			Short Circuit Level (MVA)		
Bus Number	(MVA)	Gen In	Gen Out	UIF	Gen In	Gen Out	UIF	Gen In	Gen Out	UIF	Gen In	Gen Out	UIF
19471-													
Cushaling	153	3166	3163	0.000	1461	1460	0.000	1333	1324	0.001	6098	5977	0.004
28571-													
Glanagow	535.5	3166	1978	0.394	1461	1435	0.002	1333	1324	0.000	6098	6025	0.000
29673- Huntstown	173	3166	3158	0.000	1461	1458	0.000	1333	1323	0.000	6098	5870	0.004
31271-	-10	0100	0100	0.000	1401	1400	0.000	1000	1020	0.000	0000	0010	0.004
Irishtown	500	3166	3158	0.000	1461	1458	0.000	1333	1323	0.000	6098	4861	0.123
35074-													
Lanesboro	121.1	3166	3165	0.000	1461	1460	0.000	1333	1332	0.000	6098	6072	0.000
35771-													
Longpoint	500	3166	3035	0.005	1461	1445	0.001	1333	1329	0.000	6098	6029	0.000
39471-	050	04.00	0450	0.000	4.404	4 4 5 0	0.000	4000	4000	0.000	0000	5050	0.000
Moneypoint 20472	359	3166	3158	0.000	1461	1459	0.000	1333	1329	0.000	6098	5953	0.002
Monevpoint	359	3166	3158	0.000	1461	1459	0.000	1333	1329	0.000	6098	5953	0.002
39473-													
Moneypoint	359	3166	3158	0.000	1461	1459	0.000	1333	1329	0.000	6098	5953	0.002
49474-													
Shannon-													
bridge	181.7	3166	3162	0.000	1461	1455	0.000	1333	1329	0.000	6098	6024	0.001
50573-	00	04.00	04.00	0.000	4.404	4 4 6 0	0.000	4000	4000	0.000	0000	0000	0.000
Seal Rock	96	3166	3163	0.000	1461	1460	0.000	1333	1333	0.000	6098	6083	0.000
50574 – Seal Rock	96	3166	3163	0.000	1461	1460	0.000	1333	1333	0 000	6098	6083	0.000
51771-	50	0.00	0100	0.000	. 101	1 700	0.000	1000	1000	0.000	0000	0000	0.000
Tynagh	327	3166	3162	0.000	1461	1460	0.000	1333	1331	0.000	6098	6017	0.001

Table 10.14. SSR Screening for VSC options.



R1116.03.04, Page 149 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009 EirGrid plc Investigating the Impact of HVdc Schemes in the Irish Transmission Network Final Report

		Aghada 1500 MW VSC			Cał	Cahir 3000 MW VSC			Kilkenny 3000 MW VSC			Laois 1500 MW VSC	
Generator		Short Circuit Level (MVA)		Short Circuit Level (MVA)		Short Circuit Level (MVA)			Short Circuit Level (MVA)				
Bus Number	Rating (MVA)	Gen In	Gen Out	UIF	Gen In	Gen Out	UIF	Gen In	Gen Out	UIF	Gen In	Gen Out	UIF
51772- Tynagh	163.7	3166	3164	0.000	1461	1460	0.000	1333	1332	0.000	6098	6054	0.000

An example of the UIF calculation at Glanagow (bus 28571) with the VSC at Aghada follows:

$$UIF = \frac{MVA_{dc}}{MVA_{g}} \left(1 - \frac{SC_{g}}{SC_{t}}\right)^{2}$$
$$UIF = \frac{1500}{535.5} \left(1 - \frac{1978}{3166}\right)^{2} = 0.394$$

10.8. Summary of Overall Study Results for Scenario 4

The results of the analysis are summarized as follows:

- Steady state contingency analysis observed a lack of reactive power in certain areas:
 - The first area was near the Thurles 110kV bus when either the Thurles-Kill Hill 110 kV line or the Kill Hill-Cahir 110 kV line was tripped. This issue was mitigated by increasing the Thurles switched capacitor to 135 MVAR (9*15MVAR) from 30 MVAR. This was required for the AC and VSC options.
 - The second area was near Loughteeog in the AC option only when the Oldstreet-Woodland 400 kV line or Carrickmines-Charlesland 220 kV line was tripped. The issue was mitigated by a switched capacitor of 250 MVAR (5*50MVAR) at the Portlaoise 110 kV bus. Although this switched capacitor was not required in the VSC options to prevent voltage collapse, the switched capacitor was kept in the VSC options for consistency.
 - In reality this would be considered to be over-compensated and it is likely that a new line is needed in the area and/or some amount of dynamic reactive power compensation dispersed around the area. The reactive power optimization is outside of the scope of this project.
- The VSC options did not result in any negative impacts to steady state voltage range or deviation violations compared to the AC option, and in fact eliminate the need for installing extra reactive power support in the Loughteeog area as mentioned above.
- The AC option had significantly lower losses than the VSC options:
 - AC 26.3 MW
 - VSC Option 1: three 2-terminal VSCs 75.4 MW
 - VSC Option 2: two 3-terminal VSCs 36.2 MW
- The VSC options resulted in several new thermal overloads and impacts to existing overloads as summarized in Table 10.15.



R1116.03.04, Page 150 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

EirGrid plc Investigating the Impact of HVdc Schemes in the Irish Transmission Network Final Report

Table 10.15. New Overloads and Impacts to Existing Overloads.											
	Rating	Quality	Worst Overloads (%)								
Line	MVA	Contingency	AC	VSC-1	VSC-2						
380kV											
3202 KNOCKRAHA 220.00 3282*KILLONAN 220.00 1	286	Glen-NewTrien 220 kV	106.8	111.9	112.2						
110kV	110kV										
1441 BANDON 110.00 2221*DUNMANWAY 110.00 1	107	Glanagow 220-19 kV	104.0	113.4	113.3						
1721 CAHIR 110.00 5381*TIPPERARY 110.00 1	107	Cahir-Kill Hill 110 kV	105.6	121.0	120.5						
1721 CAHIR 110.00 14619*BARRYM T 110.00 1	107	Cahir-Kill Hill 110 kV	<100	113.7	113.3						
2001 CULLENAGH 110.00 2141*DUNGARVAN 110.00 1	107	Cullenagh-Knockraha 220 kV	126.4	141.6	141.1						
3961*MARINA 110.00 5181 TRABEG 110.00 2	79	Glanagow 220-19 kV	117.9	147.5	147.4						
5271*TOEM 110.00 5381 TIPPERARY 110.00 1	107	Cahir-Kill Hill 110 kV	123.1	137.1	136.7						

One of the important aspects of Scenario 4 is the transmission congestion in the area of study. A
purpose of the study was to investigate whether or not it may be possible to use power
scheduling flexibility of the VSC links to relieve congestion rather than constraining generation
and/or uprating lines in the area. It was found that the following complications exist with
attempting to rely on the VSC link to be re-dispatched to eliminate all n-1 violations:

- There are many overloaded lines and many contingencies causing these overloads.
- A re-dispatch of the VSC to eliminate the overload would need to be carefully calculated to properly share the re-dispatch among the four terminals so as not to create new overloads.
- The re-dispatch would be very dependent upon the network topology and load and generation dispatch.

A potential solution might be to to perform a real-time automatic dispatch of the four terminals of the VSC link in such a way as to eliminate the potential for n-1 overloads to occur based on the network topology and operating point at the particular time. However, it is not known whether any existing system operation software is capable of performing this task.

With the number of overloaded lines recorded in Appendix C-2, along with the number of contingencies causing these overloads, even if such software could be used to minimize the overloads, it may still be necessary that some of the worst case overloads would still require certain lines to be uprated.

 VSC option 1 (three 2-terminal links) showed reduced short circuit levels at Glanagow and Loughteeog but very similar short circuit levels at Cahir and Kilkenny in comparison to the AC option. VSC option 2 (two 3-terminal links) showed reduced short circuit levels at all four terminals in comparison to the AC option. This is due to the fact that there are double the number of VSC converters at Cahir and Kilkenny in VSC Option 1 compared to VSC Option 2. VSC converters were assumed to contribute 0.5 pu rated current to the short circuit levels. Short circuit levels are summarized in Table 10.16.

Table 10.16. Short Circuit Levels at Terminal Buses	s.
---	----

Torminal	Short Circuit Level (MVA)								
Terminar	AC option	VSC option-2							
Glanagow	4129	3885	3885						
Cahir	2831	2896	1828						
Kilkenny	2750	2759	1691						
Loughteeog	7035	6834	6834						



R1116.03.04, Page 151 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

- Transient stability analysis revealed that in both the AC and VSC options, a reactive power issue was observed in the 110 kV area near Thurles and Cahir. The analysis found transient and steady state voltage violations following the loss of the connection to the new transmission at Cahir, which in turn resulted in tripping of local wind generators. In addition to a 135 MVAR switched capacitor, a +100 to-50 MVAR SVC was added at Thurles and was found to mitigate all of the voltage-related issues.
- Rate of change of frequencies during and immediately following nearby faults resulted in violation
 of the 0.5 Hz/sec criteria for all AC and VSC options, with no significant difference observed when
 comparing the AC option to the VSC options.
- Loss of generation at Glanagow (450 MW) and at Irishtown (396 MW) both resulted in the system frequency settling out to underfrequencies of 48.6 Hz and 48.9 Hz respectively. The settling frequency was the same for the AC and VSC options. The VSC options resulted in slightly faster frequency decay, however the rate of change of frequency was slow and did not violate the 0.5 Hz/sec criteria.
- The VSC options performed within criteria for HVdc line faults and pole blocking.
- SSR screening was performed by calculating the Unit Interaction Factor (UIF). All UIF calculations were well below the threshold of 0.1 at Cahir and Kilkenny, therefore no concerns were flagged for these terminals. At the Aghada terminal, the UIF calculation with respect to the 535.5 MVA generator at Glanagow resulted in a UIF of 0.394. At the Laois terminal, the UIF calculation with respect to the 500 MVA generator at Irishtown resulted in a UIF of 0.123. Both of these terminals result in a UIF greater than 0.1, which suggests a detailed SSR study should be undertaken for these two terminals.

10.9. Overall Comparison of HVdc Solutions with Equivalent AC Solution

The studies showed no significant difference between the AC and VSC HVdc options in terms of the following aspects:

- Steady state voltage violations once mitigation of reactive power support at Thurles and Portlaoise was added.
- Rate of change of frequency during and following faults.
- Long term frequency decay due to loss of generation the system settles to the same underfrequency following the loss of generation. The VSC options were not able to improve the frequency because the VSC is not able to transfer power from an area with excess power since the VSC transmission is located within meshed AC network and is not connecting two isolated systems.

10.9.1. AC Advantages over HVdc

Based on the study results, the AC option had the following technical advantages compared to the VSC options:

- Lower line losses
- Lower overloads in several 400 kV and 110 kV lines in the south-west area
- Higher short circuit levels resulting in stronger local AC system
- The VSC terminals at Aghada (near the WhiteGen CCGT generator) and at Laois (near the Irishtown generator) both flagged the need for detailed SSR studies as the SSR screening procedure identified Unit Interaction Factors greater than 0.1 with the Glanagow and Irishtown generators, respectively. SSR is not a concern for the AC option.



R1116.03.04, Page 152 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

10.9.2. HVdc Advantages over AC

Based on the study results, the VSC options had the following technical advantages compared to the AC option:

• Improved voltage performance as the VSC inherently provides reactive power support.

Tthe VSC options may utilize underground cables.

10.10. Recommendations

The study has shown that the AC and VSC HVdc options are all feasible and each option could be made to work. However, without consideration for economics or environmental impacts, based solely on the technical comparison between the AC and VSC HVdc options it appears that there are no significant reasons to select HVdc over AC transmission, other than reactive power support. The VSC option did show improved dynamic voltage performance over the AC option, however if it were deemed necessary a STATCOM could be installed with the AC option to provide similar dynamic reactive power support as the VSC options. In addition, the AC option showed significantly lower losses, fewer overloads in the local area and a stronger local AC system.

Typical applications of HVdc systems include:

- Transmission with overhead line distances above 1 000 km, where the need of various intermediate tapings is not present;
- Interconnecting systems with different frequencies (50 Hz to 60 Hz);
- Undersea or underground cables with lengths around 50 or more;
- Need for asynchronous operation (even at the same frequency base), like interconnection of different markets.
- Need for absolute power scheduling

The only application that really applies to the situation being studied in this report is the use of HVdc cables.

Typical applications of HVdc can also provide benefits such as power oscillation damping and frequency control. An HVdc power order can be quickly changed by an external signal (that should indicate a change in the network is taking place) as an additional signal in the power order scheduling. Power oscillation damping was not observed to be an issue in this study. Use of HVdc controls to achieve steady state or longer term frequency control is not expected to be applicable in the Irish network because the HVdc is being integrated into a meshed AC network, however frequency control was not modeled in this study and would therefore require further investigation to make any definite conclusions. It is not a case of being able to transfer excess generation from one area to another area that is deficient in generation as could typically be the case with an HVdc link connecting two isolated systems.

A meshed AC network with embedded HVdc circuits can impose an added complexity to future network planning and expansion. For instance when planning the system it is difficult and expensive to tap into an existing HVdc circuit whereas an AC circuit can be easily tapped to serve new load or build a new AC station and lines.

Based on the selected power flow case and contingencies that were studied, there were no significant technical advantages identified for the use of HVdc transmission instead of AC transmission between Glanagow-Cahir-Kilkenny-Loughteeog. VSC HVdc links have the benefit of inherent reactive power support. VSC HVdc links can utilize underground cables, and if built as a bipole provide extra reliability. The VSC terminals at Aghada and Laois flagged the need for detailed studies to identify and mitigate potential subsynchronous resonance (SSR) issues with the Glanagow and Irishtown thermal generators, respectively.

In some cases, when an overhead AC transmission line cannot be considered, a VSC HVdc solution may be a viable technical solution since it can utilise underground cables. However to make such a decision it



R1116.03.04, Page 153 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009 is necessary to compare the two technologies across the full range of relevant criteria, incuding environmental, technical and economical.



R1116.03.04, Page 154 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

11. Scenario Five: Expansion of Northern Ireland System

Scenario 5 involves expansion of the Northern Ireland transmission network with transmission connecting Turleenan, Omagh, Coolkeeragh, Coleraine and Kells. Figure 11.1 shows the proposed termination locations for this scenario.



Figure 11.1. Proposed termination locations for Scenario 5.

The purpose of this scenario is different than the other scenarios. It is not intended to directly compare the performance of an AC option to an HVdc option, but rather to perform a very high level study demonstrating the potential feasibility of using a five-terminal VSC HVdc system to connect the mentioned terminals. The equivalent AC solution consists of double-circuit 275 kV AC transmission connecting the same five terminals.

The scope of work for this scenario is to undertake steady state contingency analysis to identify any overloads and transient stability issues. This will verify whether or not a five-terminal VSC link could potentially be feasible, although no such VSC link has ever been built.

To provide a worst-case scenario for studying faults in Northern Ireland, the North-South Interconnector (studied in Scenario 2) was assumed to be based on LCC HVdc technology. This is a worst-case scenario because if the Moyle HVdc Interconnector and the North-South Interconnector would both be operating as inverters, it is possible that a fault in Northern Ireland could be severe enough to cause simultaneous commutation failures of both of these HVdc links, which would result in a temporarily loss of power infeed into the Northern Ireland area.

The power flow cases selected for this scenario include a summer minimum load, high wind case with generation at Coolkeeragh dispatched to minimum to provide a minimum fault level case, and a winter peak case with Coolkeeragh dispatched to maximum.



R1116.03.04, Page 155 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

11.1. Transmission Options

LCC technology was not studied for this scenario due to the large number of terminals being considered and also because of the potential to connect wind farms into the terminals which is better-suited to VSC technology.

The transmission alternative being considered for this scenario is described below. It uses VSC technology.

11.1.1. HVdc Scheme – Five-Terminal VSC

The HVdc scheme consists of a five-terminal bipolar VSC link connecting Turleenan to Omagh, Omagh to Coolkeeragh, Coolkeeragh to Coleraine, and Coleraine and Kells as shown in Figure 11.2.





The vendor-supplied PSSE VSC [3] model is monopolar and can only model up to 4 terminals, therefore the VSC transmission option was modeled using two parallel sets of two three-terminal monopolar VSC links as shown in Figure 11.2. As a result, two extra VSC converters must be modeled (as shown at Coolkeeragh) which will result in higher loss estimates than would exist in the real case due to the fixed losses associated with a VSC converter.

For each of the two three-terminal links, all 12 VSC converters are modeled at +/-320 kV and the MVA rating of each converter was selected to match the closest available MVA rating of the VSC converters models. Table 11.1 tabulates the MVA rating proposed for the converters of the five-terminal VSC link and the actual MVA rating of converters modeled in this study for the two three-terminal VSC links.

Converter	Proposed converter MVA rating	Actual MVA rating used in this study
Turleenan	750x2	796x2
Omagh	700x2	796x2
Coolkeeragh	250x2	405x2+405x2
Coleraine	600x2	796x2
Kells	750x2	796x2

Table 11.1. Ratings of VSC converters.

An HVdc bipole refers to an HVdc system that can continue operate with one of the two poles being out of service, a monopole cannot. Currently, a bipolar VSC cable scheme is limited to a 1200 MW rating, however in the timeframe of the implementation of this project, a rating in the range of 1500 MW in bipolar VSC cable systems are anticipated. The type and number of cables to be used in such a scheme would be design dependent based on the rated dc voltage and power levels.

11.1.2. Reactive Power Exchange with AC System

Voltage source converters have a large range of fast reactive supply and absorption capability, however the reactive power capability depends on the real power transmission. The vendor-supplied model used in this study has the per unit P-Q operating diagram shown in Figure 11.3 (figure taken from [3]). This diagram demonstrates that when operating at a real power level near the MVA rating of the VSC, the reactive power capability is significantly less than if operating at a lower power level, in fact the reactive power capability shown in Figure 11.3 is near 0 MVAR at 1 pu real power. The reactive power can be



R1116.03.04, Page 156 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009 controlled independently at each station. Please note that this P-Q diagram is typical and vendor-specific and does not necessarily reflect the P-Q operating curve of VSCs supplied by other vendors. Also note that the P-Q diagram refers to the converter only. There are filters located on the AC bus on the VSC side of the transformer which are not accounted for in the P-Q diagram. The rating of the filters depends on the rating of the converter (see comments from previous scenarios).



Figure 11.3. VSC P-Q diagram (converter only). Source: ABB.

11.2. Contingencies

11.2.1. Steady State Analysis

All n-1 contingencies for all 110 kV and above as well as several key double circuit outage conditions were studied.

11.2.2. Transient Stability Analysis

Table 11.2 describes the system disturbances that were applied to perform the transient stability analysis.



R1116.03.04, Page 157 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

Table 11.2. Contingencies for Scenario 5.										
Contingency	Fault Locatio	n	Fault	Branch(es) Tripped						
	Bus No.	Bus No. Base D kV								
1	TANDRAGEE 90020	275	120 ms	TAND – LOUTH 90020 -35231-1, 90020-35232-2						
2	BALLYLUMFORD 70520	275	120 ms	BFORD – KELLS 70520 – 81520-1						
3	COOLKEERAGH 75520	275	120 ms	COOLK – MAGFT 75520 – 73521-85020-1, 75520 – 73522-85020-1						
4	TURLEENAN 90120	275	120 ms	TURL – TAND 90120 – 90020-1, 90120 – 90020-2						
5	TAMNAMORE 90320	275	120 ms	TAMN - TURL 90320 – 90120-1						

All 5 faults are 3-phase faults. No line reclosing is considered.

Power flow case 5.1 studies all 5 contingencies, power flow case 5.2 studies contingencies 1 and 2.

11.3. Power Flows Cases and Losses

As a starting point for the power order settings for the VSC converters, the power order of each converter was set so that the total power injected into the AC system from the VSC converters was approximately equal to the amount of power injected to the AC system from the double-circuit 275 kV network. Table 11.3 describes the method used to set the power order and the corresponding power order for each VSC converter.

Table 11.3. Power order setting of VSC converter.

Converter	Rule used to set power order	Type of Converter	Power order setting for one pole (MW)
Turleenan-Omag	h- Coolkeeragh VSC link		
Turleenan	Equal to the powerflow in a one circuit from Turleenan to Omagh 275 kV AC line (321 MW)	Inverter	314
Omagh	Sum of powerflows in a one circuit from Omagh to Turleenan and Omagh to Coolkeeragh 275 kV lines (319 MW)	Rectifier	326
Coolkeeragh	Equal to the powerflow in a one circuit from Coolkeeragh to Omagh 275 kV AC line (4 MW)	Rectifier	6
Coolkeeragh- Co	leraine- Kells VSC link		
Coolkeeragh	Equal to the powerflow in a one circuit from Coolkeeragh to Coleraine 275 kV AC line (-1 MW)	Rectifier	3
Coleraine	Sum of powerflows in a one circuit from Coleraine to Coolkeeragh and Coleraine to Kells 275 kV lines (104 MW)	Rectifier	108
Kells	Equal to the powerflow in a one circuit from Kells to Coleraine 275 kV AC line (103 MW)	Inverter	98

Note that the power order values listed in Table 11.3 are slightly different from power transfers in double circuit 275 kV AC system due to the fact that the losses involved in the two systems are slightly different.

Transmission losses in the five-terminal VSC link were calculated.

According to the VSC manuals [3], each VSC converter has losses of 1.65% at nominal (rated) MVA loading, with 30% fixed losses and 70% variable plus the losses in the DC line.



R1116.03.04, Page 158 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009 The total losses in the five-terminal VSC system at the power flows described in Table 11.3 were calculated to be 59.6 MW.

11.3.1. Steady State Contingency Analysis

Steady state contingency analysis was performed on the two power flow case described in Table 5.1.

11.3.2. Thermal Overloads

Table 11.4 lists the transmission line overloads observed for the VSC option.

From Bu	IS		To Bus					Rating	Flow	Overload
Bus #	Name	kV	Number	Name	kV	СКТ	Contingency	(MW)	(MW)	(%)
87510	OMAH1	110	90310	TAMN	110	1 COOLK - MAGH		109.0	115.1	103.4
87510	OMAH1	110	90310	TAMN	110	2	COOLK - MAGH	109.0	126.0	113.1
87510	OMAH1	110	90310	TAMN	110	1	COOLK_COCO_MA	109.0	115.1	103.4
87510	OMAH1	110	90310	TAMN	110	2	2 COOLK_COCO_MA		126.0	113.1
							Enniskillen -Omagh			
79010	ENNISKIL	110	87510	OMAH	110	2	1	139.4	251.8	171.6*
							Enniskillen-Omagh			
79010	ENNISKIL	110	87510	OMAH	110	1	2	139.4	251.8	171.6*
							Double circuit			
1701	CATH_FAL	110	2321	DRUMKEEN	110	1	Louth-Tandragee ⁷	138.2	107	129.2
							Double circuit			
1701	CATH_FAL	110	28019	GOLAGH T	110	1	Louth-Tandragee	127.7	107	119.3
							Double circuit			
2321	DRUMKEEN	110	3581	LETTERKE	110	1	Louth-Tandragee	115.8	107	108.2
							Double circuit			
3581	LETTERKE	110	28019	GOLAGH T	110	1	Louth-Tandragee	123.4	107	115.3

Table 11.4. Overloaded transmission lines in VSC option.

The 110 kV lines from Enniskillen to Omagh were also noted to be overloaded to more than 172% in the double-circuit 275 kV AC option.

Three-winding transformers at Louth and Coleraine were found to be overloaded. Table 11.5 lists these overloads.

Winding Information							
Bus #	Name	kV	СКТ	Contingency	Rating (MVA)	Flow (MW)	Overload (%)
3522	LOUTH	220	2	S34	600	644.3	107.4*
3522	LOUTH	220	3	S35	300	321.7	107.2*
3522	LOUTH	220	1	S35	300	324.9	108.3*
3522	LOUTH	220	3	S628	300	321.8	107.3*
3522	LOUTH	220	1	S628	300	325.0	108.3*
75010	COLE1	110	1	S640	240	242.4	101.0

Table 11.5. Overloaded winding of three winding transformers in VSC option.

The Louth transformers were also noted to be overloaded in the double-circuit 275 kV AC option by more than the percentages listed in Table 11.5.

⁷ Contingency INTERCON simulates loss of the double circuit 275 kV lines from Louth to Tandragee. As in Scenario 2, it is assumed the North-South LCC Interconnector increases power order by 70% of pre-contingency power flow in the double circuit AC lines as is required to maintain stability.



R1116.03.04, Page 159 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009 In order to mitigate overloads impacted by VSC option, in an event of contingencies COOL_MAGH, COOL_COCO_MA or S640, the Coolkeeragh rectifier power order setting should be maintained at or slightly above 36 MW.

11.4. Transient Stability Analysis

The results of the transient stability simulations were observed in order to determine the potential feasibility of the five-terminal VSC link. No issues were noted. All contingencies were found to result in a stable system response that was well within the system performance criteria.

In addition to the contingencies listed in Table 11.2, an additional simulation was run in order to check the impact on the wind farm connected to Omagh in the event of a three-phase fault at Turleenan followed by a bipole block between Turleenan and Omagh. In order to simulate this contingency, the proposed five-terminal VSC link was modeled as one two-terminal link and one four-terminal link as shown in Figure 11.4



Figure 11.4. Modeling of the five-terminal VSC link to perform a bipole block at Turleenan.

It was observed that the system can maintain stability without violating criteria for a 120 ms three phase fault at 275 kV Turleenan bus followed by blocking of both VSC converters at Turleenan without adjusting power orders of any of the VSC converters. In addition, no steady state thermal overloads were observed.

Appendix D-1 contains the plots of transient stability analysis.

11.5. Summary of Overall Study Results for Scenario 5

The results of the analysis are summarized as follows:

- Steady state contingency analysis observed several thermal overloads of transmission lines and transformers. Several of these overloads were also present in the base power flow case that was provided that had the equivalent AC solution of double-circuit 275 kV AC lines modeled. The following overloads were not observed in the AC solution, or were lesser in the AC solution:
 - o Omagh-Tam 110 kV 113%
 - Coleraine 240 MVA transformer –101%
 - Cathaleen's Fall-Drumkeen 110 kV –129 % (120% in AC option)
 - Cathaleen's Fall-Golagh 110 kV 119% (111% in the AC option)
 - o Drumkeen-Letterkenny 110 kV 108%
 - Letterkenny-Golagh 110 kV 115% (107% in the AC option)
- The five-terminal VSC system had total losses of 59.6 MW at the particular operating point in study.
- Transient stability analysis revealed no concerns. All results showed stable system response that was well within criteria.

11.6. Recommendations

The study has shown that the five-terminal VSC HVdc scheme connecting Turleenan to Omagh, Omagh to Coolkeeragh, Coolkeeragh to Coleraine, and Coleraine and Kells could be technically feasible, based



R1116.03.04, Page 160 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009 on the analysis that was performed. It should be noted however that losses are quite high, up to 59.6 MW, due to the fixed losses associated with the five VSC converters.

If HVdc transmission is being considered for any part of the expansion plans of the Northern Ireland system, then a more detailed study would need to be undertaken at a point in time when the study scenarios are more developed. Future studies could include more generation and load scenarios, more faults (including DC faults), short circuit analysis, sub-synchronous resonance studies and frequency scans. These studies should compare AC solutions with other viable transmission alternatives that include some or all parts being AC transmission.

Typical applications of HVdc systems include:

- Transmission with overhead line distances above 1 000 km, where the need of various intermediate tapings is not present;
- Interconnecting systems with different frequencies (50 Hz to 60 Hz);
- Undersea or underground cables with lengths around 50 km or more;
- Need for asynchronous operation (even at the same frequency base), like interconnection of different markets.
- Need for absolute power scheduling

The only application that really applies to the situation being studied in this report is the use of HVdc cables.

Typical applications of HVdc can also provide benefits such as power oscillation damping and frequency control. An HVdc power order can be quickly changed by an external signal (that should indicate a change in the network is taking place) as an additional signal in the power order scheduling. Power oscillation damping was not observed to be an issue in this study. Use of HVdc controls to achieve steady state or longer term frequency control is not expected to be applicable in the Irish network because the HVdc is being integrated into a meshed AC network, however frequency control was not modeled in this study and would therefore require further investigation to make any definite conclusions. It is not a case of being able to transfer excess generation from one area to another area that is deficient in generation as could typically be the case with an HVdc link connecting two isolated systems.

A meshed AC network with embedded HVdc circuits can impose an added complexity to future network planning and expansion. For instance when planning the system it is difficult and expensive to tap into an existing HVdc circuit whereas an AC circuit can be easily tapped to serve new load or build a new AC station and lines.

Based on the selected power flow cases and contingencies that were studied, there was nothing noted to suggest that the five-terminal VSC option would not be technically feasible, however it should be cautioned that no such system has ever been built, and the application of being embedded in a meshed AC network is not typical.

In some instances, the use of HVdc technology can be considered as a viable way of transferring bulk power within an AC power system.



R1116.03.04, Page 161 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

12. <u>References</u>

[1] Methodology Report, Investigating the Impact of HVdc Schemes in the Irish Network, Report number R1116.01.02, November 20, 2008.

- [2] Prabha Kundur, Power System Stability and Control, McGraw-Hill Inc., 1993.
- [3] User guide for the PSS/E Implementation of the HVDC Light Detailed model Version 1.1.6, ABB Memorandum, Document number 06TST0257 Rev. 16, September 26, 2008.
- [4] R.M. Brandt, U.D. Annakkage, D.P. Brandt, N. Kshatriya, "Validation of a Two Time Step HVDC Transient Stability Simulation Model including Detailed HVDC Controls and DC Line L/R Dynamics", IEEE PES General Meeting, Montreal, Canada, June 2006.
- [5] R.M. Brandt, U.D. Annakkage, "Validation of a Two Time Step HVDC Converter and Cable Stability Model", CIGRE Canada Conference on Power Systems, Calgary, Canada, August 27-28, 2007.
- [6] Siemens PSS/E-30.2 Program Application Guide: Volume I, Chapter 10.4, November, 2005.
- [7] Siemens PSS/E-30.2 Program Operation Manual: Volume I, Chapter 4.95, November, 2005.
- [8] Siemens PSS/E-30.2 Program Application Guide: Volume I, Chapter 9.2.2.1, November, 2005.
- [9] Ying Jiang-Hafner, Rolf Ottersten, "HVDC with Voltage Source Converters A Desirable Solution for Connecting Renewable Energies".

General References for Section 2:

M. P. Bahrman, B. K. Johnson: The ABCs of HVdc Transmission Technologies, IEEE Power and Energy Magazine, Vol.5, No.2, March/April 2007

M. Henderson, J. Gagnon, D. Bertagnolli, B. Hosie, G. DeShazo, B. Silverstein: ibid

Kirsten Faugstad (Convenor of CIGRE WG B4-44 HVdc Environmental Issues): An environmental survey on the operation and impact of HVdc electrodes, CIGRE Osaka Symposium, November 2007

R. Velázquez, H. G. Sarmiento, R. Castellanos, G. Pampin, G. Galicia, R. Adame: Technical and economical considerations of an hvdc submarine interconnection between the Mexican electrical system and the Baja California sur grid, CIGRE 2008 Session

- M. Szechtman, C. V. Thio and T. Wess: CIGRE HVdc Benchmark System for Control Studies, Electra no. 157, 1994.
- J. B. Davies: Notes on the HVdc Tutorial, CIGRE Osaka Meeting, November 2007.

References for Section 3:

"ANÁLISE ESTATÍSTICA DOS DESLIGAMENTOS FORÇADOS DE COMPONENTES DO SISTEMA ELÉTRICO BRASILEIRO REFERENTE AO ANO DE 2006", ONS – Brazil, in Portuguese, 2007.

"ANALYSIS OF HVDC THYRISTOR CONVERTER TRANSFORMER PERFORMANCE, CIGRÉ SC A2 Transformers and SC B4 HVDC and Power Electronics, JTF B4.04/A2-1, by D. J. CHRISTOFERSEN et al, November 2003.

"A SURVEY OF THE RELIABILITY OF HVDC SYSTEMS THROUGHOUT THE WORLD DURING 2005 – 2006, by I. VANCERS, D.J. CHRISTOFERSEN, A. LEIRBUKT, M.G. BENNETT, Paper B4-119, CIGRE 2008.



R1116.03.04, Page 162 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

Scenario 1 North-West Mayo: 460 MW Wind Connection APPENDIX A



R1116.03.04, Page 163 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

Appendix A-1 – Scenario 1: Select Plots for Transient Stability Analysis

Contingencies as per Table 8.1.

Legend:

Blue waveforms - AC option 1 - Series-compensated 220 kV AC line

Green waveforms - AC option 2 - Two parallel 220 kV AC lines

Red waveforms - VSC option



R1116.03.04, Page 164 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

Appendix A-2 – Scenario 1: Steady State Contingency Analysis – Thermal Overloads

Steady State Contingency Analysis of Power Flow Case 1.1.



R1116.03.04, Page 165 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

Appendix A-3 – Scenario 1: Steady State Contingency Analysis – Voltage Violations

Steady State Contingency Analysis of Power Flow Case 1.1.



R1116.03.04, Page 166 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

Appendix A-4 – Scenario 1: Short Circuit Analysis Results

System Intact Short Circuit Analysis of Power Flow Case 1.1.



R1116.03.04, Page 167 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

Appendix A-5 – Scenario 1: Frequency Scans

System Intact Frequency Scans of Power Flow Case 1.1.



R1116.03.04, Page 168 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

Appendix A-6 – Scenario 1: Detailed Generator Dispatch

Detailed Generator Dispatches of Power Flow Case 1.1.



R1116.03.04, Page 169 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

Scenario 2 North-South Interconnector APPENDIX B



R1116.03.04, Page 170 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

Appendix B-1a – Scenario 2: Select Plots for Transient Stability Analysis 2.1

Contingencies as per Table 9.3. Legend: Blue waveforms – AC option Green waveforms – LCC option Red waveforms – VSC option



R1116.03.04, Page 171 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

Appendix B-1b – Scenario 2: Select Plots for Transient Stability Analysis 2.2

Contingencies as per Table 7.1. Legend: Blue waveforms – AC option Green waveforms – LCC option Red waveforms – VSC option



R1116.03.04, Page 172 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

Appendix B-1c – Scenario 2: Select Plots for Transient Stability Analysis 2.3

Contingencies as per Table 7.1. Legend: Blue waveforms – AC option Green waveforms – LCC option Red waveforms – VSC option



R1116.03.04, Page 173 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

Appendix B-1d - Scenario 2: Select Plots for Transient Stability Analysis 2.4

Contingencies as per Table 7.1. Legend: Blue waveforms – AC option Green waveforms – LCC option Red waveforms – VSC option



R1116.03.04, Page 174 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

Appendix B-2 – Scenario 2: Steady State Contingency Analysis – Thermal Overloads

Steady State Contingency Analysis of Power Flow Cases 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 2.4.



R1116.03.04, Page 175 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

Appendix B-3 – Scenario 2: Steady State Contingency Analysis – Voltage Violations

Steady State Contingency Analysis of Power Flow Cases 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 2.4.



R1116.03.04, Page 176 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

Appendix B-4 – Scenario 2: Short Circuit Analysis Results

System Intact Short Circuit Analysis of Power Flow Cases 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 2.4.



R1116.03.04, Page 177 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

Appendix B-5 – Scenario 2: Frequency Scans

System Intact Frequency Scans of Power Flow Cases 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 2.4.



R1116.03.04, Page 178 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

Appendix B-6 – Scenario 2: Detailed Generator Dispatch

Detailed Generator Dispatches of Power Flow Cases 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 2.4.



R1116.03.04, Page 179 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009
Scenario 4 Drawing Power out of the Region near Cork APPENDIX C



R1116.03.04, Page 180 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

Appendix C-1 – Scenario 4: Select Plots for Transient Stability Analysis

Contingencies as per Table 10.1.

Legend:

Blue waveforms – AC option

Green waveforms - VSC option 1 - Three two-terminal VSC links

Red waveforms - VSC option - Two three-terminal VSC links



R1116.03.04, Page 181 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

Appendix C-2 – Scenario 4: Steady State Contingency Analysis – Thermal Overloads

Steady State Contingency Analysis of Power Flow Case 4.1.



R1116.03.04, Page 182 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

Appendix C-3 – Scenario 4: Steady State Contingency Analysis – Voltage Violations

Steady State Contingency Analysis of Power Flow Case 4.1.



R1116.03.04, Page 183 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

Appendix C-4 – Scenario 4: Short Circuit Analysis Results

System Intact Short Circuit Analysis of Power Flow Case 4.1.



R1116.03.04, Page 184 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

Appendix C-5 – Scenario 4: Frequency Scans

System Intact Frequency Scans of Power Flow Case 4.1.



R1116.03.04, Page 185 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

Appendix C-6 – Scenario 4: Detailed Generator Dispatch

Detailed Generator Dispatches of Power Flow Case 4.1.



R1116.03.04, Page 186 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

Scenario 5 System Expansion in Northern Ireland APPENDIX D



R1116.03.04, Page 187 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

Appendix D-1 – Scenario 5: Select Plots for Transient Stability Analysis

Contingencies as per Table 11.2.

Contingency	Fault Location		Fault	Branch(es) Tripped
	Bus No.	Base kV	Duration	
1	TANDRAGEE 90020	275	120 ms	TAND – LOUTH 90020 -35231-1, 90020-35232-2
2	BALLYLUMFORD 70520	275	120 ms	BFORD – KELLS 70520 – 81520-1
3	COOLKEERAGH 75520	275	120 ms	COOLK – MAGFT 75520 – 73521-85020-1, 75520 – 73522-85020-1
4	TURLEENAN 90120	275	120 ms	TURL – TAND 90120 – 90020-1, 90120 – 90020-2
5	TAMNAMORE 90320	275	120 ms	TAMN - TURL 90320 – 90120-1

Legend:

Blue waveforms - AC option



R1116.03.04, Page 188 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009

Appendix D-2 – Scenario 5: Detailed Generator Dispatch

Detailed Generator Dispatches of Power Flow Cases 5.1 and 5.2.



R1116.03.04, Page 189 Oct-18, 2009 © TransGrid Solutions Inc, 2009